

THE SASAKI JOIN, HAMILTONIAN 2-FORMS, AND CONSTANT SCALAR CURVATURE

CHARLES P. BOYER AND CHRISTINA W. TØNNESEN-FRIEDMAN

ABSTRACT. We describe a general procedure for constructing new explicit Sasaki metrics of constant scalar curvature (CSC), including Sasaki-Einstein metrics, from old ones. We begin by taking the join of a regular Sasaki manifold of dimension $2n + 1$ and constant scalar curvature with a weighted Sasakian 3-sphere. Then by deforming in the Sasaki cone we obtain CSC Sasaki metrics on compact Sasaki manifolds $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ of dimension $2n + 3$ which depend on four integer parameters l_1, l_2, w_1, w_2 . Most of the CSC Sasaki metrics are irregular. We give examples which show that the CSC rays are often not unique on the underlying fixed strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold. Moreover, it is shown that when the first Chern class of the contact bundle vanishes, there is a two dimensional subcone of Sasaki Ricci solitons in the Sasaki cone, and a unique Sasaki-Einstein metric in each of the two dimensional subcones.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to present a general geometric construction that combines the Sasaki join construction of [BG00, BGO07] with the Hamiltonian 2-form formalism of [ACG06, ACGTF04, ACGTF08a] to construct many new Sasaki metrics of constant scalar curvature. This is a final version of our ArXiv paper [BTF14d] which is also combined with results from our ArXiv paper [BTF13b]. Partial results from this latter paper will then appear in [BTF14b]. The method described here has already been used by the authors in special cases [BTF13a, BTF13c, BTF14a]. This method is the following: consider a regular Sasaki manifold M with its ‘Boothby-Wang circle bundle’ $S^1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ over the Kähler manifold N . For each pair of relatively prime positive integers (l_1, l_2) we form the Sasaki join $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ of M

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 53D42; Secondary: 53C25.

Key words and phrases. Extremal and constant scalar curvature Sasakian metrics, extremal Kähler metrics, join construction, admissible construction.

Both authors were partially supported by grants from the Simons Foundation, CPB by (#245002) and CWT-F by (#208799).

with a weighted 3-sphere $S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ (cf. [BG08], Example 7.1.12), where the components of the weight vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ are relatively prime positive integers satisfying $w_1 \geq w_2$. The latter has a 2-dimensional Sasaki cone $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}^+$ we call the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone. Now we can deform within $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}^+$ to obtain other Sasakian structures. The quasi-regular ones will fiber over a ruled orbifold (S_n, Δ) with the following structure. S_n is a $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over N with an orbifold structure on its fibers giving rise to a branch divisor Δ . The orbifold (S_n, Δ) is a projectivization $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1} \oplus L_n)$ where L_n is certain line bundle over N , and it admits a Hamiltonian 2-form. The explicit nature of this formalism allows us to obtain extremal (or constant scalar curvature) Kähler orbifold metrics on (S_n, Δ) . Then by a well known procedure we obtain extremal (constant scalar curvature) Sasaki metrics on the join $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$. This approach was initiated in [BTF14a] for the case $l_2 = 1$ while the case of arbitrary l_2 appears in the thesis [Cas14]. An announcement of our general procedure appears in [BTF14c].

Our first main theorem is:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ be the $S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ -join with a regular Sasaki manifold M which is an S^1 -bundle over a compact Kähler manifold N with constant scalar curvature. Then for each vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with relatively prime components satisfying $w_1 > w_2$ there exists a Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ in the 2-dimensional \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ such that the corresponding ray of Sasakian structures $\mathcal{S}_a = (a^{-1}\xi_{\mathbf{v}}, a\eta_{\mathbf{v}}, \Phi, g_a)$ has constant scalar curvature.*

The manifolds $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ can also be realized as certain three dimensional lens space bundles over N .

Suppose in addition that the scalar curvature of N satisfies $s_N \geq 0$, then we obtain more information about extremal Sasaki metrics. In fact, we have

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that in addition to the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 the scalar curvature of N satisfies $s_N \geq 0$, then the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone is exhausted by extremal Sasaki metrics. In particular, if the Kähler structure on N admits no Hamiltonian vector fields, then the entire Sasaki cone κ of the join $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ can be represented by extremal Sasaki metrics.*

A particular example of interest when the hypothesis of the last sentence of Theorem 1.2 is satisfied is when N is an algebraic K3 surface. In this case there are many choices of complex structures and many choices of line bundles. But in all cases $M = 21\#(S^2 \times S^3)$. It is

interesting to contemplate the possible diffeomorphism types of the 7-manifolds $21\#(S^2 \times S^3) \star_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ in this case.

We also give examples where there are more than one CSC ray in the same \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone. Indeed, generally we have

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that in addition to the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 the scalar curvature of N satisfies $s_N > 0$. Then for sufficiently large l_2 there are at least three CSC rays in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone of the join $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$.*

In particular, Theorem 6.14 below gives a countable infinity of inequivalent contact structures on the two S^5 -bundles over S^2 such that there are at least three CSC rays of Sasaki metrics. However, the bouquet phenomenon which is related to distinct underlying CR structures and appears for S^3 -bundles over Riemann surfaces [Boy11, Boy13, BTF13a, BTF14a] seems not to occur in these more general cases. This is related to the topological rigidity of the Boothby-Wang base space as discussed briefly in Section 2.2 below. The non-uniqueness described in Theorem 1.3 occurs on a fixed strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold and a fixed contact manifold. The former also illustrates non-uniqueness on the sub-Riemannian level.

It should be mentioned that generally the CSC rays are most often irregular, that is the closure of a generic Reeb orbit is a torus of dimension greater than one. In this regard in Section 6.1 we fill in a gap that occurred in the first version of [BTF13b] concerning the application of the admissibility conditions to irregular Sasakian structures. This was kindly pointed to us by an anonymous referee. It has been shown recently that irregular Sasakian structures have irreducible transverse holonomy [HS12], and that the corresponding Kähler cone is K-semistable [CS12] for CSC Sasaki metrics. The latter result had been proven previously in the quasi-regular case in [RT11]. Also the non-uniqueness phenomenon of CSC Sasakian structures was first shown to occur for the case of S^3 -bundles over S^2 by a different method in [Leg11]. Theorem 1.3 shows that this is fairly common.

Finally, if we assume that the N has a positive Kähler-Einstein metric and that the first Chern class of the contact bundle vanishes, we obtain

Theorem 1.4. *Let $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ be the $S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ -join with a regular Sasaki manifold M which is an S^1 -bundle over a compact positive Kähler-Einstein manifold N with a primitive Kähler class $[\omega_N] \in H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$. Assume that the relatively prime positive integers (l_1, l_2) are*

the relative Fano indices given explicitly by

$$l_1 = \frac{\mathcal{J}_N}{\gcd(w_1 + w_2, \mathcal{J}_N)}, \quad l_2 = \frac{w_1 + w_2}{\gcd(w_1 + w_2, \mathcal{J}_N)},$$

where \mathcal{J}_N denotes the Fano index of N . Then for each vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with relatively prime components satisfying $w_1 > w_2$ there exists a Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ in the 2-dimensional \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ such that the corresponding Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S} = (\xi_{\mathbf{v}}, \eta_{\mathbf{v}}, \Phi, g)$ is Sasaki-Einstein. Moreover, this ray is the only admissible CSC ray in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone.

In addition, for each vector $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with relatively prime components satisfying $w_1 > w_2$ every single ray in the 2-dimensional \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ admits (up to isotopy) a Sasaki-Ricci soliton.

This theorem recovers in a geometric fashion the Sasaki-Einstein metrics obtained previously by physicists in [GMSW04a] by a different method. Some further development of the topology of these manifolds, especially in dimension seven, is given in [BTF14b].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives a brief review of ruled manifolds that are the projectivization of a complex rank 2 vector bundle of the form $S = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1} \oplus L)$ over a Kähler-Einstein manifold N . These admit Hamiltonian 2-forms that give rise to the Kähler admissible construction that is necessary for our procedure. In the somewhat long Section 3 we describe our join construction, in particular, the join with the weighted 3-sphere, $S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$. We then discuss in detail the orbit structure of quasi-regular Reeb vector fields in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone. Generally, the quotients appear as orbifold log pairs (S, Δ) which fiber over N with fiber an orbifold of the form $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1[v_1, v_2]/\mathbb{Z}_m$, where Δ is branch divisor, and $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1[v_1, v_2]$ is a weighted projective space. In Section 4 we discuss the topology of the joins, giving an algorithm for computing the integral cohomology ring. In Section 5 we present the details of the admissibility conditions on the Kählerian level, while in Section 6 we show how these admissibility conditions lift to the Sasakian level to provide proofs of our main theorems.

2. RULED MANIFOLDS

In this section we consider ruled manifolds of the following form. Let (N, ω_N) be a compact Kähler manifold with primitive integer Kähler class $[\omega_N]$, that is, a Hodge manifold. Consider a rank two complex vector bundle of the form $E = \mathbb{1} \oplus L$ where L is a complex line bundle on N and $\mathbb{1}$ denotes the trivial bundle. By a ruled manifold we shall mean

the projectivization $S = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1} \oplus L)$. We can view S as a compactification of the complex line bundle L on N by adding the ‘section at infinity’. For $x \in N$ we let (c, z) denote a point of the fiber $E_x = \mathbb{1} \oplus L_x$. There is a natural action of \mathbb{C}^* (hence, S^1) on E given by $(c, z) \mapsto (c, \lambda z)$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. The action $z \mapsto \lambda z$ is a complex irreducible representation of \mathbb{C}^* determined by the line bundle L . Such representations (characters) are labeled by the integers \mathbb{Z} . Thus, we write $L = L_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and refer to n as the ‘degree’ of L .

2.1. A Construction of Ruled Manifolds. We now give a construction of such manifolds. Let $S^1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ be the circle bundle over N determined by the class $[\omega_N] \in H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$. We denote the S^1 -action by $(x, u) \mapsto (x, e^{i\theta}u)$. Now represent $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ as $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1$ and consider an S^1 -action on $M \times S^3$ given by $(x, u; z_1, z_2) \mapsto (x, e^{i\theta}u; z_1, e^{in\theta}z_2)$. There is also the standard S^1 -action on S^3 given by $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (e^{i\chi}z_1, e^{i\chi}z_2)$ giving a T^2 -action on $M \times S^3$ defined by

$$(1) \quad (x, u; z_1, z_2) \mapsto (x, e^{i\theta}u; e^{i\chi}z_1, e^{i(\chi+n\theta)}z_2).$$

Lemma 2.1. *The quotient by the T^2 -action of Equation (1) is the projectivization $S_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1} \oplus L_n)$.*

Proof. First we see from (1) that the action is free, so there is a natural bundle projection $(M \times S^3)/T^2 \rightarrow N$ defined by $\pi(x, [u; z_1, z_2]) = x$ where the bracket denotes the T^2 equivalence class. The fiber is $\pi^{-1}(x) = [u; z_1, z_2]$ which since u parameterizes a circle is identified with $S^3/S^1 = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. This bundle is trivial if and only if $n = 0$ and n labels the irreducible representation of S^1 on the line bundle L_n . \square

We can take the line bundle L_1 to be any primitive line bundle in $\text{Pic}(N)$. In particular, we are interested in the taking L_1 to be the line bundle associated to the primitive cohomology class $[\omega_N] \in H^2(N, \mathbb{Z})$. Then we have

Lemma 2.2. *The following relation holds: $c_1(L_n) = n[\omega_N]$.*

Proof. Equation (1) implies that the S^1 -action on the line bundle L_n is given by $z \mapsto e^{in\theta}z$. But we know that the definition of M that it is the unit sphere in the line bundle over N corresponding to $n = 1$, and this corresponds to the class $[\omega_N]$, that is $c_1(L_1) = [\omega_N]$. Thus, $c_1(L_n) = n[\omega_N]$. \square

2.2. Ruled Manifolds with known Diffeomorphism Type. There are several cases when the diffeomorphism type of the ruled manifold can be ascertained. First we have the case when $N = \Sigma_g$ a Riemann surface of genus g . It is well known [MS98] that in this case there are

precisely two diffeomorphism types. They are distinguished by their second Stiefel-Whitney class. This gives rise to inequivalent Kähler structures belonging to the same underlying symplectic structure (up to symplectomorphism). It also gives rise to non-conjugate maximal tori in the symplectomorphism group, a fact that was exploited in [Boy11, Boy13, BP14, BTF13a, BTF14a].

On the other hand it appears that this phenomenon changes in higher dimension. It is still known to occur as witnessed by the polygon spaces of [HT03] and described in Example 8.5 of [Boy13]. However, it has been shown recently [CMS10, CPS12] that for $N = \mathbb{C}P^p$ with $p > 1$ the two ruled manifolds S_n and $S_{n'}$ are diffeomorphic if and only if $|n'| = |n|$. Indeed, the diffeomorphism type is determined completely by its cohomology ring which takes the form

$$(2) \quad H^*(S_n, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^{p+1}, (x_2(nx_1 + x_2)))$$

where x_1, x_2 have degree 2. So the Hirzebruch-type phenomenon involving inequivalent complex structures on the same manifold does not generalize when $p > 1$.

2.3. The Admissible Construction. We will now assume that n from Section 2.1 is non-zero and (N, ω_N) defines a Kähler structure with CSC Kähler metric g_N . Then $(\omega_{N_n}, g_{N_n}) := (2n\pi\omega_N, 2n\pi g_N)$ satisfies that (g_{N_n}, ω_{N_n}) or $(-g_{N_n}, -\omega_{N_n})$ is a Kähler structure (depending on the sign of n). In either case, we let $(\pm g_{N_n}, \pm \omega_{N_n})$ refer to the Kähler structure. We denote the real dimension of N by $2d_N$ and write the scalar curvature of $\pm g_{N_n}$ as $\pm 2d_{N_n} s_{N_n}$. [So, if e.g. $-g_{N_n}$ is a Kähler structure with positive scalar curvature, s_{N_n} would be negative.]

Now Lemma 2.2 implies that $c_1(L_n) = [\omega_{N_n}/2\pi]$. Then, following [ACGTF08a], the total space of the projectivization $S_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1} \oplus L_n)$ is called *admissible*.

On these manifolds, a particular type of Kähler metric on S_n , also called *admissible*, can now be constructed [ACGTF08a]. We shall describe this construction in Section 5 where we will use it to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 of the Introduction. An admissible Kähler manifold is a special case of a Kähler manifold admitting a so-called Hamiltonian 2-form [ACG06]. More specifically, the admissible metrics as described in section 5 admit a Hamiltonian 2-form of order one.

Remark 2.3. In the special case where (N, ω_N) is Kähler-Einstein with Kähler metric g_N and Ricci form $\rho_N = 2\pi J_N \omega_N$, where J_N denotes the Fano index, there is a simple relationship between the value of s_{N_n} and the value of n . Since the (scale invariant) Ricci form is given by $\rho_N = s_{N_n} \omega_{N_n}$, it is easy to see that $s_{N_n} = J_N/n$. For the general CSC

case this will be more complicated and will need to be handled case by case. We do know that if we write $s_{N_n} = p_n/n$, then $p_n \leq d_N + 1$ (see Remark 1 in [ACGTF08a]).

3. THE $S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ -JOIN CONSTRUCTION

The join construction was first introduced in [BG00] for Sasaki-Einstein manifolds, and later generalized to any quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds in [BGO07] (see also Section 7.6.2 of [BG08]). However, as pointed out in [BTF13a] it is actually a construction involving the orbifold Boothby-Wang construction [BW58, BG00], and so applies to quasi-regular strict contact structures. Although it is quite natural to do so, we do not need to fix the transverse (almost) complex structure. Moreover, in [BTF14a] it was shown that in the special case of S^3 -bundles over Riemann surfaces a twisted transverse complex structure on a regular Sasakian manifold can be realized by a product transverse complex structure on a certain quasi-regular Sasakian structure in the same Sasaki cone.

We consider a generalization of the join construction used in previous work [BTF13a, BTF14a]. We refer to [BGO07, BG08] for a thorough discussion of the join construction as well as the fundamentals of Sasakian geometry. Here we let M be a regular Sasakian manifold with constant scalar curvature, and consider the join $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ with the weighted 3-sphere $S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ (a sphere with a weighted circle action) where both $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ and $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, l_2)$ are pairs of relatively prime positive integers. We can assume that the weights (w_1, w_2) are ordered, namely they satisfy $w_1 \geq w_2$. Furthermore, $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ is a smooth manifold if and only if $\gcd(l_2, l_1 w_1 w_2) = 1$ which is equivalent to $\gcd(l_2, w_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Henceforth, we shall assume these conditions.

The join is constructed from the following commutative diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & & \\ & \searrow \pi_L & \\ & & M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} \\ & \swarrow \pi_1 & \\ N \times \mathbb{C}P^1[\mathbf{w}] & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \pi_2 \end{array}$$

where the π s are the obvious projections. Here M has a regular contact form η_1 with Reeb vector field ξ_1 , and $S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ has the weighted contact form η_2 with Reeb vector field $\xi_2 = w_1 H_1 + w_2 H_2$ where H_i is the infinitesimal

generators of the S^1 action on

$$S^3 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1\}$$

given by sending z_i to $e^{i\theta}z_i$. The circle projection π_L is generated by the vector field

$$(4) \quad L_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = \frac{1}{2l_1}\xi_1 - \frac{1}{2l_2}\xi_2.$$

Moreover, the 1-form $\eta_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = l_1\eta_1 + l_2\eta_2$ on $M \times S^3$ passes to the quotient $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ and gives it a contact structure. The Reeb vector field of $\eta_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ is the vector field

$$(5) \quad \xi_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = \frac{1}{2l_1}\xi_1 + \frac{1}{2l_2}\xi_2.$$

The base orbifold $N \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1[\mathbf{w}]$ has a natural Kähler structure, namely the product structure, and this induces a Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = (\xi_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}, \eta_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}, \Phi, g)$ on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$. The transverse complex structure $J = \Phi|_{\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}}$ is the lift of the product complex structure on $N \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1[\mathbf{w}]$.

It follows from Proposition 7.6.7 of [BG08] that the join $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ can also be realized as a fiber bundle over N associated to the principal S^1 -bundle $M \rightarrow N$ with fiber the lens space $L(l_2; l_1w_1, l_1w_2)$. It is easy to see that the join of extremal (CSC) Sasaki metrics gives an extremal (CSC) Sasaki metric induced by the product extremal (CSC) Kähler metrics. Thus, since weighted projective spaces have extremal orbifold metrics, we can take the Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ to be extremal. However, most of the CSC Sasaki metrics of interest in this work are not induced by the product of CSC Kähler metrics.

3.1. The First Chern Class. Let us compute the first Chern class of our induced contact structure $\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ on $M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$. The orbifold first Chern class of the base is

$$(6) \quad c_1^{orb}(N \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1[\mathbf{w}]) = c_1(N) + \frac{|\mathbf{w}|}{w_1w_2}PD(D)$$

as an element of $H^2(N \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1[\mathbf{w}], \mathbb{Q}) \approx H^2(N, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1[\mathbf{w}], \mathbb{Q})$ where D a divisor given by $z_1 = 0$ or $z_2 = 0$ and PD denotes Poincaré dual. The Kähler form on $N \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1[\mathbf{w}]$ is $\omega_{l_1, l_2} = l_1\omega_N + l_2\omega_{\mathbf{w}}$ where $\omega_{\mathbf{w}}$ is the standard Kähler form on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1[\mathbf{w}]$ which satisfies $[\omega_{\mathbf{w}}] = \frac{[\omega_0]}{w_1w_2}$ where ω_0 is the standard volume form on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. Note that $PD(D) = [\omega_0]$. Pulling ω_{l_1, l_2} back to the join $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ we have $\pi^*\omega_{l_1, l_2} = d\eta_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ implying that $l_1\pi^*[\omega_N] + l_2\pi^*[\omega_{\mathbf{w}}] = 0$ in $H^2(M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}, \mathbb{Z})$. So

taking $\pi^*[\omega_N] = l_2\gamma$ and $\pi^*[\omega_{\mathbf{w}}] = -l_1\gamma$ for some generator $\gamma \in H^2(M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}, \mathbb{Z})$ we have

$$(7) \quad c_1(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}) = \pi^*c_1(N) - l_1|\mathbf{w}|\gamma.$$

Taking the mod 2 reduction gives the second Stiefel-Whitney class of $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$, viz.

$$(8) \quad w_2(M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}) = \pi^*w_2(N) - \rho(l_1|\mathbf{w}|\gamma)$$

where ρ is the reduction mod 2 map. This implies

Corollary 3.1. *If l_1 is even or if w_i are both odd for $i = 1, 2$, then $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ is a spin manifold if and only if N is a spin manifold. On the other hand if both l_1 and $|\mathbf{w}|$ are odd, then $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ is a spin manifold if and only if N is not a spin manifold.*

Equation (7) reduces further in the special case that $[\omega_N]$ is monotone. Actually we are interested in a generalization. We say that $[\omega_N]$ is *quasi-monotone* if $c_1(N) = \mathcal{J}_N[\omega_N]$ for some integer \mathcal{J}_N . Here \mathcal{J}_N is the *Fano index* when \mathcal{J}_N is positive (the monotone case) and the *canonical index* when it is negative. We also allow the case $\mathcal{J}_N = 0$. So when $[\omega_N]$ is quasi-monotone we have

$$(9) \quad c_1(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}) = (l_2\mathcal{J}_N - l_1|\mathbf{w}|)\gamma.$$

Of particular interest is the cohomological Einstein condition. Let $c_1(\mathcal{F}_\xi)$ be the basic first Chern class of the Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$, then the cohomological Einstein condition is $c_1(\mathcal{F}_\xi) = a[d\eta_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}]_B$ for some positive constant a , where $[\cdot]_B$ denotes the basic cohomology class in $H^{1,1}(\mathcal{F}_\xi)$. This implies that $c_1(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}})$ is a torsion class, but for convenience we shall assume that $c_1(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}) = 0$ which implies the condition $l_2\mathcal{J}_N = |\mathbf{w}|l_1$. We have arrived at:

Lemma 3.2. *Necessary conditions for the Sasaki manifold $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ to admit a Sasaki-Einstein metric is that $\mathcal{J}_N > 0$, and that*

$$l_2(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{|\mathbf{w}|}{\gcd(|\mathbf{w}|, \mathcal{J}_N)}, \quad l_1(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\mathcal{J}_N}{\gcd(|\mathbf{w}|, \mathcal{J}_N)}.$$

The integers $l_1(\mathbf{w}), l_2(\mathbf{w})$ in Lemma 3.2 are called *relative Fano indices* [BG00].

3.2. The Sasaki Cone. Since for any Sasakian structure \mathcal{S} the Reeb vector field lies in the center of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}(\mathcal{S})$ of the Sasaki automorphism group $\mathfrak{Aut}(\mathcal{S})$, it follows from the join construction that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}(\mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}})$ of the automorphism group of the join satisfies $\mathfrak{aut}(\mathcal{S}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}) = \mathfrak{aut}(\mathcal{S}_1) \oplus \mathfrak{aut}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{w}})$ where \mathcal{S}_1 is the Sasakian structure on M , and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{w}}$ is the Sasakian structure on $S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$. Now the

unreduced Sasaki cone [BGS08] \mathfrak{t}^+ of $\mathcal{S} = (\xi, \eta, \Phi, g)$ is by definition the positive cone in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} of a maximal torus in $\mathfrak{Aut}(\mathcal{S})$, i.e.

$$(10) \quad \mathfrak{t}^+ = \{X \in \mathfrak{t} \mid \eta(X) > 0\}.$$

Thus, the Sasaki cone $\mathfrak{t}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}^+$ of the join $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ satisfies

$$(11) \quad \mathfrak{t}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}^+ = \{X \in \mathfrak{t}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} \mid \eta_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}(X) > 0\} = \mathfrak{t}_1^+ + \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}^+.$$

If the Lie algebra of a maximal torus of the automorphism group of \mathcal{S}_1 has dimension k , then $\dim \mathfrak{t}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}^+ = k + 2$, since the $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}$ has dimension 2. However, in this paper we are mainly concerned with the 2-dimensional subcone $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}^+$, which we call the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone, of the full Sasaki cone $\mathfrak{t}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}^+$. The \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}^+$ can be identified with the first quadrant in \mathbb{R}^2 with coordinates v_1, v_2 for all \mathbf{w} , viz.

$$(12) \quad \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}^+ = \{(v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid v_1, v_2 > 0\}.$$

We are also interested in the full reduced Sasaki cone κ which is $\mathfrak{t}^+/\mathcal{W}$ where \mathcal{W} is the Weyl group of the Sasaki automorphism group $\mathfrak{Aut}(\mathcal{S})$. One can think of κ as the moduli space of Sasakian structures with a fixed underlying CR structure (\mathcal{D}, J) .

3.3. The Tori Actions. Consider the action of the 3-dimensional torus T^3 on the product $M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ defined by

$$(13) \quad (x, u; z_1, z_2) \mapsto (x, e^{il_2\theta}u; e^{i(\phi_1 - l_1w_1\theta)}z_1, e^{i(\phi_2 - l_1w_2\theta)}z_2).$$

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}_3 of T^3 is generated by the vector fields $L_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}, H_1, H_2$. Then the join $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ defined in the beginning of Section 3 is the quotient of $M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ by the S^1 subgroup of T^3 defined by setting $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0$. Alternatively it is the fiber bundle

$$M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \times_{S^1} L(l_2; l_1w_1, l_1w_2)$$

over the Kähler manifold N associated to the principal S^1 -bundle $M \rightarrow N$ with fiber the lens space $L(l_2; l_1w_1, l_1w_2)$. The S^1 action on the lens space is accomplished in two stages. First, represent $L(l_2; l_1w_1, l_1w_2)$ as a \mathbb{Z}_{l_2} quotient of $S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$, then the residual $S_{\theta}^1/\mathbb{Z}_{l_2} \approx S^1$ action is

$$(14) \quad (x, u; z_1, z_2) \mapsto (x, e^{i\theta}u; [e^{-i\frac{l_1w_1}{l_2}\theta}z_1, e^{-i\frac{l_1w_2}{l_2}\theta}z_2]).$$

The brackets in Equation (14) denote the equivalence class defined by $(z'_1, z'_2) \sim (z_1, z_2)$ if $(z'_1, z'_2) = (\lambda^{l_1w_1}z_1, \lambda^{l_1w_2}z_2)$ for $\lambda^{l_2} = 1$.

Next consider the T^2 action of $S_{\phi}^1 \times (S_{\theta}^1/\mathbb{Z}_{l_2})$ on $M \times L(l_2; l_1w_1, l_1w_2)$ given by

$$(15) \quad (x, u; z_1, z_2) \mapsto (x, e^{i\theta}u; [e^{i(v_1\phi - \frac{l_1w_1}{l_2}\theta)}z_1, e^{i(v_2\phi - \frac{l_1w_2}{l_2}\theta)}z_2]),$$

This gives rise to the commutative diagram

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M \times L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1 w_2) & & \\ & \searrow \pi_L & \\ & & M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} \\ & \swarrow \pi_{\mathbf{v}} & \\ & & B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}} \\ & \uparrow \pi_B & \end{array}$$

where $B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}$ is a bundle over N with fiber a weighted projective space, and π_B denotes the quotient projection by T^2 . The Lie algebra of this T^2 is generated by

$$(17) \quad L_{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{1}{2l_1} \xi_M - \sum_{j=0}^q \frac{1}{2l_2} w_j H_j, \quad \xi_{\mathbf{v}} = \sum_j v_j H_j,$$

where ξ_M denotes the Reeb vector field of the regular Sasakian structure on M . Note that $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ is a Reeb vector field in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone of $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$.

Let us analyze the behavior of the T^2 action given by Equation (15). We shall see that it is not generally effective. First we notice that the S^1_{θ} action is free since it is free on the first factor. Next we look for fixed points under a subgroup of the circle S^1_{ϕ} . Thus, we impose

$$(e^{iv_1 \phi} z_1, e^{iv_2 \phi} z_2) = (e^{-2\pi \frac{l_1 w_1}{l_2} r i} z_1, e^{-2\pi \frac{l_1 w_2}{l_2} r i} z_2)$$

for some $r = 0, \dots, l_2 - 1$. If $z_1 z_2 \neq 0$ we must have

$$(18) \quad v_1 \phi = 2\pi \left(-\frac{l_1 w_1 r}{l_2} + k_1 \right), \quad v_2 \phi = 2\pi \left(-\frac{l_1 w_2 r}{l_2} + k_2 \right)$$

for some integers k_1, k_2 which in turn implies

$$l_1 r (w_2 v_1 - w_1 v_2) = l_2 (k_2 v_1 - k_1 v_2).$$

This gives

$$(19) \quad r = \frac{l_2}{l_1} \frac{k_2 v_1 - k_1 v_2}{w_2 v_1 - w_1 v_2}$$

which must be a nonnegative integer less than l_2 . We can also solve Equations (18) for ϕ by eliminating $\frac{l_1 r}{l_2}$ giving

$$(20) \quad \phi = 2\pi \frac{k_1 w_2 - k_2 w_1}{w_2 v_1 - w_1 v_2}.$$

Next we write (19) as

$$(21) \quad r = \left(\frac{l_2}{\gcd(|w_2 v_1 - w_1 v_2|, l_2)} \right) \left(\frac{k_2 v_1 - k_1 v_2}{l_1 \frac{w_2 v_1 - w_1 v_2}{\gcd(|w_2 v_1 - w_1 v_2|, l_2)}} \right)$$

Since v_1 and v_2 are relatively prime, we can choose k_1 and k_2 so that the term in the last parentheses is 1. This determines r as

$$(22) \quad r = \frac{l_2}{\gcd(|w_2v_1 - w_1v_2|, l_2)}$$

Now suppose that $z_2 = 0$. Then generally we have $e^{iv_1\phi} = e^{-2\pi\frac{l_1w_1}{l_2}ri}$ for some $r = 0, \dots, l_2 - 1$ or equivalently $r = 1, \dots, l_2$. This gives

$$(23) \quad \phi = 2\pi\left(-\frac{l_1w_1r}{v_1l_2} + \frac{k}{v_1}\right).$$

A similar computation at $z_1 = 0$ gives

$$(24) \quad \phi = 2\pi\left(-\frac{l_1w_2r'}{v_2l_2} + \frac{k'}{v_2}\right).$$

We are interested in when regularity can occur. For this we need the minimal angle at the two endpoints to be equal. This gives

$$-\frac{l_1w_2r'}{v_2l_2} + \frac{k'}{v_2} = -\frac{l_1w_1r}{v_1l_2} + \frac{k}{v_1}$$

for some choice of integers k, k' and nonnegative integers $r, r' < l_2$. This gives

$$(25) \quad \frac{-l_1w_2r' + k'l_2}{v_2} = \frac{-l_1w_1r + kl_2}{v_1}.$$

3.4. Periods of Reeb Orbits. We assume that $\mathbf{w} \neq (1, 1)$. We want to determine the periods of the orbits of the flow of the Reeb vector field defined by the weight vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)$. In particular, we want to know when there is a regular Reeb vector field in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone.

Let us now generally determine the minimal angle, hence the generic period of the Reeb orbits, on the dense open subset Z defined by $z_1z_2 \neq 0$. For convenience we set $s = \gcd(|w_2v_1 - w_1v_2|, l_2)$ in which case (22) becomes $r = l_2/s$.

Lemma 3.3. *The minimal angle on Z is $\frac{2\pi}{s}$. Thus, S_ϕ^1/\mathbb{Z}_s acts freely on the dense open subset Z .*

Proof. We choose k_1, k_2 in Equation (21) so that the last parentheses equals 1. This gives

$$l_1 \frac{w_2v_1 - w_1v_2}{s} = k_2v_1 - k_1v_2.$$

Rearranging this becomes

$$(sk_2 - l_1w_2)v_1 = (sk_1 - l_1w_1)v_2.$$

Since v_1 and v_2 are relatively prime this equation implies $sk_i = l_1w_i + mv_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and some integer m . Putting this into Equation (20) gives $\phi = \frac{2\pi m}{s}$, so the minimal angle is $\frac{2\pi}{s}$. \square

We now investigate the endpoints defined by $z_2 = 0$ and $z_1 = 0$.

Proposition 3.4. *The following hold:*

- (1) *The period on Z , namely $\frac{2\pi}{s}$, is an integral multiple of the periods at the endpoints. Hence, S_ϕ^1/\mathbb{Z}_s acts effectively on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$.*
- (2) *The period at the endpoint $z_j = 0$ is $\frac{2\pi}{v_i l_2}$ where $i \equiv j+1 \pmod{2}$. So the end points have equal periods if and only if $\mathbf{v} = (1, 1)$.*
- (3) *The \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone contains a regular Reeb vector field if and only if l_2 divides $w_1 - w_2$, and in this case it is given by $\mathbf{v} = (1, 1)$.*

Proof. A Reeb vector field will be regular if and only if the period of its orbit is the same at all points. We know that it is $\frac{2\pi}{s}$ on Z . We need to determine the minimal angle at the endpoints. From Equation (23) the angle at $z_2 = 0$ is

$$\phi = 2\pi\left(\frac{-l_1w_1r + kl_2}{v_1l_2}\right).$$

Now $\gcd(l_2, l_1w_1) = 1$, so we can choose k and r such that numerator of the term in the large parentheses is 1. This gives period $\frac{2\pi}{v_1l_2}$. Similarly, at $z_1 = 0$ we have the period $\frac{2\pi}{v_2l_2}$. So the period is the same at the endpoints if and only if $v_1 = v_2$ which is equivalent to $\mathbf{v} = (1, 1)$ since v_1 and v_2 are relatively prime which proves (2).

Moreover, the period is the same at all points if and only if

$$(26) \quad \mathbf{v} = (1, 1), \quad l_2 = s = \gcd(|w_2v_1 - w_1v_2|, l_2).$$

But the last equation holds if and only if l_2 divides $w_1 - w_2$ proving (3).

(1) follows from the fact that for each $i = 1, 2$, $v_i l_2$ is an integral multiple of $\gcd(|w_2v_1 - w_1v_2|, l_2) = s$. \square

In contrast to the 2-dimensional Sasaki cones in [BTF14a], not every \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone has a regular Reeb vector field. Nevertheless, it does have a special Reeb vector field, namely that given by $\mathbf{v} = (1, 1)$. For this there can be, as usual, two branch divisors, but they have the same ramification index, namely $m = l_2/s$. We refer to this Reeb field as *almost regular*. Clearly, there is precisely one almost regular Reeb vector field in each \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone of $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$.

Example 3.5. Regular Reeb vector fields. As stated in (c) of Proposition 3.4 when l_2 divides $w_1 - w_2$ we always have a regular Reeb vector

field in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone by taking $\mathbf{v} = (1, 1)$. (This was the case in [BTF14a] where $l_2 = 1$.) We obtain $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ as a principle S^1 bundle over the smooth quotient $B_{l_1, l_2, 1, \mathbf{w}} = S_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1} \oplus L_n)$ with $n = l_1 \frac{w_1 - w_2}{l_2}$.

3.5. $B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}$ as a Log Pair. We follow the analysis in Section 3 of [BTF14a]. We have the action of the 2-torus $S_\phi^1/\mathbb{Z}_s \times (S_\theta^1/\mathbb{Z}_{l_2})$ on $M \times L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1 w_2)$ given by Equation (15), and denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{v}, l, \mathbf{w}}$, whose quotient space is $B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}$. It follows from Equation (15) that $B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}$ is a bundle over N with fiber a weighted projective space of complex dimension one. By (1) of Proposition 3.4 the generic period is an integral multiple, say m_i , of the period at the divisor D_i . Thus, for $i = 1, 2$ we have

$$(27) \quad m_i = v_i \frac{l_2}{s} = v_i m.$$

Note that from its definition $m = \frac{l_2}{s}$, so m_i is indeed a positive integer. It is the ramification index of the branch divisor D_i . We think of D_1 as the zero section and D_2 as the infinity section of the bundle $B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}$. Thus, $B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}$ is a fiber bundle over N with fiber $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1[v_1, v_2]/\mathbb{Z}_m \approx \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. The isomorphism is simply $[z_1, z_2] \mapsto [z_1^{m_2}, z_2^{m_1}]$ where the brackets denote the obvious equivalence classes on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1[v_1, v_2]/\mathbb{Z}_m$. The complex structure of $B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}$ is the projection of the transverse complex structure on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ which in turn is the lift of the product complex structure on $N \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1[\mathbf{w}]$. However, $B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}$ is not generally a product as a complex orbifold, nor even topologically.

Now we can follow the analysis leading to Lemma 3.14 of [BTF14a]. So we define the map

$$\tilde{h}_{\mathbf{v}} : M \times L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1 w_2) \longrightarrow M \times L(l_2; l_1 w_1 v_2, l_1 w_2 v_1)$$

by

$$(28) \quad \tilde{h}_{\mathbf{v}}(x, u; [z_1, z_2]) = (x, u; [z_1^{m_2}, z_2^{m_1}]).$$

It is a $mv_1 v_2$ -fold covering map. Similar to [BTF14a] we get a commutative diagram:

$$(29) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M \times L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1 w_2) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{v}, l, \mathbf{w}}(\lambda, \tau)} & M \times L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1 w_2) \\ \downarrow \tilde{h}_{\mathbf{v}} & & \downarrow \tilde{h}_{\mathbf{v}} \\ M \times L(l_2; l_1 w'_1, l_1 w'_2) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_{(1,1), l, \mathbf{w}'}(\lambda, \tau^{mv_1 v_2})} & M \times L(l_2; l_1 w'_1, l_1 w'_2), \end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{w}' = (v_2 w_1, v_1 w_2)$ and $\tau = e^{i\phi}, \lambda = e^{i\theta}$. So $B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}$ is the log pair $(B_{l_1, l_2, 1, \mathbf{w}'}, \Delta)$ with branch divisor

$$(30) \quad \Delta = \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_1}\right)D_1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_2}\right)D_2,$$

where $B_{l_1, l_2, 1, \mathbf{w}'}$ is a $\mathbb{C}P^1$ -bundle over N . Now $B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}$ is the quotient $(M \times L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1 w_2)) / \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{v}, l, \mathbf{w}}(\lambda, \tau)$, and $B_{l_1, l_2, 1, \mathbf{w}'}$ is the quotient $(M \times L(l_2; l_1 w'_1, l_1 w'_2)) / \mathcal{A}_{(1,1), l, \mathbf{w}'}(\lambda, \tau^{mv_1 v_2})$. So $\tilde{h}_{\mathbf{v}}$ induces a map $h_{\mathbf{v}} : B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}} \rightarrow B_{l_1, l_2, 1, \mathbf{w}'}$ defined by

$$(31) \quad h_{\mathbf{v}}([x, u; [z_1, z_2]]) = [x, u; [z_1^{m_2}, z_2^{m_1}]],$$

where the outer brackets denote the equivalence class with respect to the corresponding T^2 action. We have

Lemma 3.6. *The map $h_{\mathbf{v}} : B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}} \rightarrow B_{l_1, l_2, 1, \mathbf{w}'}$ defined by Equation (31) is a biholomorphism.*

Proof. The map is ostensibly holomorphic. Now $\tilde{h}_{\mathbf{v}}$ is the identity map on M and a $mv_1 v_2$ -fold covering map on the corresponding lens spaces. From the commutative diagram (29) the induced map $h_{\mathbf{v}}$ is fiber preserving and is a bijection on the fibers with holomorphic inverse. \square

Remark 3.7. It is well known that a weighted projective line $\mathbb{C}P^1[w_1, w_2]$ is biholomorphic to the projective line itself $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Similarly, developable orbifolds of the form $\mathbb{C}P^1/G$ are biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^1$ for any finite reflection group $G \subset \mathfrak{Aut}(\mathbb{C}P^1)$. In the case of our ruled manifolds this gives rise to Galois covers of log pairs

$$(S_n, \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_1}\right)D_1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_2}\right)D_2) \rightarrow (S_n, \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)(D_1 + D_2)) \rightarrow (S_n, \emptyset).$$

Set theoretically the maps are the identity maps with the identity Galois group. However, they are inequivalent as orbifolds. For further discussion of this approach see [GK07]. Note also that generally the trivial orbifold (S_n, \emptyset) does not occur as one of our quotients.

Lemma 3.6 allows us to consider the orbifold $B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}$ as the log pair $(B_{l_1, l_2, 1, \mathbf{w}'}, \Delta)$ where Δ is given by Equation (30). Notice, as mentioned above, when $\mathbf{v} = (1, 1)$ we have an almost regular Reeb vector field. Here the orbifold structure can be non-trivial, namely, $B_{l_1, l_2, (1,1), \mathbf{w}} = (B_{l_1, l_2, 1, \mathbf{w}'}, \Delta)$ where $m_1 = m_2 = m = \frac{l_2}{s}$ and the branch divisor is given by

$$\Delta = \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)(D_1 + D_2).$$

The T^2 action $\mathcal{A}_{(1,1),l,\mathbf{w}'} : M \times L(l_2; l_1 w'_1, l_1 w'_2) \longrightarrow M \times L(l_2; l_1 w'_1, l_1 w'_2)$ is given by

$$(32) \quad (x, u; z_1, z_2) \mapsto (x, e^{i\theta} u; [e^{i(\phi - \frac{l_1 w'_1}{l_2} \theta)} z_1, e^{i(\phi - \frac{l_1 w'_2}{l_2} \theta)} z_2]),$$

Defining $\chi = \phi - \frac{l_1 w'_1}{l_2} \theta$ gives

$$(33) \quad (x, u; z_1, z_2) \mapsto (x, e^{i\theta} u; [e^{i\chi} z_1, e^{i(\chi + \frac{l_1}{l_2}(w'_1 - w'_2)\theta)} z_2]).$$

The analysis above shows that this action is generally not free, but has branch divisors at the zero ($z_2 = 0$) and infinity ($z_1 = 0$) sections with ramification indices both equal to m .

Equation (33) tells us that the T^2 -quotient space $B_{l_1, l_2, 1, \mathbf{w}'}$ is the projectivization of the holomorphic rank two vector bundle $E = \mathbb{1} \oplus L_n$ over N where $\mathbb{1}$ denotes the trivial line bundle and L_n is a line bundle of ‘degree’ $n = \frac{l_1}{s}(w_1 v_2 - w_2 v_1)$ with $s = \gcd(|w_1 v_2 - w_2 v_1|, l_2)$. So $S_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1} \oplus L_n)$ is a smooth projective algebraic variety. Next we identify N with the zero section D_1 of L_n , and note that $c_1(L_n)$ is just the restriction of the Poincaré dual of D_1 to D_1 , i.e. $PD(D_1)|_{D_1} = c_1(L_n)$.

Summarizing we have

Theorem 3.8. *Let $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ be the join as described in the beginning of the section with the induced contact structure $\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$. Let $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)$ be a weight vector with relatively prime integer components and let $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ be the corresponding Reeb vector field in the Sasaki cone $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}^+$. Then the quotient of $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ by the flow of the Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ is a projective algebraic orbifold written as a the log pair (S_n, Δ) where S_n is the total space of the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1} \oplus L_n)$ over the Kähler manifold N with $n = l_1(\frac{w_1 v_2 - w_2 v_1}{s})$, Δ the branch divisor*

$$(34) \quad \Delta = (1 - \frac{1}{m_1})D_1 + (1 - \frac{1}{m_2})D_2,$$

with ramification indices $m_i = v_i \frac{l_2}{s} = v_i m$ and divisors D_1 and D_2 given by the zero section $\mathbb{1} \oplus 0$ and infinity section $0 \oplus L_n$, respectively. The fiber of the orbifold (S_n, Δ) is the orbifold $\mathbb{CP}[v_1, v_2]/\mathbb{Z}_m$.

Next we focus on the projective bundle $S_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1} \oplus L_n)$. From Equation (33) we see that S_n is a fiber bundle over N with fiber \mathbb{CP}^1 associated to the principle S^1 -bundle $M \longrightarrow N$. We want to determine the Kähler class $[\omega_B]$ of the orbifold $B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}} = (S_n, \Delta)$ induced by the projection $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} \longrightarrow B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}$. First consider the following commutative diagram:

$$(35) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & M \times L(l_2; l_1 w_1, l_1 w_2) & & \\ & & \downarrow \pi_L & & \\ & & M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} & & \\ N \times \mathbb{CP}^1[\mathbf{w}] & \swarrow \pi_{\mathbf{w}} & & \searrow \pi_{\mathbf{v}} & (S_n, \Delta) \\ & p_{\mathbf{w}} \searrow & N & \swarrow p_{\mathbf{v}} & \end{array}$$

where $p_{\mathbf{w}}, p_{\mathbf{v}}$ are the obvious projections. Second, note that we have the following lemma

Lemma 3.9. *For the log pair (S_n, Δ) with*

$$\Delta = (1 - 1/m_1)D_1 + (1 - 1/m_2)D_2$$

the orbifold Chern class equals

$$c_1^{orb}(S_n, \Delta) = p_{\mathbf{v}}^* c_1(N) + \frac{1}{m_1} PD(D_1) + \frac{1}{m_2} PD(D_2).$$

Proof. The usual argument gives that

$$c_1^{orb}(S_n, \Delta) = p_{\mathbf{v}}^* c_1(S_n) + \left(\frac{1}{m_1} - 1\right) PD(D_1) + \left(\frac{1}{m_2} - 1\right) PD(D_2)$$

and the lemma now follows from the fact that

$$c_1(S_n) = p_{\mathbf{v}}^* c_1(N) + PD(D_1) + PD(D_2).$$

One can verify the last fact by using the explicit Ricci form above for some convenient choice of admissible metric (e.g. take $F(\mathfrak{z}) = (1 - \mathfrak{z}^2)\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{z})$) in the case $m_1 = m_2 = 1$, but it should also follow from general principles. \square

By Equation (7)

$$\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* c_1^{orb}(S_n, \Delta) = c_1(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}) = (p_{\mathbf{w}} \circ \pi_{\mathbf{w}})^* c_1(N) - l_1 |\mathbf{w}| \gamma.$$

So from Lemma 3.9 we have

$$(p_{\mathbf{v}} \circ \pi_{\mathbf{v}})^* c_1(N) + \frac{1}{m_1} \pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* PD(D_1) + \frac{1}{m_2} \pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* PD(D_2) = (p_{\mathbf{w}} \circ \pi_{\mathbf{w}})^* c_1(N) - l_1 |\mathbf{w}| \gamma.$$

We also know that (see e.g. Section 1.3 in [ACGTF08a])

$$PD(D_1) - PD(D_2) = n p_{\mathbf{v}}^* [\omega_N]$$

and so

$$\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* PD(D_1) - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* PD(D_2) = n (p_{\mathbf{v}} \circ \pi_{\mathbf{v}})^* [\omega_N].$$

From the commutative diagram (35) we see that

$$(p_{\mathbf{v}} \circ \pi_{\mathbf{v}})^*[\omega_N] = (p_{\mathbf{w}} \circ \pi_{\mathbf{w}})^*[\omega_N] = l_2\gamma.$$

and

$$(p_{\mathbf{v}} \circ \pi_{\mathbf{v}})^*c_1(N) = (p_{\mathbf{w}} \circ \pi_{\mathbf{w}})^*c_1(N),$$

so we get the system

$$\frac{1}{m_1}\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^*PD(D_1) + \frac{1}{m_2}\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^*PD(D_2) = -l_1|\mathbf{w}|\gamma.$$

$$\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^*PD(D_1) - \pi_{\mathbf{v}}^*PD(D_2) = l_2n\gamma$$

which implies that $\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^*PD(D_1) = \frac{\frac{nl_2-l_1|\mathbf{w}|}{m_2}}{\frac{1}{m_1}+\frac{1}{m_2}} = -m_1l_1w_2\gamma$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^*PD(D_2) = -m_2l_1w_1\gamma$.

We are now ready to prove the following lemma

Lemma 3.10. *The induced Kähler class on $B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}} = (S_n, \Delta)$ takes the form*

$$k_1p_{\mathbf{v}}^*[\omega_N] + k_2PD(D_1)$$

for some positive integers k_1, k_2 .

Proof. From the commutative diagram (35) we see that on degree 2 homology $\ker \pi_B^* = (\pi_{\mathbf{v}} \circ \pi_L)^*$ has dimension 2. We claim that $p_{\mathbf{v}}^*[\omega_N]$ and $PD(D_1)$ span $\ker \pi_B^*$. To see this we note that from the definition of the join, that $p_{\mathbf{v}}^*[\omega_N]$ is in $\ker \pi_B^*$. Moreover,

$$(p_{\mathbf{v}} \circ \pi_{\mathbf{v}} \circ \pi_L)^* : H^2(N, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H^2(M \times L(l_2; l_1w_1, l_1w_2), \mathbb{R})$$

has a one dimensional kernel. So it must be spanned by $[\omega_N]$. Since $p_{\mathbf{v}}^*[\omega_N]$ is in $\ker \pi_B^*$ and $(p_{\mathbf{v}} \circ \pi_{\mathbf{v}})^*[\omega_N] = l_2\gamma$, we must have that $\pi_L^*\gamma = 0$. It follows that $PD(D_1)$ is also in the kernel of π_B^* and since it is clearly independent of $p_{\mathbf{v}}^*[\omega_N]$ we conclude that $p_{\mathbf{v}}^*[\omega_N]$ and $PD(D_1)$ span $\ker \pi_B^*$.

The induced Kähler class on $B_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}} = (S_n, \Delta)$ is clearly in $\ker \pi_B^*$ and so the lemma follows. \square

In view of Lemma 3.10 we write the induced Kähler class $[\omega_B]$ on (S_n, Δ) as

$$(36) \quad [\omega_B] = k_1p_{\mathbf{v}}^*[\omega_N] + k_2PD(D_1)$$

Lemma 3.11. *The following hold:*

- (1) $k_2 = l_2$,
- (2) $k_1 = m_1l_1w_2$

Proof. Since we know that $\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^*[\omega_B]$ is a trivial class in $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ and $(p_{\mathbf{v}} \circ \pi_{\mathbf{v}})^*[\omega_N] = l_2\gamma$ while $\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^*PD(D_1) = -m_1l_1w_2\gamma$, we see immediately that $k_1l_2 - k_2m_1l_1w_2 = 0$ and since $\gcd(k_1, k_2) = m = l_2/s$, we conclude that $k_2 = l_2$ while $k_1 = m_1l_1w_2$. \square

In the almost regular case this process can be inverted. Given positive integers n, m, k_1, k_2 with $m = \gcd(k_1, k_2)$ we can determine the relatively prime positive integers w_1, w_2 from the equation

$$\frac{w_2}{w_1} = \frac{k_1}{nk_2 + k_1}$$

and the relatively prime positive integers l_1, l_2 from

$$\frac{l_1}{l_2} = \frac{n}{m(w_1 - w_2)}.$$

This gives an analog of diagram (32) of [BTF14a] together with its interpretation depicted in the diagram

$$(37) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} & \\ \pi_{\mathbf{w}} \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_{\mathbf{v}} \\ N \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{w}) & & (S_n, \Delta). \end{array}$$

Thus, we can view $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ in two ways. First, the southwest arrow describes an S^1 orbibundle over the Kähler orbifold $N \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1[\mathbf{w}]$ with its product structure. Second the southeast arrow describes the Kähler structure of a $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over N with twisted complex structure and a mild orbifold structure on the fibers given as a quotient by an almost regular Reeb vector field. Note that in (32) of [BTF14a] the southeast arrow is the quotient by a regular Reeb vector field.

4. THE TOPOLOGY OF THE JOINS

Since we are mainly interested in compact Sasaki manifolds, which have finite fundamental group, we shall assume that the Sasaki manifold M is simply connected. It is then easy to construct examples with cyclic fundamental group. From the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration $S^1 \rightarrow M \times S^3 \rightarrow M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ we have

Proposition 4.1. *If M is simply connected, then so is $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$. Moreover, if M is 2-connected, $\pi_2(M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}) \approx \mathbb{Z}$.*

We now describe our method for computing the cohomology ring of the join $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$.

4.1. The Method. Our approach uses the spectral sequence method employed in [WZ90, BG00] (see also Section 7.6.2 of [BG08]). The fibration π_L in Diagram (3) together with the torus bundle with total space $M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ gives the commutative diagram of fibrations

$$(38) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{B}S^1 \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ M \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 & \longrightarrow & N \times \mathbf{BCP}^1[\mathbf{w}] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{B}S^1 \times \mathbf{B}S^1 \end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{B}G$ is the classifying space of a group G or Haefliger's classifying space [Hae84] of an orbifold if G is an orbifold. Note that the lower fibration is a product of fibrations. In particular, the fibration

$$(39) \quad S_{\mathbf{w}}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbf{BCP}^1[\mathbf{w}] \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}S^1$$

is rationally equivalent to the Hopf fibration, so over \mathbb{Q} the only non-vanishing differentials in its Leray-Serre spectral sequence are $d_4(\beta) = s^2$ where β is the orientation class of S^3 and s is a basis in $H^2(\mathbf{B}S^1, \mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Q}$ and those induced from d_4 by naturality. However, we want the cohomology over \mathbb{Z} .

Lemma 4.2. *For w_1 and w_2 relatively prime positive integers we have*

$$H_{orb}^r(\mathbf{CP}^1[\mathbf{w}], \mathbb{Z}) = H^r(\mathbf{BCP}^1[\mathbf{w}], \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{for } r = 0, 2, \\ \mathbb{Z}_{w_1 w_2} & \text{for } r > 2 \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{for } r \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. As in [BG08] we cover the $\mathbf{BCP}^1[\mathbf{w}]$ with two overlapping open sets $p^{-1}(U_i) \approx \tilde{U}_i \times_{\Gamma_i} EO$ where U_i is $\mathbf{CP}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{CP}^1 \setminus \{\infty\}$ for $i = 1, 2$, respectively. The Mayer-Vietoris sequence is

$$\rightarrow H^r(\mathbf{BCP}^1[\mathbf{w}], \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^r(p^{-1}(U_1), \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^r(p^{-1}(U_2), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^r(p^{-1}(U_1) \cap p^{-1}(U_2), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Now $p^{-1}(U_i) \approx \tilde{U}_i \times_{\Gamma_i} EO$ is the Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbb{Z}_{w_i}, 1)$ whose cohomology is the group cohomology

$$H^r(\mathbb{Z}_{w_i}, \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{for } r = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}_{w_i} & \text{for } r > 0 \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{for } r \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $p^{-1}(U_1) \cap p^{-1}(U_2) = \tilde{U}_1 \cap \tilde{U}_2 \times_{\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2} EO$ and since w_1, w_2 are relatively prime $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \mathbb{Z}_{w_1} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{w_2} = \{\mathbb{1}\}$. So for $r = 2$ the Mayer-Vietoris sequence becomes

$$(40) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{j} H^2(\mathbf{BCP}^1[\mathbf{w}], \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{w_1 w_2} \longrightarrow 0.$$

From the E_2 term of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the fibration (39), we see that the map j in (40) must be multiplication by w_1w_2 implying that $H^2(\mathbf{BCP}^1[\mathbf{w}], \mathbb{Z}) \approx \mathbb{Z}$.

For $r > 2$ even the sequence gives $H^r(\mathbf{BCP}^1[\mathbf{w}], \mathbb{Z}) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{w_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{w_2} \approx \mathbb{Z}_{w_1w_2}$, whereas for r odd $H^r(\mathbf{BCP}^1[\mathbf{w}], \mathbb{Z}) \approx 0$. \square

One now easily sees that

Lemma 4.3. *The only non-vanishing differentials in the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the fibration (39) are those induced naturally by $d_4(\alpha) = w_1w_2s^2$ for $s \in H^2(\mathbf{BS}^1, \mathbb{Z}) \approx \mathbb{Z}[s]$ and α the orientation class of S^3 .*

Now the map ψ of Diagram (38) is that induced by the inclusion $e^{i\theta} \mapsto (e^{il_2\theta}, e^{-il_1\theta})$. So noting

$$H^*(\mathbf{BS}^1 \times \mathbf{BS}^1, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[s_1, s_2]$$

we see that $\psi^*s_1 = l_2s$ and $\psi^*s_2 = -l_1s$. This together with Lemma 4.3 gives $d_4(\alpha) = w_1w_2l_1^2s^2$ in the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the top fibration in Diagram (38).

Further analysis depends on the differentials in the spectral sequence of the fibration

$$(41) \quad M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \mathbf{BS}^1.$$

Algorithm 4.4. *Given the differentials in the spectral sequence of the fibration (41), one can use the commutative diagram (38) to compute the cohomology ring of the join manifold $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$.*

It is worth mentioning that the finiteness of deformation types of smooth Fano manifolds implies a bound on the Betti numbers of the join which only depends on dimension. This gives a Betti number bound on the manifolds obtained from our construction when N is Fano. In particular, in dimension seven $b_2(M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}^7) \leq 9$, whereas, in dimension nine we have the bound $b_2(M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}^9) \leq 10$ [BG00].

4.2. An Example in General Dimension. One case that is particularly easy to describe in all odd dimensions is when M is the odd-dimensional sphere S^{2r+1} with $r = 2, 3, \dots$. Here we have $N = \mathbf{CP}^r$ which is monotone with Fano index $\mathcal{J}_N = r + 1$. We have

Theorem 4.5. *The join $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}^{2r+3} = S^{2r+1} \star_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ has integral cohomology ring given by*

$$\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(w_1w_2l_1^2x^2, x^{r+1}, x^2y, y^2)$$

where x, y are classes of degree 2 and $2r + 1$, respectively.

Proof. The E_2 term of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the top fibration of diagram (38) is

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathbf{B}S^1, H^q(S^{2r+1} \times S_{\mathbf{w}}^3, \mathbf{Z})) \approx \mathbf{Z}[s] \otimes \Lambda[\alpha, \beta],$$

where α is a 3-class and β is a $2r+1$ class. By the Leray-Serre Theorem this converges to $H^{p+q}(M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}^{2r+3}, \mathbf{Z})$. From the usual Hopf fibration and Lemma 4.3 the only non-zero differentials in the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the bottom fibration in Diagram (38) are $d_4(\alpha) = w_1 w_2 s_2^2$ and $d_{2r+2}(\beta) = s_1^{r+1}$. By naturality the differentials of the top fibration of (38) are $d_4(\alpha) = w_1 w_2 (-l_1 s)^2$ and $d_{2r+2}(\beta) = (l_2 s)^{r+1}$. It follows that $H^p(M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}^{2r+3}, \mathbf{Z})$ has an element x of degree 2 with $w_1 w_2 l_1^2 x^2$ vanishing, and since l_2 is relatively prime to $w_1 w_2 l_1^2$, x^p vanishes for $p \geq r+1$. Similarly, for dimensional reasons there is an element y of degree $2r+1$ such that y^2 and $x^2 y$ vanish. \square

The connected component $\mathfrak{Aut}_0(M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}^{2r+3})$ of the Sasaki automorphism group $\mathfrak{Aut}(M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}^{2r+3})$ of the manifolds $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}^{2r+3}$ is $SU(r+1) \times T^2$. Hence, these manifolds are toric Sasaki manifolds. In fact, the join of toric Sasaki manifolds is a toric Sasaki manifold [Boy13]. However, our methods only make essential use of the 2-dimensional \mathbf{w} -subcone, not the full Sasaki cone. The manifolds $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}^{2r+3}$ are studied further in [BTF14e].

5. ADMISSIBLE CSC CONSTRUCTIONS

We now pick up the thread from Section 2.3 and describe the construction (see also [ACGTF08a]) of admissible Kähler metrics on S_n (in fact, more generally on log pairs (S_n, Δ)). Consider the circle action on S_n induced by the natural circle action on L_n . It extends to a holomorphic \mathbf{C}^* action. The open and dense set $S_{n0} \subset S_n$ of stable points with respect to the latter action has the structure of a principal \mathbf{C}^* bundle over the stable quotient. The hermitian norm on the fibers induces via a Legendre transform a function $\mathfrak{z} : S_{n0} \rightarrow (-1, 1)$ whose extension to S_n consists of the critical manifolds $\mathfrak{z}^{-1}(1) = P(\mathbf{1} \oplus 0)$ and $\mathfrak{z}^{-1}(-1) = P(0 \oplus L_n)$. Letting θ be a connection one form for the Hermitian metric on S_{n0} , with curvature $d\theta = \omega_{N_n}$, an admissible Kähler metric and form on the base S_n are given up to scale by the respective formulas

$$(42) \quad g = \frac{1+r\mathfrak{z}}{r} g_{N_n} + \frac{d\mathfrak{z}^2}{\Theta(\mathfrak{z})} + \Theta(\mathfrak{z})\theta^2, \quad \omega = \frac{1+r\mathfrak{z}}{r} \omega_{N_n} + d\mathfrak{z} \wedge \theta,$$

valid on S_{n0} . Here Θ is a smooth function with domain containing $(-1, 1)$ and r , is a real number of the same sign as g_{N_n} and satisfying

$0 < |r| < 1$. The complex structure yielding this Kähler structure is given by the pullback of the base complex structure along with the requirement $Jd\mathfrak{z} = \Theta\theta$. The function \mathfrak{z} is hamiltonian with $K = J \text{grad } \mathfrak{z}$ a Killing vector field. In fact, \mathfrak{z} is the moment map on S_n for the circle action, decomposing S_n into the free orbits $S_{n0} = \mathfrak{z}^{-1}((-1, 1))$ and the special orbits $D_1 = \mathfrak{z}^{-1}(1)$ and $D_2 = \mathfrak{z}^{-1}(-1)$. Finally, θ satisfies $\theta(K) = 1$.

Remark 5.1. Note that on S_n

$$\phi := \frac{-(1+r\mathfrak{z})}{r^2}\omega_{N_n} + \mathfrak{z}d\mathfrak{z} \wedge \theta$$

is a Hamiltonian 2-form of order one.

We can now interpret g as a metric on the log pair (S_n, Δ) with

$$\Delta = (1 - 1/m_1)D_1 + (1 - 1/m_2)D_2$$

if Θ satisfies the positivity and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\mathfrak{z}) &> 0, & -1 < \mathfrak{z} < 1, \\ (43) \quad \Theta(\pm 1) &= 0, \\ \Theta'(-1) &= 2/m_2 & \Theta'(1) = -2/m_1. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.2. This construction is based on the symplectic viewpoint where different choices of Θ yields different complex structures all compatible with the same fixed symplectic form ω . However, for each Θ there is an S^1 -equivariant diffeomorphism pulling back J to the original fixed complex structure on S_n in such a way that the Kähler form of the new Kähler metric is in the same cohomology class as ω [ACGTF08a]. Therefore, with all else fixed, we may view the set of the functions Θ satisfying (43) as parametrizing a family of Kähler metrics within the same Kähler class of (S_n, Δ) .

The Kähler class $\Omega_r = [\omega]$ of an admissible metric is also called *admissible* and is uniquely determined by the parameter r , once the data associated with S_n (i.e. d_N, s_{N_n}, g_{N_n} etc.) is fixed. In fact, up to scale

$$(44) \quad \Omega_r = [\omega_{N_n}]/r + 2\pi PD[D_1 + D_2],$$

where PD denotes the Poincaré dual. The number r , together with the data associated with S_n will be called *admissible data*.

Define a function $F(\mathfrak{z})$ by the formula $\Theta(\mathfrak{z}) = F(\mathfrak{z})/\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{z})$, where $\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{z}) = (1+r\mathfrak{z})^{d_N}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{z})$ is positive for $-1 \leq \mathfrak{z} \leq 1$, conditions (43) are equivalent to the following conditions on $F(\mathfrak{z})$:

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} F(\mathfrak{z}) &> 0, \quad -1 < \mathfrak{z} < 1, \\ F(\pm 1) &= 0, \\ F'(-1) &= 2\mathfrak{p}(-1)/m_2 \quad F'(1) = -2\mathfrak{p}(1)/m_1. \end{aligned}$$

5.1. The CSC condition. From [ACG06] we have that the scalar curvature of an admissible metric given by (42) equals

$$(46) \quad Scal = \frac{2d_N s_{N_n} r}{1+r\mathfrak{z}} - \frac{F''(\mathfrak{z})}{\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{z})}.$$

Thus the CSC condition is equivalent the ODE

$$(47) \quad F''(\mathfrak{z}) = (2d_N s_{N_n} r - k(1+r\mathfrak{z})) (1+r\mathfrak{z})^{d_N-1},$$

where k is a constant (equal to $Scal$ when (47) is solved). Notice that if (47) has a solution such that the boundary conditions from (45) holds, then it will also follow that $F(\mathfrak{z}) > 0$ for $-1 < \mathfrak{z} < 1$ and thus all of (45) is satisfied. To see this, merely observe that since $(1+r\mathfrak{z})^{d_N-1} > 0$ for $0 < |r| < 1$ and $-1 < \mathfrak{z} < 1$, then $F''(\mathfrak{z})$ can change sign at most once over the interval $-1 < \mathfrak{z} < 1$. Together with this fact, the endpoint conditions rule out any possibility of $F(\mathfrak{z})$ being zero for any $-1 < \mathfrak{z} < 1$.

Integrating and using the conditions of $F'(\pm 1)$ in (45), we immediately get that

$$F'(\mathfrak{z}) = \left(2s_{N_n} - k \frac{1}{r(d_N+1)} (1+r\mathfrak{z}) \right) (1+r\mathfrak{z})^{d_N} + c,$$

where

$$(48) \quad c = \frac{2(1-r^2)^{d_N} (m_2(1-r) + m_1(1+r) - 2m_1m_2s_{N_n})}{m_1m_2((1+r)^{d_N+1} - (1-r)^{d_N+1})}$$

and

$$(49) \quad k = \frac{2(d_N+1)r (m_2(1+r)^{d_N}(1+m_1s_{N_n}) - m_1(1-r)^{d_N}(-1+m_2s_{N_n}))}{m_1m_2((1+r)^{d_N+1} - (1-r)^{d_N+1})}.$$

Now we have a solution to (47), namely

$$F(\mathfrak{z}) = \int_{-1}^{\mathfrak{z}} \left(\left(2s_{N_n} - k \frac{1}{r(d_N+1)} (1+rt) \right) (1+rt)^{d_N} + c \right) d\mathfrak{z},$$

satisfying (45) iff

$$(50) \quad \int_{-1}^1 \left(\left(2s_{N_n} - k \frac{1}{r(d_N + 1)} (1 + r\mathfrak{z}) \right) (1 + r\mathfrak{z})^{d_N} + c \right) d\mathfrak{z} = 0.$$

Now we integrate (50) to arrive at the equation

$$(51) \quad \frac{2s_{N_n} \left((1 + r)^{d_N+1} - (1 - r)^{d_N+1} \right)}{r(d_N + 1)} - \frac{k \left((1 + r)^{d_N+2} - (1 - r)^{d_N+2} \right)}{r^2(d_N + 1)(d_N + 2)} + 2c = 0.$$

Thus the existence of an admissible CSC Kähler metric on the log pair (S_n, Δ) correspond to solving all three equations (48), (49), and (51).

5.2. Extremal Kähler metrics. If we generalize equation (47) to the condition that $Scal$ from (46) is a affine function of \mathfrak{z} , then we obtain the equation

$$(52) \quad F''(\mathfrak{z}) = (1 + r\mathfrak{z})^{d_N-1} (2d_N s_{N_n} r + (\alpha\mathfrak{z} + \beta)(1 + r\mathfrak{z})),$$

where α and β are constants. It is well known that this corresponds to extremal Kähler metrics (see e.g. [ACGTF08a]). Moreover, similarly to the smooth case, one easily sees (by integrating and solving for A and B) that (52) has a unique solution $F(\mathfrak{z})$ satisfying the endpoint conditions of (45). Finally, if the Kähler form ω_N on N is assumed to have positive scalar curvature, this polynomial $F(\mathfrak{z})$ also satisfies the positivity condition of (45) by the standard root-counting argument introduced by Hwang [Hwa94] and Guan [Gua95]. For completeness we give the root-counting argument for this special case: Assume for contradiction that the positivity condition of (45) fails. Then, due to the endpoint conditions on $F(\mathfrak{z})$, the function $F(\mathfrak{z})$ has at least two relative maxima and at least one relative minimum inside the interval $(-1, 1)$. Thus, in the interval $(-1, 1)$, the concavity of $F(\mathfrak{z})$ changes at least twice, i.e. $F''(\mathfrak{z})$ is zero at least twice. Since $(1 + r\mathfrak{z})^{d_N-1} > 0$ for $-1 < \mathfrak{z} < 1$, we see that this implies that the second order polynomial $P(\mathfrak{z}) = (2d_N s_{N_n} r + (\alpha\mathfrak{z} + \beta)(1 + r\mathfrak{z}))$ has two roots inside $(-1, 1)$ and further the concavity changes exactly twice. Thus $F(\mathfrak{z})$ has two relative maxima at $\mathfrak{z} = a_1$ and $\mathfrak{z} = a_3$ and one relative minimum at $\mathfrak{z} = a_2$ such that $-1 < a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < 1$ and the roots r_1, r_2 of $P(\mathfrak{z})$ are such that $a_1 < r_1 < a_2 < r_2 < a_3$. Moreover, $P(a_1) < 0$ and $P(a_3) < 0$. Now we observe that $P(-1/r) = 2d_N s_{N_n} r$ and thus if $s_{N_n} r \geq 0$, we see that $P(\mathfrak{z})$ must have one more root in either $[-1/r, a_1)$ (if $r > 0$) or $(a_3, -1/r]$ (if $r < 0$). Obviously $P(\mathfrak{z})$ cannot have three roots and so we have a contradiction. We conclude that the positivity condition of (45) must be satisfied.

This yields the following proposition which also proves Theorem 1.2 as we shall see below.

Proposition 5.3. *Assume that the scalar curvature s_N of (N, ω_N) is non-negative. For any log pair (S_n, Δ) , any admissible Kähler class on S_n contains an admissible extremal metric which is smooth in the orbifold sense on (S_n, Δ) .*

5.3. The Einstein Conditions. A Kähler metric is KE if and only if

$$\rho - \lambda\omega = 0$$

for some constant λ . From [ACG06] we have that the Ricci form of an admissible metric given by (42) equals

$$(53) \quad \rho = \rho_N - \frac{1}{2} dd^c \log F = s_{N_n} \omega_{N_n} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{F'(\mathfrak{z})}{\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{z})} \omega_{N_n} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{F'(\mathfrak{z})}{\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{z})} \right)' (\mathfrak{z}) d\mathfrak{z} \wedge \theta.$$

Thus the KE condition is equivalent the ODE

$$(54) \quad \frac{F'(\mathfrak{z})}{\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{z})} = 2s_{N_n} - 2\lambda(\mathfrak{z} + 1/r).$$

Now (45) implies the necessary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} s_{N_n} - \lambda(-1 + 1/r) &= 1/m_2 \\ s_{N_n} - \lambda(1 + 1/r) &= -1/m_1, \end{aligned}$$

which are equivalent to

$$(55) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\lambda &= 1/m_2 + 1/m_1 \\ 2s_{N_n} r &= (1+r)/m_2 + (1-r)/m_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $s_{N_n} r > 0$ we see that the base manifold N (not surprisingly) must have positive scalar curvature. If (55) is satisfied, then (54) is equivalent to the ODE:

$$(56) \quad \frac{F'(\mathfrak{z})}{\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{z})} = (1 - \mathfrak{z})/m_2 - (1 + \mathfrak{z})/m_1$$

Now it is easy to see that for a solution satisfying (45) to exist we need

$$(57) \quad \int_{-1}^1 ((1 - \mathfrak{z})/m_2 - (1 + \mathfrak{z})/m_1) \mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{z}) d\mathfrak{z} = 0.$$

On the other hand, if this is satisfied

$$(58) \quad F(\mathfrak{z}) := \int_{-1}^{\mathfrak{z}} ((1 - t)/m_2 - (1 + t)/m_1) \mathfrak{p}(t) dt$$

would yield a solution of (54) satisfying all the conditions of (45). Setting $s_{N_n} = \mathcal{J}_N/n$ in the second equation of (55) we have the following result.

Proposition 5.4. *Given admissible data and a choice of m_1, m_2 as above the admissible metric (42), with $\Theta(\mathfrak{z}) = \frac{F(\mathfrak{z})}{p(t)}$ and $F(\mathfrak{z})$ given by (58), is KE iff*

$$2r\mathcal{J}_N/n = (1+r)/m_2 + (1-r)/m_1$$

and (57) are both satisfied.

6. CSC AND EXTREMAL RAYS

In order to finish the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we now connect the Kähler geometry of Section 5 with the Sasaki geometry of Section 3. Assume $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M \star_{l_1, l_2} S_{\mathbf{w}}^3$ is the join as described in the beginning of the Section 3 with the induced contact structure $\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$, and now we assume that $w_1 > w_2$. Let $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)$ be a weight vector with relatively prime integer components and let $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ be the corresponding Reeb vector field in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}^+$. Let the log pair (S_n, Δ) be the quotient of $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ by the flow of the Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$. Using Theorem 3.8 we have $m_i = v_i \frac{l_2}{s}$ and $n = l_1 \left(\frac{w_1 v_2 - w_2 v_1}{s} \right)$, where $s = \gcd(|w_2 v_1 - w_1 v_2|, l_2)$. Writing $[\omega_{N_n}] = 2\pi n p_{\mathbf{v}}^*[\omega_N]$ and using that

$$PD[D_1 + D_2] = 2PD(D_1) - PD(D_1 - D_2) = 2PD(D_1) - n[\omega_N],$$

we see that (44) can be re-written to

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{r}} = 4\pi \left(\frac{n(1-r)}{2r} [\omega_N] + PD(D_1) \right)$$

and so $[\omega_B]$ given by Lemma 3.11 is indeed admissible, where r is such that

$$\frac{n(1-r)}{2r} = k_1/k_2 = m_1 l_1 w_2 / l_2$$

which gives

$$(59) \quad r = \frac{w_1 v_2 - w_2 v_1}{w_1 v_2 + w_2 v_1},$$

and

$$(60) \quad \Omega_{\mathbf{r}} = 4\pi \left(\frac{k_1}{l_2} [\omega_N] + PD(D_1) \right) = \frac{4\pi}{l_2} (k_1 [\omega_N] + k_2 PD(D_1)) = \frac{4\pi}{l_2} [\omega_B].$$

For a description of extremal Sasaki metrics we refer the reader to [BGS08] and Section 4.4 of [BTF14a].

6.1. Lifting the Admissible Data. We now want to lift the admissibility conditions on (S_n, Δ) to $M_{1,\mathbf{w}}$ using Theorem 3.8, and we need to determine the scale factor involved in this lifting. Let M_0 denote the dense open subspace of $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ defined by the condition $z_1 z_2 \neq 0$, and let Z_i be the submanifolds of $M_{1,\mathbf{w}}$ defined by setting $z_{i+1} = 0$ with $i = 1, 2 \pmod{2}$. This gives a stratification

$$(61) \quad M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} = M_0 \sqcup Z_1 \sqcup Z_2.$$

It is easy to see that

Lemma 6.1. *For each pair of relatively prime positive integers v_1, v_2 the dense open submanifold M_0 is the total space of an S^1 -bundle over S_{n_0} and $Z_i = \pi_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}(D_i)$ is independent of \mathbf{v} and n .*

This lemma says that although the quotient spaces of different Reeb vector fields in the Sasaki cone may be quite different even topologically, their lifted geometry on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ is similar.

Now Theorem 3.8 shows that the quotient space of $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ by the circle action generated by the quasi-regular Reeb vector field $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ is a ruled projective algebraic orbifold given as the log pair (S_n, Δ) ; however, although there is a specific Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{v}}$ on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$ the theorem does not specify the Kähler structure on (S_n, Δ) . It is now our purpose to do so, and relate it to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{v}}$.

Proposition 6.2. *Let v_1, v_2 be relatively prime positive integers, and consider the Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{v}} = (\xi_{\mathbf{v}}, \eta_{\mathbf{v}}, \Phi, g_{\mathbf{v}})$ on $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$. Then the induced Kähler structure (g_B, ω_B) on (S_n, Δ) satisfies*

$$g^T = \frac{l_2}{4\pi} \frac{\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* g}{m v_1 v_2} = \frac{\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* g_B}{m v_1 v_2}, \quad d\eta_{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{l_2}{4\pi} \frac{\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* \omega}{m v_1 v_2} = \frac{\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* \omega_B}{m v_1 v_2} = \omega^T$$

where $g^T = d\eta \circ (\mathbb{1} \otimes \Phi)$.

Proof. For any $\xi_{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}^+$ define the quadratic form $q_{\mathbf{v}} = v_1 |z_1|^2 + v_2 |z_2|^2$. Then the Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{v}}$ is related to reducible Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{w}}$ by $q_{\mathbf{v}} \eta_{\mathbf{v}} = q_{\mathbf{w}} \eta_{\mathbf{w}}$. This gives the relation between the transverse Kähler forms,

$$(62) \quad d\eta_{\mathbf{v}}|_{\mathcal{D}} = q_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1} q_{\mathbf{w}} d\eta_{\mathbf{w}}|_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Now choose coordinates on S^3 so that $q_{\mathbf{v}} = v_1 |z_1|^2 + v_2 |z_2|^2 = 2\kappa$ with $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{z}} : M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ be the moment map of the lifted circle action of the moment map \mathfrak{z} . Then Lemma 6.1 implies

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{z}} = \frac{\kappa - v_2 |z_2|^2}{\kappa} = \frac{v_1 |z_1|^2 - \kappa}{\kappa}$$

which gives

$$|z_1|^2 = (\kappa\tilde{\mathfrak{z}} + \kappa)/v_1 \quad |z_2|^2 = (\kappa - \kappa\tilde{\mathfrak{z}})/v_2.$$

This gives, using Equation (59)

$$(63) \quad q_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1}q_{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{(w_1v_2 - w_2v_1)\tilde{\mathfrak{z}} + w_1v_2 + w_2v_1}{2v_1v_2} = \frac{w_1v_2 - w_2v_1}{2v_1v_2}(\tilde{\mathfrak{z}} + r^{-1}).$$

Now by Equation (60) the Kähler form $(4\pi/l_2)\omega_B$ is in the admissible class $\Omega_{\mathbf{r}}$ and we choose it to be admissible. So $(4\pi/l_2)\omega_B = \omega$. Thus, pulling back and using Equation (42) we have by identifying N with the zero section of $S_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{1} \oplus L_n)$,

$$(64) \quad \omega_B|_N = \frac{l_2n}{2}(r^{-1} + \mathfrak{z})\omega_N.$$

Thus, to find the scale factor we write

$$(65) \quad \pi_{\mathbf{v}}^*\omega_B = bd\eta_{\mathbf{v}}$$

for some positive constant b . Now from the commutative Diagram (35) we have $\pi_{\mathbf{w}}^*\omega_N = \pi_{\mathbf{v}}^*\omega_N$. To find b it is enough to compare coefficients of the pullback of ω_N on both sides of Equation (65). Using Equations (62)-(64) together with the equation for n in Theorem 3.8 gives $b = mv_1v_2$. \square

Remark 6.3. The factor mv_1v_2 can be thought of as arising from the multiple cover argument in Diagram 29 which occurs on Sasakian level as well.

Proposition 6.2 allows us to consider the Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{v}}$ as an *admissible Sasakian structure*. We simply view Θ as a function of the lifted moment map $\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}$. This function $\Theta(\tilde{\mathfrak{z}})$ satisfies the positivity conditions and boundary conditions of Equation (43). We then get a Sasaki metric in the usual way, namely $g_{\mathbf{v}} = g^T + \eta_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes \eta_{\mathbf{v}}$ together with its full Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{v}} = (\xi_{\mathbf{v}}, \eta_{\mathbf{v}}, \Phi_{\mathbf{v}}, g_{\mathbf{v}})$. Although this construction was done for a pair of relatively prime positive integers v_1, v_2 we can extend this to the entire ray by applying a transverse homothety $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto (a^{-1}\xi, a\eta)$ which implies the following scaling of the admissible data:

$$\theta \mapsto a^{-1}\theta, \quad \Theta \mapsto a\Theta, \quad m_i \mapsto a^{-1}m_i,$$

and m is scale invariant. This defines the Sasaki admissible data for all quasi-regular Reeb vector fields.

We now wish to extend the concept of admissible Sasaki data to the irregular case. For this we consider the components v_1, v_2 of \mathbf{v} to be any positive real numbers. We shall assume that the function Θ of Section 5 is chosen such that $m\Theta$ is independent of m and varies smoothly

with v_1, v_2 . As we shall see later this is the case for any (quasi-regular) extremal Sasakian structure. We need

Lemma 6.4. *Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then the family of transverse Kähler metrics and forms of Proposition 6.2 vary smoothly with $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)$.*

Proof. It is convenient to rewrite the transverse metric g^T and Kähler form ω^T of Proposition 6.2 on the dense open set M_0 in the form

$$(66) \quad g^T = \frac{l_2}{4\pi} \left(\frac{2\pi l_1(w_1 v_2 - w_2 v_1)}{l_2 v_1 v_2} (r^{-1} + \tilde{\mathfrak{z}}) \pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* g_N + \frac{d\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}^2}{\tilde{\Theta}(\tilde{\mathfrak{z}})} + \tilde{\Theta}(\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}) \tilde{\theta}^2 \right)$$

$$\omega^T = \frac{l_2}{4\pi} \left(\frac{2\pi l_1(w_1 v_2 - w_2 v_1)}{l_2 v_1 v_2} (r^{-1} + \tilde{\mathfrak{z}}) \pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* \omega_N + d\tilde{\mathfrak{z}} \wedge \tilde{\theta} \right)$$

where $\tilde{\Theta} = m v_1 v_2 \pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* \Theta$ and $\tilde{\theta} = \frac{\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* \theta}{m v_1 v_2}$. Note that $\tilde{\Theta}$ satisfies the boundary conditions $\tilde{\Theta}(\pm 1) = 0$, $\tilde{\Theta}'(-1) = 2v_1$ and $\tilde{\Theta}'(1) = -2v_2$. We will ignore the term $l_2/4\pi$ and consider the terms in brackets as our admissible data.

We claim that we can interpret Equation (66) as a family of transverse Kähler metrics and forms that varies smoothly with \mathbf{v} . First from the commutative Diagram (35) we see that $\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* g_N = \pi_{\mathbf{w}}^* g_N$, so the term $\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* g_N$ is independent of \mathbf{v} .

So to show that the family is smooth on M_0 we only need to show $\tilde{\theta}$ is a family of 1-forms on M_0 that varies smoothly with \mathbf{v} . Since on M_0 we have coordinates induced by z_1, z_2 such that

$$v_1 |z_1|^2 = \kappa + \epsilon, \quad v_2 |z_2|^2 = \kappa - \epsilon$$

where $-\kappa < \epsilon < \kappa$. This trivializes M_0 as $M_0 \approx T^2 \times (-\kappa, \kappa) \times N$ as well as $S_{n_0} \approx S^1 \times (-\kappa, \kappa) \times N$. Now the Hamiltonian vector field K vanishes nowhere on S_{n_0} and lifts to a vector field on M_0 . Choosing $\kappa = v_1$ we see that this vector field is H_1 with moment map $\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}$ and satisfies $\pi_{\mathbf{v}*} H_1 = m v_1 v_2 K$ (cf. Remark 6.3). Since $\tilde{\theta}$ is a pullback we have $\tilde{\theta}(\xi_{\mathbf{v}}) = 0$ implying that $\tilde{\theta}(H_2) = -v_1/v_2$. Moreover, since both H_1 and H_2 are nowhere vanishing on M_0 we have coordinates φ_1 and φ_2 such that $\tilde{\theta} = d\varphi_1 - \frac{v_1}{v_2} d\varphi_2 + A$ where the A is a 1-form on N satisfying

$$dA = \frac{2\pi l_1(w_1 v_2 - w_2 v_1)}{l_2 v_1 v_2} \pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* \omega_N.$$

Since $\pi_{\mathbf{v}}^* \omega_N$ is independent of \mathbf{v} , this shows that $\tilde{\theta}$ depends smoothly on \mathbf{v} on M_0 .

As in the Kähler case the admissible quasi-regular Sasaki structures smoothly extend to the boundary $Z_1 \sqcup Z_2$ with the indicated boundary

conditions. Moreover, any irregular Sasakian structure $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{v}}$ in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone can be represented as a limit of quasi-regular structures by Theorem 7.1.10 of [BG08] which from the above can be taken to be admissible. Hence, by continuity the irregular admissible structures on M_0 extend to the boundary as well. \square

Remark 6.5. Beginning from a Kähler class Ω_r of Equation (44) we obtain an admissible Kähler form within the Kähler class by performing a deformation of the form $\omega \mapsto \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ where the function φ is invariant under the Hamiltonian circle action. This is equivalent on the Sasaki level to a deformation of the contact structure of the form $\eta \mapsto \eta + \zeta$ where ζ is a basic 1-form that is invariant under the lifted Hamiltonian circle action. Once this is done for a fixed \mathbf{v} we see from the discussion above that it holds for all \mathbf{v} .

Remark 6.6. It is convenient to consider the space of rays of the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone. We let $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{w}}$ denote the *space of rays* in $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}^+$ and the ray defined by the vector \mathbf{v} by $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$. By mapping a ray $\bar{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}^+$ to its slope v_2/v_1 gives a homeomorphism of $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{w}}$ with the open interval $(0, \infty)$. It follows from Equation 7.3.12 of [BG08] that under the transverse homothety $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto (a^{-1}\xi, a\eta)$ extremality as well as constant scalar curvature are preserved. Thus, being extremal or CSC is a property of rays and descends to $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{w}}$. Let $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\text{rat}}$ denote the subset of *rational rays*, that is, those rays with rational slope. By Theorem 7.1.10 of [BG08], $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\text{rat}}$ is dense in $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{w}}$. Moreover, for every rational ray there is a unique pair of relatively prime positive integers v_1, v_2 . So by Theorem 3.8 there is unique log pair (S_n, Δ) associated to the ray $\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\text{rat}}$.

6.2. Applying the Admissible Sasaki Data. For a choice of coprime integers $(v_1, v_2) \neq (w_1, w_2)$ and the values of m_i, n , and r given as above, we recall that the metric (42) is extremal when $\Theta(\mathfrak{z})$, satisfying the boundary conditions (43), is such that when $\Theta(\mathfrak{z}) = F(\mathfrak{z})/\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{z})$, then $F(\mathfrak{z})$ satisfies the ODE (52). The constants α and β are uniquely determined from this ODE and the boundary conditions.

Now we are setting $s_{N_n} = A/n = \frac{As}{l_1(w_1v_2 - w_2v_1)}$, where, by Remark 2.3, $A \leq d_N + 1$. In any case, A depends solely on (N, g_N, ω_N) . (If ω_N is Kähler-Einstein, A is just \mathcal{J}_N as introduced in Remark 2.3). As a consequence, since $m = l_2/s$, ms_{N_n} depends only on the join data and the choice of (v_1, v_2) . Thus the function $m\Theta(\mathfrak{z})$ is independent of m and varies smoothly with v_1, v_2 . This is precisely the assumption we need to be able to use Lemma 6.4, and so moving forward any pair (v_1, v_2) such that $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ has a well-defined “extremal” $\tilde{\Theta}(\mathfrak{z})$

resulting in the existence of an admissible extremal Sasakian metric whenever $\tilde{\Theta}(\tilde{\mathfrak{z}}) > 0$ for $-1 < \tilde{\mathfrak{z}} < 1$.

Notice that together with Propostion 5.3, this proves that when the scalar curvature s_N of (N, ω_N) is non-negative, then each ray in $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{w}}$ can be represented by extremal Sasaki metrics. Consequently this proves Theorem 1.2.

Assuming $w_1 > w_2$, the existence of an admissible quasi-regular CSC ray in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}^+$ corresponds to showing that for a choice of $(v_1, v_2) \neq (w_1, w_2)$ and the values of m_i , n , and r given as above, the equation system (48), (49), and (51) is solved. Notice that with $s_{N_n} = A/n = \frac{As}{l_1(w_1v_2 - w_2v_1)}$ the value s (equivalently m) predictably cancels from the equation system (48), (49), and (51). In fact, we have (assuming $(v_1, v_2) \neq (w_1, w_2)$), that the system is equivalent to the equation $f(b) = 0$ for $b > 0$, where $b = \frac{v_2}{v_1} \neq \frac{w_2}{w_1}$ is the slope alluded to in Remark 6.6 and

$$\begin{aligned}
(67) \quad f(b) &= w_1^{2(d_N+1)} b^{2d_N+3} (Al_2 + l_1(d_N+1)w_2 - b(d_N+1)l_1w_1) \\
&- w_1^{d_N+2} w_2^{d_N} b^{d_N+3} ((d_N+1)(A(d_N+1)l_2 - l_1((d_N+1)w_1 + (d_N+2)w_2))) \\
&+ w_1^{d_N+1} w_2^{d_N+1} b^{d_N+2} (2Ad_N(d_N+2)l_2 - (d_N+1)(2d_N+3)l_1(w_1+w_2)) \\
&- w_1^{d_N} w_2^{d_N+2} b^{d_N+1} (d_N+1)(A(d_N+1)l_2 - l_1((d_N+2)w_1 + (d_N+1)w_2)) \\
&+ w_2^{2(d_N+1)} (b(Al_2 + l_1(d_N+1)w_1) - (d_N+1)l_1w_2).
\end{aligned}$$

When a solution $b \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, we have a quasi-regular CSC metric and, since CSC is just a special case of extremal, when $b \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{Q}^+$, we have an irregular CSC metric.

Since $f(b)$ is a polynomial which is formally defined for any real value of b and

$$f\left(\frac{w_2}{w_1}\right) = f'\left(\frac{w_2}{w_1}\right) = f''\left(\frac{w_2}{w_1}\right) = 0$$

while

$$f'''\left(\frac{w_2}{w_1}\right) = 3(d_N+1)(d_N+2)l_1w_1^{d_N}w_2^{d_N}(w_1-w_2) > 0$$

and

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow +\infty} f(b) = -\infty,$$

we see that there is at least one solution $b \in (\frac{w_2}{w_1}, +\infty)$ to $f(b) = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

In the special case when ω_N is Kähler-Einstein, $A = \mathcal{J}_N > 0$ and

$$l_1 = \frac{\mathcal{J}_N}{\gcd(w_1 + w_2, \mathcal{J}_N)}, \quad l_2 = \frac{w_1 + w_2}{\gcd(w_1 + w_2, \mathcal{J}_N)}$$

we set $t = w_2/w_1$ and realize that the equation $f(b) = 0$ (and $b \neq t$) above reduces to the equation $h(b) = 0$ where

$$h(b) = (1+d_N)b^{d_N+3} - (1+2t+d_N t)b^{d_N+2} + (2+d_N+t)t^{d_N+1}b - (1+d_N)t^{d_N+2}.$$

We easily check that $h(t) = h'(t) = 0$, i.e. h has a double root at the forbidden $b = t$. Moreover,

$$h''(t) < 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow +\infty} h(b) = +\infty,$$

confirming what we already know from above, namely that there is at least one CSC ray. In this case, however, we can also use Descartes' rule of signs to see that counting with multiplicity there are at most three positive roots of $g(b)$ and so there is at most one admissible CSC ray in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone.

To finish the proof of the first part of Theorem 1.4 we need to show that the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone has a Reeb vector field giving an admissible Sasaki-Einstein structure. Obviously this must then correspond to the one and only admissible CSC ray in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone. The proof of the last statement of Theorem 1.4 is given in Section 6.5 below.

For co-prime integers $(v_1, v_2) \neq (w_1, w_2)$ and the values of m_i , n , and r given as above, we realize that Proposition 5.4 implies that the admissible extremal Sasaki structure associated to $\xi_{\mathbf{v}}$ is η -Einstein (and thus, up to transverse homothety, SE) iff

$$(68) \quad \int_{-1}^1 ((v_1 - v_2) - (v_1 + v_2)\mathfrak{z}) ((w_1 v_2 + w_2 v_1) + (w_1 v_2 - w_2 v_1)\mathfrak{z})^{d_N} d\mathfrak{z} = 0.$$

Again, we set $w_2/w_1 = t$ and $v_2/v_1 = b$ and assume $b \neq t$. We also still assume $0 < t < 1$ (i.e. $w_1 > w_2$). Now equation (68) is equivalent to

$$(69) \quad \int_{-1}^1 ((1 - b) - (1 + b)\mathfrak{z}) ((b + t) + (b - t)\mathfrak{z})^{d_N} d\mathfrak{z} = 0.$$

Let $j(b)$ denote the left hand side of (69) and assume $t \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is fixed. Now it is easy to check that

$$j(t) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow +\infty} j(b) = -\infty.$$

Thus $\exists b \in (t, +\infty)$ such that (69) is solved. This completes the proof of the first part of Theorem 1.4. \square

Although the majority of the SE structures obtained in this paper are irregular, we can, however, produce many quasi-regular SE cases as follows: Set $b = kt$. Then (69) is equivalent with

$$(70) \quad \int_{-1}^1 ((1 - kt) - (1 + kt)\mathfrak{z}) ((k + 1) + (k - 1)\mathfrak{z})^{d_N} d\mathfrak{z} = 0.$$

or

$$(71) \quad t = \frac{\int_{-1}^1 (1 - \mathfrak{z}) ((k + 1) + (k - 1)\mathfrak{z})^{d_N} d\mathfrak{z}}{k \int_{-1}^1 (1 + \mathfrak{z}) ((k + 1) + (k - 1)\mathfrak{z})^{d_N} d\mathfrak{z}}.$$

Lemma 6.7. *For $k > 1$,*

$$0 < \int_{-1}^1 (1 - \mathfrak{z}) ((k+1)+(k-1)\mathfrak{z})^{d_N} d\mathfrak{z} < \int_{-1}^1 (1 + \mathfrak{z}) ((k+1)+(k-1)\mathfrak{z})^{d_N} d\mathfrak{z}.$$

Proof. The first inequality is obvious and the next is equivalent to

$$\int_{-1}^1 \mathfrak{z}((k + 1) + (k - 1)\mathfrak{z})^{d_N} d\mathfrak{z} > 0.$$

By integrating, this in turn is equivalent to

$$-d_{N_n} + (2 + d_{N_n})k - (2 + d_{N_n})k^{d_{N_n}+1} + d_{N_n}k^{d_{N_n}+2} > 0.$$

Setting $p(k) = -d_{N_n} + (2 + d_{N_n})k - (2 + d_{N_n})k^{d_{N_n}+1} + d_{N_n}k^{d_{N_n}+2}$ we observe that $p(1) = p'(1) = 0$ while $p''(k) > 0$ for all $k > 1$. Thus $p(k) > 0$ for all $k > 1$ and hence the inequality holds. \square

Now it follows that for any given $k \in (1, +\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, $\exists t \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ (determined by (71)) such that if the co-prime integers w_1 and w_2 are such that $w_2/w_1 = t$ and then co-prime integers v_1 and v_2 are such that $v_2/v_1 = kt$ (and l_1 and l_2 are chosen according to Lemma 3.2) then the ray determined by (v_1, v_2) in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone contains a quasi-regular SE structure.

Example 6.8. $Y^{p,q}$. These is an infinite sequence of toric contact structures on $S^2 \times S^3$ that admit an SE metric in their Sasaki cone discovered by the physicists [GMSW04b]. The pair (p, q) consists of relatively prime positive integers satisfying $1 \leq q < p$. This was treated in Example 4.7 of [BP14] although the conventions¹ are slightly different. Here we set

$$(72) \quad \mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{\gcd(p + q, p - q)} (p + q, p - q).$$

¹In particular, there we chose $w_1 \leq w_2$; whereas, here we use the opposite convention, $w_1 \geq w_2$.

Note that the conditions on p, q eliminate the case $\mathbf{w} = (1, 1)$. It is also easy to see that

$$(73) \quad l_1 = \gcd(p + q, p - q), \quad l_2 = p.$$

Now we have $N = S^2$ so $\mathcal{J}_N = 2$ and $d_N = 1$. Most of the SE metrics on $Y^{p,q}$ are irregular; however, one can obtain quasi-regular solutions from Equation (71) which simplifies to

$$t = \frac{2 + k}{k(1 + 2k)}.$$

The quasi-regular SE solutions are given by the Diophantine equation [GMSW04b]

$$(74) \quad 4p^2 - 3q^2 = n^2,$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that the Equation (74) has an infinite number of solutions. A particular example is $(p, q) = (13, 8)$ which in terms of our join parameters gives $\mathbf{w} = (21, 5)$, $l_1 = 1$, $l_2 = 13$. The Reeb vector field corresponding to the SE metric is determined by $\mathbf{v} = (5, 7)$ which gives the the base orbifold Hirzebruch surface (S_{122}, Δ) with

$$\Delta = \left(1 - \frac{1}{65}\right)D_1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{91}\right)D_2$$

and $m = 13$. Of course, this base orbifold has a positive KE orbifold metric.

In general, the following result follows from Theorem 1.4.

Proposition 6.9. *The Reeb vector field of the unique Sasaki-Einstein metric of $Y^{p,q}$ lies in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone with \mathbf{w} determined by Equations (72).*

As the examples in the next subsection will illustrate we can also produce many non-Einstein quasi-regular CSC rays in all dimensions.

6.3. A Special Case: $N = \mathbb{C}P^r$. Consider the special case of Section 4.2 in which case $A = \mathcal{J}_N = r + 1$. Now if we let (l_1, l_2) be any relatively prime pair of positive integers except $\left(\frac{r+1}{\gcd(|\mathbf{w}|, r+1)}, \frac{|\mathbf{w}|}{\gcd(|\mathbf{w}|, r+1)}\right)$ we know that the CSC ray from the proof of Theorem 1.1 is not Sasaki-Einstein by Lemma 3.2, and by Equation (9) $c_1(\mathcal{D}_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}) \neq 0$. Again, for the majority of choices of (w_1, w_2) , the CSC ray discovered will be irregular. However we can produce quite a lot of quasi-regular CSC rays as the example below shows.

This case is studied in much more depth in [BTF14e]. In particular, it is shown there that if r, l_1 and \mathbf{w} are fixed, there is only a finite number of diffeomorphism types among the manifolds $M_{l_1, l_2, \mathbf{w}}$. So for

each $r > 1, l_1, w_1, w_2$ with $w_1 > w_2$, there exists a smooth $2r + 3$ -dimensional manifold $M_{l_1, \mathbf{w}}$ which admits a countably infinite number of contact structures of Sasaki type each with a compatible Sasaki metric of constant scalar curvature.

Example 6.10. For example with $A = r + 1$, $l_1 = \frac{r}{\gcd(|\mathbf{w}|, r)}$, and $l_2 = \frac{|\mathbf{w}|}{\gcd(|\mathbf{w}|, r)}$ we set $t = \frac{w_2}{w_1}$ and $b = kt$ in $f(b)$ above. Then the equation $f(b) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$t = \frac{r - (r + 1)k + k^{r+1}}{k(1 - (r + 1)k^r + rk^{r+1})}.$$

It is a straightforward calculus exercise to check that for $k > 1$ we get a solution $0 < t < 1$ as predicted in the previous section (so $b = kt > t = \frac{w_2}{w_1}$ and $w_1 > w_2$). In particular, if we pick a rational $k > 1$ we get a rational t . This value of t will determine (w_1, w_2) and then with (v_1, v_2) such that $v_2/v_1 = b = kt$ we have our CSC quasi-regular Sasaki metric.

Example 6.11. Let us assume that $r = 2$ (hence $A = 3$), $l_1 = 1$ and $\mathbf{w} = (3, 2)$. So to have smooth 7-manifolds $M_{1, l_2, (3, 2)}^7$ we must have $\gcd(l_2, 6) = 1$. Then $f(b) = 3(2 - 3b)^3 g(b)$, where

$$g(b) = 81b^5 - 27(l_2 - 4)b^4 - 54(l_2 - 2)b^3 + 36(l_2 - 1)b^2 + 8(l_2 - 6)b - 16.$$

Now $g(2/3) = -32 < 0$ and $\lim_{b \rightarrow +\infty} g(b) = +\infty$, justifying the solution to $g(b) = 0$ in the interval $(2/3, +\infty)$ as already established. Notice however that $g(0) = -16 < 0$ and $g(1/3) = (13l_2 - 115)/3$. So for any $l_2 \geq 9$ with $\gcd(l_2, 6) = 1$, we have two additional solutions to $g(b) = 0$ in the interval $(0, 2/3)$. Furthermore, one can check that the other two solutions are negative, so there are 3 rays of CSC Sasaki metrics in the \mathbf{w} -cone. It can also be checked that for $l_2 = 1, 5, 7$, there is only one solution to $g(b) = 0$. We thus have

Proposition 6.12. *For each $l_2 \geq 9$ relatively prime to 6 there are three distinct constant scalar curvature rays in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone of the toric contact 7-manifold $(M_{1, l_2, (3, 2)}^7, \mathcal{D}_{1, l_2, (3, 2)})$.*

It also follows from our results in [BTF14e] that infinitely many of the manifolds $M_{1, l_2, (3, 2)}^7$ are diffeomorphic. Thus, there exists an infinite subsequence $s_j \subset \{l_2\}$ of the integers $l_2 \geq 9$ giving distinct contact structures \mathcal{D}_{s_j} of Sasaki type occurring on the same 7-manifold all containing three rays of CSC Sasaki metrics in their \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone.

Example 6.13. Wang-Ziller manifolds. In the calculus analysis we have done on $f(b)$ so far, we have assumed that $w_1 > w_2$. For arguments

sake let us assume that $w_1 = w_2 = 1$ in which case our manifolds $M_{l_1, l_2, (1,1)} = M_{l_2, l_1}^{1,r}$, a Wang-Ziller manifold [WZ90]. If we assume that $N = \mathbb{C}P^2$ and pick $l_1 = 1$, we know from Proposition 2.3 of Wang and Ziller that $M_{l_2, 1}^{1,2}$ is $S^2 \times S^5$ when l_2 is even and the non-trivial S^5 -bundle over S^2 , which we denote by $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^5$, when l_2 is odd. So there are exactly these two diffeomorphism types. Moreover, we know that we have at least one CSC ray, namely the regular ray in the S^1 -bundle over the product $N \times \mathbb{C}P^1$. This case corresponds to $b = 1$, although $f(b)$ has no geometric meaning for $b = 1$. However, we also get that $f(b) = -3(b-1)^4 g(b)$ with

$$g(b) = (1 + (3 - l_2)b - 4b^2 l_2 + 6b^2 + (3 - l_2)b^3 + b^4).$$

Now we observe that $g(0) = 1 > 0$, $g(1) = 2(7 - 3l_2)$, and $\lim_{b \rightarrow +\infty} g(b) = +\infty$. So for $l_2 \geq 3$ $f(b)$ has at least 2 roots not equal to 1; one in the interval $(0, 1)$ and one in the interval $(1, +\infty)$. Thus we have at least three CSC rays in this case as well.

Now the Wang-Ziller manifolds are toric, in fact, they are homogeneous, and in our case $M_{l_2, 1}^{1,2}$ have a four-dimensional Sasaki cone, and when $l_2 \geq 3$ the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone (i.e. the 2-dimensional Sasaki cone associated with S^3) has three CSC rays, one regular and the other two irregular or quasi-regular. Notice also in our case the first Chern class of the contact bundle is $c_1(\mathcal{D}_{1, l_2}) = (3l_2 - 2)\gamma$. Summarizing we have

Theorem 6.14. *The 7-manifolds $S^2 \times S^5$ and $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^5$ admit countably infinite inequivalent toric contact structures \mathcal{D}_{1, l_2} of Reeb type with l_2 even for the former and l_2 odd for the latter. Furthermore, when $l_2 \geq 3$ these contact structures admit three distinct rays of Sasaki metrics with constant scalar curvature in $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}^+$.*

As l_2 varies the contact structures are clearly inequivalent as contact structures, not just as toric contact structures.

Remark 6.15. In this Wang-Ziller case two of the three CSC metrics are actually equivalent under a transformation in the Weyl group \mathbb{Z}_2 acting on the unreduced \mathbf{w} -cone $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{w}}^+$. This transformation sends a root to its reciprocal. Thus, there are only two CSC Sasaki metrics in the moduli space κ . See the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [Leg11] for another approach to this phenomenon.

6.4. Multiple CSC Rays. The multiple CSC rays in Proposition 6.12 and Theorem 6.14 illustrate a somewhat common phenomenon that was first illustrated in the case of quadrilateral toric structures (S^3 -bundles over S^2) by Legendre [Leg11]. Consider $f(b)$ in (67). As already stated,

any positive solution $b \neq \frac{w_2}{w_1}$ to the equation $f(b) = 0$ corresponds to a CSC ray in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone. So far we know that, assuming $w_1 > w_2$, there is at least one solution in the interval $(\frac{w_2}{w_1}, +\infty)$. Since

$$f\left(\frac{w_2}{w_1}\right) = f'\left(\frac{w_2}{w_1}\right) = f''\left(\frac{w_2}{w_1}\right) = 0$$

while

$$f'''\left(\frac{w_2}{w_1}\right) = 3(d_N + 1)(d_N + 2)l_1 w_1^{d_N} w_2^{d_N} (w_1 - w_2) > 0$$

we know that for $b < \frac{w_2}{w_1}$ sufficiently close to $\frac{w_2}{w_1}$, we have $f(b) < 0$. Further it is easy to see that $f(0) < 0$. Now we notice that $f(\frac{w_2}{2w_1})$ is a linear function of l_2 with slope equal to

$$\frac{Aw_2^{2d_N+3}}{2^{2d+3}w_1} \left[1 + 2^{d_N} (2^{d_N+2} - (d_N^2 + 2d_N + 5)) \right].$$

When $A > 0$, which is equivalent to the scalar curvature s_N of (N, ω_N) being positive, then this slope is positive and thus for sufficiently large value of l_2 we have that $f(\frac{w_2}{2w_1}) > 0$. In that case we have at least two more roots; one in the interval $(0, \frac{w_2}{2w_1})$ and one in the interval $(\frac{w_2}{2w_1}, \frac{w_2}{w_1})$. As Example 6.13 illustrates, even if $w_1 = w_2 = 1$, we can have several CSC rays in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone. This proves Theorem 1.3.

6.5. Sasaki-Ricci solitons. In this section we finish the proof of Theorem 1.4 by proving the existence of Sasaki-Ricci solitons for each ray in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone. Our definition of Sasaki-Ricci soliton is a slight generalization of the definition found in [FOW09]). In effect, we view the Sasaki structures of the entire ray to be Sasaki-Ricci solitons whenever there is a choice of Reeb vector field in the ray that would give a Sasaki-Ricci solution according to the definition in [FOW09] where the constant λ in Definition 6.16 below is fixed. Note that by Proposition 2.2 of [CFO08] the Lie algebra of holomorphic Hamiltonian vector fields defined in [FOW09] coincides with the Lie algebra of transverse holomorphic vector fields. We mention also that Sasaki-Ricci solitons on toric 5-manifolds were studied in [LTF13].

Definition 6.16. *A Sasaki Ricci Soliton (SRS) is a transverse Kähler Ricci soliton, that is the equation*

$$\rho^T - \lambda\omega^T = \mathcal{L}_V\omega^T$$

holds where V is some transverse holomorphic vector field, and λ is some constant.

We are interested here in shrinking Sasaki Ricci solitons, that is, when the constant λ is positive. Now to prove the last statement of Theorem 1.4, we realize that generalizing (54) to

$$(75) \quad \frac{F'(\mathfrak{z})}{\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{z})} - a \frac{F(\mathfrak{z})}{\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{z})} = 2s_{N_n} - 2\lambda(\mathfrak{z} + 1/r),$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is some constant, corresponds to generalizing the KE equation $\rho - \lambda\omega = 0$ to the Kähler Ricci soliton (KRS) equation

$$\rho - \lambda\omega = \mathcal{L}_V\omega,$$

with $V = \frac{a}{2} \text{grad}_g \mathfrak{z}$. By following e.g. Section 3 in [ACGTF08b] and adapting it to our more general endpoint conditions (45) (but letting $d_0 = d_\infty = 0$), it is now straightforward and completely standard to verify that Proposition 5.4 generalizes with “KE” replaced by “KRS”, (57) replaced by

$$(76) \quad \int_{-1}^1 e^{-a\mathfrak{z}} ((1 - \mathfrak{z})/m_2 - (1 + \mathfrak{z})/m_1) \mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{z}) d\mathfrak{z} = 0,$$

and (58) replaced by

$$(77) \quad F(\mathfrak{z}) := e^{a\mathfrak{z}} \int_{-1}^{\mathfrak{z}} e^{-at} ((1 - t)/m_2 - (1 + t)/m_1) \mathfrak{p}(t) dt.$$

It is not hard to show that equation (76) can always be solved for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. This “ a ” varies smoothly with v_1 and v_2 and moreover $mF(\mathfrak{z})$, hence $m\Theta(\mathfrak{z})$, is clearly independent of m and varies smoothly with v_1 and v_2 . Finally, $\text{grad}_g \mathfrak{z} = -JK$ and K is a Hamiltonian Killing vector field that lifts to the Sasaki manifold as we saw in the proof of Lemma 6.4.² Thus we realize that when ω_N is Kähler-Einstein, $A = \mathcal{J}_N > 0$ and the pair (l_1, l_2) is given by Lemma 3.2, the Sasaki structure associated to every single ray, ξ_v , in our \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone is (up to isotopy) a Sasaki-Ricci soliton. This proves the last statement in Theorem 1.4. \square

Our set-up, starting from a join construction, allows for cases where no regular ray in the \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone exists. If, however, the given \mathbf{w} -Sasaki cone does admit a regular ray, then the transverse Kähler structure is a smooth Kähler Ricci soliton and the existence of an SE metric in some ray of the Sasaki cone is predicted by the work of [MN13].

²See also this proof for the scaling factor between the admissible metric and the resulting transverse metric. The reciprocal of this scaling factor applies to the lift of V above and thus it is easy to see that the resulting vector field (which is basically just a multiple of H_1) on the Sasaki manifold depends smoothly on v_1 and v_2 as well. (see also Lemma 7.1 in [BTF13a]).

REFERENCES

- [ACG06] Vestislav Apostolov, David M. J. Calderbank, and Paul Gauduchon, *Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry. I. General theory*, J. Differential Geom. **73** (2006), no. 3, 359–412. MR 2228318 (2007b:53149)
- [ACGTF04] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank, P. Gauduchon, and C. W. Tønnesen-Friedman, *Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry. II. Global classification*, J. Differential Geom. **68** (2004), no. 2, 277–345. MR 2144249
- [ACGTF08a] Vestislav Apostolov, David M. J. Calderbank, Paul Gauduchon, and Christina W. Tønnesen-Friedman, *Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry. III. Extremal metrics and stability*, Invent. Math. **173** (2008), no. 3, 547–601. MR MR2425136 (2009m:32043)
- [ACGTF08b] ———, *Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry. IV. Weakly Bochner-flat Kähler manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **16** (2008), no. 1, 91–126. MR 2411469 (2010c:32043)
- [BG00] C. P. Boyer and K. Galicki, *On Sasakian-Einstein geometry*, Internat. J. Math. **11** (2000), no. 7, 873–909. MR 2001k:53081
- [BG08] Charles P. Boyer and Krzysztof Galicki, *Sasakian geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008. MR MR2382957 (2009c:53058)
- [BGO07] Charles P. Boyer, Krzysztof Galicki, and Liviu Ornea, *Constructions in Sasakian geometry*, Math. Z. **257** (2007), no. 4, 907–924. MR MR2342558 (2008m:53103)
- [BGS08] Charles P. Boyer, Krzysztof Galicki, and Santiago R. Simanca, *Canonical Sasakian metrics*, Commun. Math. Phys. **279** (2008), no. 3, 705–733. MR MR2386725
- [Boy11] Charles P. Boyer, *Extremal Sasakian metrics on S^3 -bundles over S^2* , Math. Res. Lett. **18** (2011), no. 1, 181–189. MR 2756009 (2012d:53132)
- [Boy13] ———, *Maximal tori in contactomorphism groups*, Differential Geom. Appl. **31** (2013), no. 2, 190–216. MR 3032643
- [BP14] Charles P. Boyer and Justin Pati, *On the equivalence problem for toric contact structures on S^3 -bundles over S^2* , Pacific J. Math. **267** (2014), no. 2, 277–324. MR 3207586
- [BTF13a] Charles P. Boyer and Christina W. Tønnesen-Friedman, *Extremal Sasakian geometry on $T^2 \times S^3$ and related manifolds*, Compos. Math. **149** (2013), no. 8, 1431–1456. MR 3103072
- [BTF13b] ———, *The Sasaki join, Hamiltonian 2-forms, and Sasaki-Einstein metrics*, preprint; arXiv:1309.7067 [math.DG] (2013).
- [BTF13c] ———, *Sasakian manifolds with perfect fundamental groups*, Afr. Diaspora J. Math. **14** (2013), no. 2, 98–117. MR 3093238
- [BTF14a] ———, *Extremal Sasakian geometry on S^3 -bundles over Riemann surfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2014), no. 20, 5510–5562.
- [BTF14b] ———, *On the topology of some Sasaki-Einstein manifolds*, NYJM, to appear. (2014).
- [BTF14c] ———, *The Sasaki join and admissible Kähler constructions*, J. Geom. Phys. (2014).

- [BTF14d] ———, *The Sasaki join, Hamiltonian 2-forms, and constant scalar curvature*, preprint; arXiv:1402.2546 Math.DG (2014).
- [BTF14e] ———, *Simply connected manifolds with infinitely many toric contact structures and constant scalar curvature Sasaki metrics*, preprint; arXiv:1404.3999 (2014).
- [BW58] W. M. Boothby and H. C. Wang, *On contact manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **68** (1958), 721–734. MR 22 #3015
- [Cas14] Candelario Castañeda, *Sasakian geometry of lens space bundles over Riemann surfaces*, University of New Mexico Thesis (2014).
- [CFO08] Koji Cho, Akito Futaki, and Hajime Ono, *Uniqueness and examples of compact toric Sasaki-Einstein metrics*, Comm. Math. Phys. **277** (2008), no. 2, 439–458. MR MR2358291
- [CMS10] Suyoung Choi, Mikiya Masuda, and Dong Youp Suh, *Topological classification of generalized Bott towers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), no. 2, 1097–1112. MR 2551516 (2011a:57050)
- [CPS12] Suyoung Choi, Seonjeong Park, and Dong Youp Suh, *Topological classification of quasitoric manifolds with second Betti number 2*, Pacific J. Math. **256** (2012), no. 1, 19–49. MR 2928539
- [CS12] Tristan Collins and Gabor Székelyhidi, *K-semistability for irregular Sasakian manifolds*, preprint; arXiv:math.DG/1204.2230 (2012).
- [FOW09] Akito Futaki, Hajime Ono, and Guofang Wang, *Transverse Kähler geometry of Sasaki manifolds and toric Sasaki-Einstein manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **83** (2009), no. 3, 585–635. MR MR2581358
- [GK07] Alessandro Ghigi and János Kollár, *Kähler-Einstein metrics on orbifolds and Einstein metrics on spheres*, Comment. Math. Helv. **82** (2007), no. 4, 877–902. MR MR2341843 (2008j:32027)
- [GMSW04a] J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, J. Sparks, and D. Waldram, *A new infinite class of Sasaki-Einstein manifolds*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **8** (2004), no. 6, 987–1000. MR 2194373
- [GMSW04b] ———, *Sasaki-Einstein metrics on $S^2 \times S^3$* , Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **8** (2004), no. 4, 711–734. MR 2141499
- [Gua95] Daniel Guan, *Existence of extremal metrics on compact almost homogeneous Kähler manifolds with two ends*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 6, 2255–2262. MR 1285992 (96a:58059)
- [Hae84] A. Haefliger, *Groupoïdes d’holonomie et classifiants*, Astérisque (1984), no. 116, 70–97, Transversal structure of foliations (Toulouse, 1982). MR 86c:57026a
- [HS12] Weiyong He and Song Sun, *The generalized Frankel conjecture in Sasaki geometry*, preprint; arXiv:math.DG/1209.4026 (2012).
- [HT03] J.-C. Hausmann and S. Tolman, *Maximal Hamiltonian tori for polygon spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **53** (2003), no. 6, 1925–1939. MR MR2038784 (2004m:53142)
- [Hwa94] Andrew D. Hwang, *On existence of Kähler metrics with constant scalar curvature*, Osaka J. Math. **31** (1994), no. 3, 561–595. MR 1309403 (96a:53061)
- [Leg11] Eveline Legendre, *Existence and non-uniqueness of constant scalar curvature toric Sasaki metrics*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 5, 1613–1634. MR 2834736

- [LTF13] Eveline Legendre and Christina W. Tønnesen-Friedman, *Toric generalized Kähler-Ricci solitons with Hamiltonian 2-form*, *Math. Z.* **274** (2013), no. 3-4, 1177–1209. MR 3078263
- [MN13] Toshiki Mabuchi and Yasuhiro Nakagawa, *New examples of Sasaki-Einstein manifolds*, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **65** (2013), no. 2, 243–252. MR 3079287
- [MS98] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, second ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1998. MR 2000g:53098
- [RT11] Julius Ross and Richard Thomas, *Weighted projective embeddings, stability of orbifolds, and constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics*, *J. Differential Geom.* **88** (2011), no. 1, 109–159. MR 2819757
- [WZ90] M. Y. Wang and W. Ziller, *Einstein metrics on principal torus bundles*, *J. Differential Geom.* **31** (1990), no. 1, 215–248. MR 91f:53041

CHARLES P. BOYER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87131.

E-mail addresses: `cboyer@math.unm.edu`

CHRISTINA W. TØNNESEN-FRIEDMAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNION COLLEGE, SCHENECTADY, NEW YORK 12308, USA

E-mail addresses: `tonnesec@union.edu`