

## Parseval's Formula

We will now address the situation of when the value of a function  $f$  can be recovered from its Fourier series: in other words, when is

$$f(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx)?$$

Recall that if  $f$  is a continuous function on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  then

$$|f| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (f(x))^2}.$$

1. Suppose  $V = \mathbf{R}^3$  with the usual inner product.

- a. Show that  $|v| = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle}$  is the usual Euclidean notion of size, namely the distance from  $v$  to  $\vec{0}$ .
- b. Which vector  $w$ , in the subspace generated by  $\{e_1 = (1, 0, 0), e_2 = (0, 1, 0)\}$  is closest to  $v$ ?
- c. How can you express  $w$  from problem **b** in terms of the inner product on  $\mathbf{R}^3$ ?
- d. How does this relate to Problem 6 on the Inner Product problem set?

2. Let

$$v_k = f_k(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^k a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx)$$

so  $v_k$  is the truncated Fourier expansion of  $f(x)$ . Show that  $v_k$  is *the* vector, in the vector space of functions generated by  $\{\cos(0x), \dots, \cos(kx), \sin(x), \dots, \sin(kx)\}$ , which is *closest* to  $f$ , that is which minimizes  $|f - v_k|$ .

3. Show that the best trigonometric approximation, relative to the distance  $|\cdot|$ , for  $f(x)$  is the Fourier Series

$$F(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx).$$

Is the distance from  $f$  to its Fourier series necessarily zero? When the distance is zero does this mean that  $f(x) = F(x)$  for all values of  $x$ ? Suppose for the moment that  $|f(x) - F(x)| = 0$ . Give a plausible argument why

$$|f|^2 = \frac{a_0^2}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n^2 + b_n^2). \quad (1)$$

Is your argument rigorous? What convergence issues need to be ironed out? Similarly, if we have a second function  $g(x)$  with convergent Fourier series

$$g(x) = \frac{c_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \cos(nx) + d_n \sin(nx)$$

then

$$\langle f(x), g(x) \rangle = \frac{a_0 c_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n c_n + b_n d_n. \quad (2)$$

The equations (1) and (2) are referred to as *Parseval's Formula*.

4. It would be nice to have a large collection of functions for which one *knows* that

$$f(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx).$$

According to previous work, two reasonable hypotheses in order to guarantee this would be:

- a.  $f(-\pi) = f(\pi)$ ,
- b.  $f$  is twice differentiable with continuous second derivative. Show that the Fourier series for  $f$  does indeed converge under hypotheses **a** and **b**. In a situation where one *knows* that

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx).$$

converges (and indeed converges *uniformly*) it follows that it is a continuous function. In this situation, Parseval's formula says that

$$\left| f - \left( \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx) \right) \right| = 0.$$

If  $f$  is continuous, show that you can then conclude that  $f = F$ .

As you can see, the convergence issues which arise when studying Fourier series are quite subtle. Suppose  $f$  is a function which is nice enough so that its Fourier coefficients  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are well defined and let  $F$  denote the corresponding Fourier series. Parseval's formula says that  $\int |f - F|^2 = 0$  which means that there is *no area* underneath the graph of  $|f - F|^2$ . This does not mean that  $f - F = 0$  but it certainly means that it cannot be non-zero over an entire interval. One would like to somehow *identify* all functions which differ from one another only off of a "negligeable" set and then one could truly say that any  $f = F$  in this new universe. In order to develop these ideas, one needs to study a little bit of measure theory and then introduce the space  $L^2$  on the interval  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . The essential point to be gathered from this quick survey of Fourier analysis is that the functions  $\sin(nx), \cos(mx)$  are very special periodic functions, special because they form a *basis* for all (sufficiently nice) periodic functions. Just as a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be prescribed by giving its coordinates with respect to the usual orthonormal basis, so a periodic function can be expressed as a sum of sine and cosine functions.