



The BKK Root Count in \mathbb{C}^n

T. Y. Li; Xiaoshen Wang

Mathematics of Computation, Volume 65, Issue 216 (Oct., 1996), 1477-1484.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0025-5718%28199610%2965%3A216%3C1477%3ATBRCI%3E2.0.CO%3B2-8>

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

Mathematics of Computation is published by American Mathematical Society. Please contact the publisher for further permissions regarding the use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Mathematics of Computation
©1996 American Mathematical Society

JSTOR and the JSTOR logo are trademarks of JSTOR, and are Registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. For more information on JSTOR contact jstor-info@umich.edu.

©2003 JSTOR

THE BKK ROOT COUNT IN \mathbf{C}^n

T. Y. LI AND XIAOSHEN WANG

ABSTRACT. The root count developed by Bernshtein, Kushnirenko and Khovanskii only counts the number of isolated zeros of a polynomial system in the algebraic torus $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$. In this paper, we modify this bound slightly so that it counts the number of isolated zeros in \mathbf{C}^n . Our bound is, apparently, significantly sharper than the recent root counts found by Rojas and in many cases easier to compute. As a consequence of our result, the Huber-Sturmfels homotopy for finding all the isolated zeros of a polynomial system in $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ can be slightly modified to obtain all the isolated zeros in \mathbf{C}^n .

1. INTRODUCTION

A *Laurent polynomial* p in the n variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is given by

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{A}} c_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}},$$

where $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{e}} = x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_n^{e_n}$, $c_{\mathbf{e}} \in \mathbf{C}$ and \mathcal{A} , the *support* of p , is a finite subset of \mathbb{Z}^n . In short, p is an element of the ring $\mathbf{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$. A Laurent polynomial system $P(\mathbf{x}) = (p_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, p_n(\mathbf{x}))$ is an n -tuple of nonzero Laurent polynomials. Let \mathcal{A}_i be the support of $p_i(\mathbf{x})$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Denote the convex hull of \mathcal{A}_i , called the *Newton polytope* of p_i , by \mathcal{P}_i . Consider the function

$$R(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \text{Vol}(\lambda_1 \mathcal{P}_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n \mathcal{P}_n),$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are nonnegative variables, “Vol” denotes the usual Euclidean volume in \mathbb{R}^n , and

$$\mathcal{P}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{P}_n = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{r}_i : \mathbf{r}_i \in \mathcal{P}_i \ \forall i \right\}$$

denotes the Minkowski sum of polytopes $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n$ in \mathbb{R}^n . It is well known in convex geometry [3] that $R(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n . The *mixed volume* $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)$ is defined to be the coefficient of $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n$ in this polynomial. Write \mathbf{C}^* for the nonzero complex numbers.

Theorem 1.1. *The number of isolated zeros, counting multiplicities, of a Laurent polynomial system $P(\mathbf{x}) = (p_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, p_n(\mathbf{x}))$ in $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ is bounded above by the mixed volume $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)$. For almost all choices of the coefficients of $P(\mathbf{x})$, the number of isolated solutions in $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ of $P(\mathbf{x})$ is exactly $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)$.*

Received by the editor November 23, 1994 and, in revised form, October 9, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 52B20; Secondary 65H10, 68Q40.

Key words and phrases. BKK bound, mixed volume, homotopy continuation.

The first author's research was supported in part by NSF under Grant DMS-9504953 and by a Guggenheim Fellowship.

The root count in the above theorem was developed by Bernshtein [1], Kushnirenko [7] and Khovanskii [6], and it is, therefore, commonly referred to as the BKK bound. While this bound is, in general, significantly sharper than the Bézout number (and its variants [11]), it only counts the zeros of $P(\mathbf{x})$ in the n -dimensional algebraic torus $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$. In [9], J.M. Rojas proposed a root count formula in \mathbf{C}^n , derived from the theory of toric varieties, and its computation involves finding the mixed volume of n shadowed polytopes in R^n .

The main result of this paper can be simply stated as follows:

Given a polynomial system $P(\mathbf{x}) = (p_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, p_n(\mathbf{x}))$ in $\mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, with support \mathcal{A}_i for $p_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, the number of isolated zeros, counting multiplicities, of $P(\mathbf{x})$ in \mathbf{C}^n is bounded above by the mixed volume $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \{0\}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \cup \{0\})$.

Our bound is significantly tighter than the root count suggested in [9]. A simple explanation derives from the monotonicity of the mixed volume: growing polytopes potentially increases (and never decreases) the mixed volume; and the support sets for which we compute the mixed volumes here are usually much smaller than the shadowed sets used in [9]. Furthermore, our bound appears to be easier to compute. This bound also holds over arbitrary algebraically closed fields [10].

Of course, if $\mathcal{A}_i \cup \{0\} = \mathcal{A}_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ (i.e., if each p_i already has a constant term), then, by Theorem 1.1, our bound is exact when the coefficients of the p_i 's are chosen generically. When $0 \notin \mathcal{A}_i$ for some i , we will show in §2 that our bound is exact when the coefficients of the p_i 's are chosen generically and the zeros of $P(\mathbf{x})$ at infinity are nonsingular. An alternative combinatorial criterion to guarantee the exactness of this bound is given in [10]. In contrast to the complex analytic approach given in this paper, the method in [10] is more algebraic and the conditions are formulated in a more general setting.

The idea of the BKK bound has been used in several different ways in homotopy continuation methods for solving polynomial systems [5, 12, 13]. The *lifting homotopy* approach in [5] is particularly attractive since only a small number of homotopy curves need to be followed. Nonetheless, as was pointed out in that paper, an apparent limitation of the method is that it finds zeros of the polynomial system only in $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$, and not necessarily all zeros in the affine space \mathbf{C}^n . As a consequence of our result, we shall show in §3 that the lifting homotopy approach in [5] can be easily modified to obtain all the isolated zeros of a polynomial system in \mathbf{C}^n .

2. MAIN RESULTS

Complex n -space \mathbf{C}^n can be naturally embedded in the n -dimensional manifold

$$\mathbf{P}^n = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1} : \mathbf{x} \neq (0, \dots, 0)\} / \sim,$$

where the equivalence relation \sim is given by $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{y}$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} = c\mathbf{y}$ for some nonzero $c \in \mathbf{C}$. The original \mathbf{C}^n corresponds to points $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ with $x_0 = 1$, and $x_0 = 0$ defines the projective hyperplane of *points at infinity*. Thus, \mathbf{P}^n is the disjoint union of \mathbf{C}^n and the points at infinity.

Given a polynomial $p(\mathbf{x})$ of degree d in the n variables x_1, \dots, x_n , define $\tilde{p}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ to be the homogeneous polynomial consisting of all the degree- d terms of p , and define the *homogenization* of p to be

$$\tilde{p}(x_0, \dots, x_n) = x_0^d p\left(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_0}\right).$$

Note that \tilde{p} is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d in the $n+1$ variables x_0, \dots, x_n , and $\tilde{p}(1, x_1, \dots, x_n) = p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\tilde{p}(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bar{p}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Geometrically speaking, we can define the zero set in \mathbf{P}^n of the system $\tilde{P} = (\tilde{p}_1, \dots, \tilde{p}_n)$ via the disjoint union

$$X = \{(1, x_1, \dots, x_n) | P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\} \cup \{(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) | \bar{P}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\}.$$

Thus, X is the union of the zeros of P in \mathbf{C}^n and the zeros at infinity.

A *projective variety* is the zero set in \mathbf{P}^n of a set $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$ of homogeneous polynomials in the variables x_0, \dots, x_n . A *quasi-projective variety* is the complement $Y_1 \setminus Y_2$ of a projective subvariety Y_2 in another projective variety Y_1 . Since Y_2 may be the empty set, quasi-projective varieties are generalizations of projective varieties. The zero set of a finite number of polynomials in \mathbf{C}^n is a quasi-projective variety, but not necessarily a projective variety.

Suppose Y is the zero set in \mathbf{P}^n of a set of homogeneous polynomials $\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$. A point $\mathbf{y} \in Y$ is *nonsingular* (or *regular*) if and only if

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{C}} \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_r)}{\partial(x_0, \dots, x_n)}(\mathbf{y}) = \text{codim } Y,$$

where $\text{codim } Y = n - \text{dim}_{\mathbf{P}^n} Y$. A variety is nonsingular if and only if each point of the variety is nonsingular.

The classical Bertini theorem implies that nonsingularity is a generic property. A *linear system* L is a complex vector space generated by homogeneous polynomials of some fixed degree, i.e.,

$$L = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r c_i h_i \mid (c_1, \dots, c_r) \in \mathbf{C}^r \right\}$$

for $h_1, \dots, h_r \in \mathbf{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. The *base locus* of L is the set

$$\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{P}^n \mid h_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, r \}.$$

Bertini's Theorem ([3, Ch. III, §10.9.2]). *Let Y be a nonsingular quasi-projective subvariety of \mathbf{P}^n of dimension m . Let*

$$L = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r c_i h_i \mid (c_1, \dots, c_r) \in \mathbf{C}^r \right\}$$

be a linear system parametrized by \mathbf{C}^r . Then there exists a nonzero homogeneous polynomial G in r variables such that $G(c_1, \dots, c_r) \neq 0$ implies that the intersection of Y with the hypersurface

$$\sum_{i=1}^r c_i h_i = 0$$

is of dimension $m - 1$ and is nonsingular at each point not in the base locus of L .

Lemma 2.1. *Given a polynomial system $P(\mathbf{x}) = (p_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, p_n(\mathbf{x}))$ in the variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, there exists an open dense subset V of \mathbf{C}^n such that if*

$\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in V$, then $t\varepsilon \in V$ for all $t \neq 0$ in R^1 , and if $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{C}^n$ is a solution of

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_1 &= p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \varepsilon_1 = 0, \\
 &\vdots \\
 q_n &= p_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \varepsilon_n = 0,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1}$$

then

(i)

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{C}} \frac{\partial(q_1, \dots, q_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(\mathbf{y}) = n \quad \text{and}$$

(ii)

$$\mathbf{y} \in (\mathbf{C}^*)^n,$$

where $\mathbf{C}^* = \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. (i) For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let d_i be the degree of p_i . Write the homogenizations of the polynomials in (1) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{q}_1 &= \tilde{p}_1(x_0, \dots, x_n) + \varepsilon_1 x_0^{d_1}, \\
 &\vdots \\
 \tilde{q}_n &= \tilde{p}_n(x_0, \dots, x_n) + \varepsilon_n x_0^{d_n}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2}$$

Consider the homogeneous polynomials

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1 &= a_1 \tilde{p}_1(x_0, \dots, x_n) + \varepsilon_1 x_0^{d_1}, \\
 &\vdots \\
 f_n &= a_n \tilde{p}_n(x_0, \dots, x_n) + \varepsilon_n x_0^{d_n},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3}$$

for $a_i \in \mathbf{C}, i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $Y_0 = \mathbf{P}^n \setminus \{x_0 = 0\} = \mathbf{C}^n$. Y_0 is a quasi-projective subvariety of \mathbf{P}^n . Consider the linear system $\{f_1\}$ formed by $x_0^{d_1}$ and $\tilde{p}_1(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ as (a_1, ε_1) vary in \mathbf{C}^2 . The base locus of the linear system is contained in $\{x_0 = 0\}$. Therefore, by Bertini's theorem, there exists a nonzero homogeneous polynomial $G_1(a_1, \varepsilon_1)$ such that the intersection $Y_0 \cap \{f_1 = 0\}$ is a nonsingular quasi-projective variety for $G_1(a_1, \varepsilon_1) \neq 0$. Since G_1 is homogeneous, the polynomial $H_1(\varepsilon_1) = G_1(1, \varepsilon_1)$ is not identically zero, and therefore vanishes on a subvariety T_1 of \mathbf{C} . Thus, T_1 can have only finitely many points, so $T_1^0 = \{tT_1 : t \in R^1 \setminus \{0\}\}$ is of one real dimension in \mathbf{C} , and its complement V_1 in \mathbf{C} is open and dense in \mathbf{C} . The set V_1 has the property that if $\varepsilon_1 \in V_1$, then $t\varepsilon_1 \in V_1$ for real $t \neq 0$, and $Y_1(\varepsilon_1) = Y_0 \cap \{\tilde{q}_1 = 0\}$ is nonsingular and is of dimension $n - 1$.

Next, we repeat this process $n - 1$ times to construct Y_2, \dots, Y_n by $Y_k(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k) = Y_{k-1}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-1}) \cap \{\tilde{q}_k = 0\}$. Here, Y_{k-1} is a quasi-projective subvariety of \mathbf{P}^n of complex dimension $n - k + 1$. The base locus of the linear system $\{f_k\}$, formed by $x_0^{d_k}$ and $\tilde{p}_k(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ as (a_k, ε_k) vary in \mathbf{C}^2 , is contained in $\{x_0 = 0\}$. Hence, it does not intersect Y_k . Applying Bertini's theorem, for all $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-1}) \in V_{k-1}$ there exists

an open dense subset $V'_k(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-1})$ of \mathbf{C} such that for $\varepsilon_k \in V'_k$, we have $t\varepsilon_k \in V'_k$ for real $t \neq 0$, and Y_k is of dimension $n - k$ and is nonsingular. We can then easily show that the set $V_k = \{(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k) | (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-1}) \in V_{k-1}, \varepsilon_k \in V'_k(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-1})\}$ is open dense, and invariant under nonzero real scalings.

We then let $V^1 = V_n$ and note that V^1 is an open dense set in \mathbf{C}^n and for $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in V^1$, we have $t\varepsilon \in V^1$ for real $t \neq 0$, and the finite solution set of (1) is of zero dimension and nonsingular. The conclusion follows.

(ii) We will show that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists an open dense set $U_i \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ such that for $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in U_i$, $t\varepsilon \in U_i$ for real $t \neq 0$ and the polynomial equations

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} q_1 &= p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \varepsilon_1 = 0, \\ &\vdots \\ q_n &= p_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \varepsilon_n = 0, \\ q_{n+1} &= x_i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

have no common solutions. Then the conclusion follows if we let

$$V^2 = \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i.$$

The system in (4) is equivalent to the following system of n equations in the $n - 1$ variables $x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n$:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} p_1(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) + \varepsilon_1 &= 0, \\ &\vdots \\ p_n(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) + \varepsilon_n &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Denote the system (5) by $Q(x, \varepsilon)$. Following the same procedure as in (i), we obtain an open dense subset U_i in \mathbf{C}^n such that for $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in U_i$, we have $t\varepsilon \in U_i$ for real $t \neq 0$, and for any solution \mathbf{y} of (5), the rank of $D_x Q$ is n . But this is impossible. So, the solution set of (5) is empty.

To accommodate both (i) and (ii), we may let $V = V^1 \cap V^2$. Then for $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in V$, we have $t\varepsilon \in V$ for real $t \neq 0$, and the assertions in both (i) and (ii) follow. □

Lemma 2.2. *Given a polynomial system $P(\mathbf{x}) = (p_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, p_n(\mathbf{x}))$ in the variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, let k_ε and k be the numbers of isolated zeros, counting multiplicities, in \mathbf{C}^n of $P_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = (p_1(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon_1, \dots, p_n(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon_n)$ and $P(\mathbf{x})$, respectively. Then,*

$$(6) \quad k_\varepsilon \geq k$$

for small $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$.

Proof. Let x_0 be an isolated zero of $P(\mathbf{x})$ with multiplicity $m \geq 1$. Let N be an open neighborhood of x_0 containing no other solution of $P(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. Let $\deg(P, N, 0)$ be the Brouwer degree (see [2]), where $P(\mathbf{x})$ is regarded as a function on R^{2n} , the space induced by \mathbf{C}^n in a natural fashion. In [2] it is shown that $\deg(P, N, 0)$ is always a positive integer and equal to m . Since the Brouwer degree is invariant under small perturbations, for small ε , we have $\deg(P_\varepsilon, N, 0) = m$, where P_ε is

regarded as a function on R^{2n} . Therefore, the number of isolated zeros k_ϵ of P_ϵ is at least as big as the number of isolated zeros k , counting multiplicities, of $P(\mathbf{x})$. \square

Example 1. The system

$$\begin{aligned} x_2x_1^2 - x_1 + 1 &= 0, \\ x_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

has only one solution, $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 0)$. However, its constant-term perturbation system

$$\begin{aligned} x_2x_1^2 - x_1 + 1 + \epsilon_1 &= 0, \\ x_2 + \epsilon_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

has two isolated solutions, namely,

$$(x_1, x_2) = \left(\frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4\epsilon_2(1 + \epsilon_1)}}{2\epsilon_2}, -\epsilon_2 \right).$$

The following proposition gives a sufficient condition that can be easily verified when equality in (6) holds. Recall that for a polynomial system $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (p_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, p_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$, the zero set at infinity of P is the set $\{(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{P}^n \mid \bar{P}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\}$, where $\bar{P}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\bar{p}_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \bar{p}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ and each $\bar{p}_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a homogeneous polynomial consisting of the highest-degree terms of $p_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Equality in (6) holds if the zero set at infinity of $P(\mathbf{x}) = (p_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, p_n(\mathbf{x}))$ is nonsingular.*

Proof. Clearly, the zero set at infinity of $P_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) = (p_1(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon_1, \dots, p_n(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon_n)$, denoted by S_0 , is the same as that of $P(\mathbf{x})$, denoted by S_1 . If S_1 is nonsingular, since small perturbations do not change the rank of the appropriate Jacobian, S_0 must also be nonsingular. On the other hand, from (i) in Lemma 2.1, the zero set of P_ϵ in \mathbf{C}^n consists of nonsingular isolated points for generic $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$, namely, if $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{C}^n$ and $P_\epsilon(\mathbf{w}) = 0$, then

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{C}} \frac{\partial P_\epsilon(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\partial (x_1, \dots, x_n)}(\mathbf{w}) = n.$$

Now consider the homotopy $H : \mathbf{C}^n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ defined by

$$H(x, t) = (1 - t)P_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) + tP(\mathbf{x}) = 0.$$

It was shown in [8] that when the zero set at infinity of $P_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})$ is nonsingular and equals that of $P(\mathbf{x})$, which is also nonsingular, then any homotopy curve $\mathbf{x}(t)$ emanating from an isolated zero of $P_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})$ at $t = 0$ always connects to an isolated zero of $P(\mathbf{x})$ when $t \rightarrow 1$. From this, the conclusion follows. \square

Theorem 2.4. *For a polynomial system $P(\mathbf{x}) = (p_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, p_n(\mathbf{x}))$, let $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$ be the supports of $p_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, p_n(\mathbf{x})$, respectively. Then, the mixed volume $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \{0\}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \cup \{0\})$ is an upper bound for the number of isolated zeros, counting multiplicities, of $P(\mathbf{x}) = (p_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, p_n(\mathbf{x}))$ in \mathbf{C}^n .*

Proof. For $P_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = (p_1(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon_1, \dots, p_n(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon_n)$, $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \{0\}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \cup \{0\}$ are the supports of $p_1(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon_1, \dots, p_n(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon_n$, respectively. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \{0\}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \cup \{0\}) &\geq \text{the number of isolated zeros} \\ &\text{of } P_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \text{ in } (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \quad (\text{by Theorem 1.1}) \\ &= \text{the number of isolated zeros of } P_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^n \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}) \\ &\geq \text{the number of isolated zeros of } P(\mathbf{x}) \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^n \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.5. *In the theorem above, fix $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$ and now suppose that the support of p_i is contained in \mathcal{A}_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then*

- (i) *If $0 \in \mathcal{A}_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, that is, each $p_i(\mathbf{x})$ has a constant term, then the bound is exact when the coefficients of $P(\mathbf{x})$ are chosen generically.*
- (ii) *Otherwise, if the zero set at infinity of $P(\mathbf{x})$ is nonsingular for generically chosen coefficients, then the bound is exact when the coefficients of $P(\mathbf{x})$ are chosen generically.*

Proof. Part (i) is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1. Part (ii) follows from Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 1.1. □

3. THE HUBER-STURMFELS HOMOTOPY

One of the major steps in the homotopy continuation approach to solving for all the isolated zeros in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ of a polynomial system $P(\mathbf{x}) = (p_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, p_n(\mathbf{x}))$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, suggested by Huber and Sturmfels in [5], can be described as follows.

Let $Q(\mathbf{x})$ be a polynomial system with the same support set as $P(\mathbf{x})$ but with sufficiently randomly chosen complex coefficients. By Theorem 1.1, $Q(\mathbf{x})$ has as many isolated zeros in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ as its BKK bound, say k zeros. Now, consider the homotopy

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathbf{x}, t) &= (1 - t)Q(\mathbf{x}) + tP(\mathbf{x}) \\ (7) \quad &= Q(\mathbf{x}) + t(P(\mathbf{x}) - Q(\mathbf{x})), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

For each $t \in [0, 1]$, the support sets of $H(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are the same as those of $Q(\mathbf{x})$ and the coefficients are generic, so for each $t \in [0, 1]$ the number of isolated zeros of $H(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is equal to k . Accordingly, each isolated zero of $P(\mathbf{x})$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ can be reached by a homotopy curve of $H(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0$, emanating from an isolated zero of $Q(\mathbf{x})$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ at $t = 0$.

In [5], Huber and Sturmfels proposed using the *lifting homotopy* on $H(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in (7) to define another homotopy $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ (with the same t) with $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, 1) = P(\mathbf{x})$. Then the solutions of the lowest-order terms of the Puiseux series of the solution branches of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0$ are used as the starting points to follow the homotopy curves of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0$.

This approach is very promising, since only a small number of homotopy curves need to be followed. However, as mentioned in [5], an apparent limitation of their method is that it finds only the zeros in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$.

In fact, in order to find all the isolated zeros of $P(\mathbf{x})$ in \mathbb{C}^n rather than in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, one needs only to modify the homotopy in (7) slightly by replacing $Q(\mathbf{x})$ with

$$Q_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = Q(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon,$$

where $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ is randomly chosen in \mathbb{C}^n . First of all, by Lemma 2.1, all zeros of $Q_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$ are isolated, nonsingular and in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Therefore, the BKK bound

k_ε of $Q_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$ equals the number of isolated zeros in \mathbf{C}^n of $Q_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$. Secondly, for each $t_0 \in [0, 1)$, the support of

$$\begin{aligned} H_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t_0) &= (1 - t_0)Q_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) + t_0P(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= Q_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) + t_0(P(\mathbf{x}) - Q_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})) \\ &= Q(\mathbf{x}) + t_0(P(\mathbf{x}) - Q(\mathbf{x})) + (1 - t_0)\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

is the same as that of $Q_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$, and by Lemma 2.2, the set of all the isolated zeros of $H_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t_0)$ in \mathbf{C}^n is contained in $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ and its cardinality must be equal to k_ε . Consequently, there are exactly k_ε homotopy curves of $H_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0$ in $\mathbf{C}^n \times [0, 1]$, and any homotopy curve $\mathbf{x}(t)$ of $H_\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0$ with $\mathbf{x}(1)$ being a zero of $P(\mathbf{x})$, either in $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$ or in \mathbf{C}^n , must join one of those k_ε curves.

REFERENCES

1. D. N. Bernshtein, *The number of roots of a system of equations*, Functional Anal. Appl., 9(3): 183-185, 1975. MR 55:8034
2. F. E. Browder, *Nonlinear mappings of analytic type in Banach spaces*, Math. Ann., 185:259-278, 1970. MR 41:4318
3. T. Bonnesen and W. Fenchel, *Theory of convex bodies*, BCS Associates, Moscow, Idaho, 1987. MR 88j:52001
4. R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math., 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977. MR 57:3116
5. B. Huber and B. Sturmfels, *A polyhedral method for solving sparse polynomial systems*, Math. Comp., 64: 1541-1555, 1995. MR 95m:65100
6. A. G. Khovanskii, *Newton polyhedra and the genus of complete intersections*, Functional Anal. Appl., 12(1):38-46, 1978. MR 80b:14022
7. A. G. Kushnirenko, *Newton polytopes and the Bézout theorem*, Functional Anal. Appl., 10(3):233-235, 1976. MR 54:10263
8. T. Y. Li, T. Sauer and J.A. Yorke, *The random product homotopy and deficient polynomial systems*, Numer. Math., 51:481-500, 1987. MR 88j:65108
9. J. M. Rojas, *A convex geometric approach to counting the roots of a polynomial system*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 133 (1994), 105-140. MR 95k:68090
10. J. M. Rojas and X. Wang, *Counting affine roots via pointed Newton polytopes*, to appear: J. of Complexity.
11. I. R. Shafarevich, *Basic algebraic geometry*, Springer, New York, 1977. MR 56:5538
12. J. Verschelde and R. Cools, *Symbolic homotopy construction*, Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing, 4(3):169-183, 1993. MR 94c:68102
13. J. Verschelde, P. Verlinden and R. Cools, *Homotopies exploiting Newton polytopes for solving sparse polynomial systems*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 31(3):915-930, 1994. MR 94m:65084

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48824-1027

E-mail address: li@mth.msu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL ARKANSAS, CONWAY, ARKANSAS 72035-0001

E-mail address: wangx@cc1.uca.edu