J. Chem. Inf. Model. XXXX, xxx, 000

Scaffold Topologies. 2. Analysis of Chemical Databases

Michael J. Wester,^{†,‡} Sara Pollock,^{†,‡} Evangelos A. Coutsias,^{†,‡} Tharun Kumar Allu,[‡] Sorel Muresan,[§] and Tudor I. Oprea^{*,‡}

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, Division of Biocomputing, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, and AstraZeneca, Sweden

Received September 13, 2007; Revised Manuscript Received April 5, 2008; Accepted April 28, 2008

We have systematically enumerated graph representations of scaffold topologies for up to eight-ring molecules and four-valence atoms, thus providing coverage of the lower portion of the chemical space of small molecules (Pollock et al. J. Chem. Inf. Model., this issue). Here, we examine scaffold topology distributions for several databases: ChemNavigator and PubChem for commercially available chemicals, the Dictionary of Natural Products, a set of 2742 launched drugs, WOMBAT, a database of medicinal chemistry compounds, and two subsets of PubChem, "actives" and DSSTox comprising toxic substances. We also examined a virtual database of exhaustively enumerated small organic molecules, GDB (Fink et al. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1504–1508), and we contrast the scaffold topology distribution from these collections to the complete coverage of up to eight-ring molecules. For reasons related, perhaps, to synthetic accessibility and complexity, scaffolds exhibiting six rings or more are poorly represented. Among all collections examined, PubChem has the greatest scaffold topological diversity, whereas GDB is the most limited. More than 50% of all entries (13 000 000+ actual and 13 000 000+ virtual compounds) exhibit only eight distinct topologies, one of which is the nonscaffold topology that represents all treelike structures. However, most of the topologies are represented by a single or very small number of examples. Within topologies, we found that three-way scaffold connections (3-nodes) are much more frequent compared to four-way (4-node) connections. Fused rings have a slightly higher frequency in biologically oriented databases. Scaffold topologies can be the first step toward an efficient coarse-grained classification scheme of the molecules found in chemical databases.

1. INTRODUCTION

Drugs are the cornerstone of allopathic medicine, and the 26 vast majority have emerged from the private sector (phar-27 maceutical industry). Drug discovery is almost uniquely 28 supported by the ability of the inventors to obtain patent 29 rights regarding the usability and chemical structures of 30 drugs. Pharmaceutical R&D, and more recently the National 31 Institutes of Health (NIH) and other agencies, have become 32 more and more interested in tools and means to query the 33 therapeutically relevant chemical space of small molecules 34 (CSSM),^{3–5} also known as "druglike" chemical space.⁶ To 35 this end, the question of how vast this chemical space is has 36 been addressed in several ways-most of them related to in 37 silico technologies, such as virtual chemical library enumera-38 tion starting from known lists of reagents. Such methods, 39 however, explore only the limited space covered by (a) 40 known chemical reactions and (b) available/known chemical 41 reagents. The question of how large is the chemical space 42 received recent attention with the launch of the NIH 43 Roadmap molecular libraries initiative.⁷ As the NIH is 44 embarking in the selection and biological screening of 45 300 000 chemicals in search of novel chemical probes, the 46 issue of which chemicals to acquire (from over 10 000 000 47 commercial structures) is not a trivial one. 48

1

2

3

4

5

7

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Previous enumerations of the CSSM include: Kappler,^{8–11} 49 who generated all single-bonded carbon-only structures up 50 through r = 8 rings and 21 - r atoms; Kerber et al.,¹² who 51 produced all valid nonionic molecular formulas composed 52 of C, N, O, and H using standard valences up to a molecular 53 weight of 150 Da and then generated all possible structures 54 corresponding to each formula; and Fink et al.,^{2,13} who 55 completely enumerated all C, N, O, and F structures up to 56 11 atoms and 160 Da and then filtered them for simple 57 valency, synthetic feasibility, and stability. Each of these 58 studies created a fine-grained coverage of a lower portion 59 of the CSSM in which potentially feasible organic molecules 60 were produced. 61

Here, we compare the results of a coarser-grained clas-62 sification, scaffold topologies, which themselves are not 63 potential molecules but represent the elemental ring structures 64 of organic molecules, against a variety of generic and 65 biologically oriented chemical databases as well as the 66 collection generated by Fink et al. This provides a high-67 level view of the fundamental topological character of these 68 databases and a unique insight into a large class of known 69 and possible new chemicals. 70

2. METHODS

The details of the mathematical methods we used are 72 described in Pollock et al.¹ Here, we will summarize the 73 definitions and algorithms that were needed for the analyses 74 presented here. 75

^{*} Corresponding author e-mail: toprea@salud.unm.edu.

[†] University of New Mexico.

^{*} University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center.

[§] AstraZeneca.

a

76

77

78

79

80

81

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99



(=O)C2(CCC3C2)C(=C)C3(C)C]. (b) The scaffold corresponding to this molecule [C1CC2CCC1(C2)COc3ccccc3]. (c) The topology corresponding to this scaffold (nodes are numbered as shown). (d) A minimal representive of this topology [C1CC1C23CC2C3].

2.1. Scaffold Topologies. A scaffold is the common portion of a series of related compounds from which it is possible to hang active groups or spacers to form more complex compounds (a well-known example of a scaffold is the peptide backbone). Here, we provide an operational definition:

Definition 1. We consider a scaffold to be a chemical graph composed solely of rings and optional linking linear structures. All branches of a scaffold terminate in a ring.

Scaffolds can also admit atoms double-bonded to ring atoms,¹⁴ but we do not include these special atoms in our description of scaffold topologies. Figure 1a,b shows a sample molecule and its corresponding scaffold.

To simplify matters, in the discussion that follows, we will disregard the distinction between single, double, and triple bonds as well as between different atom types (e.g., C, N, O, etc.); note that, by the nature of scaffolds, hydrogen atoms will be omitted from the molecular descriptions. We will use the graph theory terminology of nodes and edges to indicate atoms and bonds, respectively.

A k-node is defined to be a node of degree k, where the degree indicates the number of edge segments incident to the node (see Figure 1c). The valence of the atom represented by the node determines the maximum value of k; so, for example, carbon atoms in a dehydrogenated molecule exist 100 as 1-, 2-, 3-, or 4-nodes. An l-edge consists of l edges 101 connecting two distinct nodes. A loop is an edge that 102 connects a node to itself. In Figure 1c, node 1 has a loop, 103 nodes 1 and 2 are connected by a 1-edge, and nodes 2 and 104 3 are connected by a 3-edge. 105

The topology of a molecule's scaffold is constructed from 106 a molecule by recursively removing all of its 1-nodes (all 107 branches that do not ultimately terminate in a ring on both 108 ends) and by eliminating all of its 2-nodes (which simply 109 divide an edge into two segments). The remaining nodes, 110 which will be of degree three or greater, generate branching, 111 112 initiating rings or ring connectors, and so establish the scaffold's topology. Scaffold topologies may contain multiple 113 edges and loops, both features that are not found in molecular 114 graphs. Nodes of degree five or more are rare in the databases 115 that we examined (see section 3), so we will only consider 116 scaffold topologies consisting of 3-nodes and 4-nodes,¹⁵ 117 which correspond to carbon-based molecules. 118

Definition 2. A scaffold topology is constructed from a 119 scaffold by (1) disregarding differences in atom type so nodes 120 only differ by their connectivity, (2) treating multiple bonds 121 as single edges, and (3) eliminating all 2-nodes from the 122 resulting graph (except in the situation of a single ring, in 123 which case one 2-node is retained), 1-nodes having already 124 been removed to produce the scaffold. 125

Since the recursive process of extracting a scaffold from 126 a molecule involves, in the worst case, eliminating one atom 127 (node) per step, where each step may require examining the 128 entire adjacency matrix (i.e., n_M^2 entries, n_M counting the 129 number of atoms in the original molecule), the time 130 complexity of this process cannot exceed n_M^{3} . Hereafter, for 131 simplicity, we will often shorten the term scaffold topology 132 to topology, but we will always mean a graph as constructed 133 above unless indicated otherwise. 134

Let r and N_k count the number of independent rings and 135 k-nodes, respectively; then, for topologies¹ 136

$$r = N_4 + \frac{N_3}{2} + 1 \tag{1}$$

For a fixed value of r, N_3 and N_4 will thus take on the integer 138 values 139

and hence, for a topology, the total number of nodes (n) and 141 edges (e) satisfies 142

$$r-1 \le n \le 2(r-1)$$
 and $2(r-1) \le e \le 3(r-1)$ 143

2.2. Comparing Topologies. Several schemes for uniquely 144 characterizing molecular graphs have appeared (Trinajstíc 145 et al.¹⁶ describes a number of methods; see also refs 17-19). 146 This has been a difficult task, as complex graphs can have 147 sophisticated symmetries that defy easy classification (see 148 Berger et al.²⁰ for some remarkable counterexamples in ring 149 perception). 150

We represent both molecular graphs and their topologies 151 by adjacency matrices, A. Since we are only interested in 152 the connectivity of atoms in molecules and scaffolds, and 153 not whether a bond is single, double, or triple, all of the 154 molecular adjacency matrices will only have entries of zero 155 or one. Topology adjacency matrices, however, can have 156 nodes that are multiply connected with other nodes or with 157 themselves (loops). From A, we compute the ordered return 158 index, an $n \times n$ matrix, as discussed in the companion paper.¹ 159

We have exhaustively verified that, after sorting with 160 respect to the number of rings and the number of 3- or 161 4-nodes, the ordered return index is sufficient to distinguish 162 topologies with up through eight rings for molecules with 163 atoms of valence up to four.¹ Therefore, this set of values 164 under the conditions given establishes a unique characteriza-165 tion of scaffold topologies. For r = 11, we know of examples 166 of topologies that have the same ordered return indices yet 167 are distinct.¹ The ordered return index is not sufficient to 168 distinguish between graphs containing nodes of degree 169 greater than four. Scaffolds with nodes of degree five or more 170 are, however, rare, as noted earlier. 171

WESTER ET AL.

J. Chem. Inf. Model., Vol. xxx, No. xx, XXXX C

Table 1. The Total Number of Distinct Scaffold Topologies for One through Eight Rings (Top) and Categorized by the Number of 3-Nodes, N_3 , and 4-Nodes, N_4 (Bottom)^{*a*}



The diagonal colors indicate the number of rings (r). Note that the (0, 0) topology is a loop with a 2-node.

Moreover, we have found that the diagonal of the ordered 172 return index is an excellent discriminator of topologies, which 173 we use to speed database searches. We need only compare 174 175 *n* diagonal entries rather than perform full comparisons of *n* \times *n* matrices in nearly all cases. Out of a total of 1 547 689 176 topologies containing eight rings or less, there are 2, 9, and 177 185 examples, respectively, in which groups of four, three, 178 and two ordered return indices, respectively, share a common 179 diagonal but the full matrices differ, resulting in a total of 180 405 ambiguous cases when the diagonal is used for dis-181 crimination. In such events, we fall back to full-matrix 182 comparisons within the small groups of four, three, or two 183 ordered return indices. 184

Table 1 shows the results of enumerating all possible 185 topologies up through eight rings. In Figure 2a, all scaffold 186 187 topologies with one to three rings are presented as well as the 3-node-only and 4-node-only four-ring topologies. A total 188 of 52 mixed 3-/4-node four-ring topologies are not shown. 189 See Table 2 for further identifications. The corresponding 190 minimal scaffolds require 3, 4-6, 4-10, and 5-14 nodes, 191 respectively, for r = 1-4. Figure 2b exhibits examples of 192 all the topologies shown in Figure 2a, except for number 193 17, which was not present in any of the databases examined. 194

2.3. Spiro Atoms. A spiro atom is the unique common 195 member of two or more otherwise disjoint ring systems.²² 196 As the topology fully describes the ring systems of a scaffold, 197 198 the number of spiro atoms is an invariant for all scaffolds corresponding to a given topology. A scaffold's topology is 199 in general a smaller graph than the scaffold itself, and so it 200 is a convenient tool for the analysis of spiro atoms. A spiro 201 atom by its definition requires a node of degree at least four. 202 We implement an exhaustive breadth-first search technique 203 to determine if any node in the topology corresponds to a 204 spiro atom. In a search of chemical libraries, we may 205 encounter atoms of degrees greater than four (e.g., sulfur), 206 and so we can apply the concept of spiro degree to count 207 the number of otherwise disjoint ring systems of which an 208 atom is the unique common member. If the degree of a spiro 209 210 is not specified, it is assumed to be two. In Figure 2a, the only topologies that have spiro atoms are 4, 10, 12, and 86 211 with one; 16 with two; and 87 and 88 with three. 212

213 **2.4. Database Measures.** Let N_{ik} count the number of 214 *k*-nodes in the *i*th molecule of a chemical database containing 215 *M* molecules from which molecules lacking a scaffold (i.e., 216 possessing no rings) have been excluded. Let $N_{ik}^{(s)}$ count 217 the number of *k*-nodes in the scaffold corresponding to the *i*th molecule. The average fraction of atoms per molecule 218 that makes up the scaffold is then 219

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{k \ge 2} N_{ik}^{(s)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{k \ge 1} N_{ik}}$$
220

where the maximum value of *k* in the databases we examined 221 was 6. The average fraction of branch points (\geq 3-nodes) 222 per scaffold is 223

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1,r\geq 2}^{M} \sum_{k\geq 3} N_{ik}^{(s)}}{\sum_{i=1,r\geq 2}^{M} \sum_{k\geq 2} N_{ik}^{(s)}}$$
224

which excludes single-ring (r = 1) structures. The average 225 scaffold connectivity (node degree) is 226

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{k \ge 2} k N_{ik}^{(s)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{k \ge 2} N_{ik}^{(s)}}$$
227

The average number of independent rings per scaffold is 228

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} (\frac{1}{2} [\sum_{k\geq 3} (k-2)N_{ik}^{(s)}] + 1)}{M} = 1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{k\geq 3} (k-2)N_{ik}^{(s)}}{2M}$$
230

This last quantity is derived from a generalization of eq 1. 231

3. ANALYSIS OF SOME EXISTING DATABASES 232

We computed scaffold topologies for the molecules 233 found in several databases, as follows: ChemNavigator,²³ 234 which collects commercially available chemicals; the 235 Dictionary of Natural Products (DNP);²⁴ an in-house 236 compilation of 2742 unique small molecules that are, or 237 have been, launched drugs (Drugs); PubChem,²⁵ a public 238 repository of small molecules which have been character-239 ized for biological activity; PC "actives", which is the 240 PubChem subset labeled as "active"; the Distributed 241 Structure–Searchable Toxicity (DSSTox)²⁶ database, also 242 a subset of PubChem; and WOMBAT,²⁷ a collection of 243 small molecules with known biological activity from 244 medicinal chemistry literature (see Table 3). For each 245 database, we processed SMILES^{28,29} for all of the 246 molecules; removed salts, hydration information and 247 counterions; and then eliminated nonunique entries. We 248 converted each SMILES to an adjacency matrix using 249 OEChem,³⁰ stripped each molecule down to its simplified 250 scaffold (see section 2), and then extracted the distinct 251 topologies and cataloged their frequencies. Furthermore, 252 we carried out the same procedure on the nonredundant 253 union of all databases,³¹ which was used to compare the 254 topological coverage of the individual databases. We note 255 that 10 153 (42.8%) of the distinct topologies found in 256 the merged database had a single representative and 17 634 257 (74.3%) had five or less representatives. We also examined 258 the Generated Database of Chemical Space of Small 259 Molecules (GDB),³² in which all organic molecules with 260 11 or less main atoms and a molecular weight of less than 261 160 Da have been algorithmically generated and then 262 filtered down for simple valency, synthetic feasibility, and 263 stability.2 264



In Table 4, the scaffolds and topologies for each database are compared with the merged totals (columns 2 and 3), and then with the number of SMILES (molecules) in the database 267 (columns 4 and 5). Relative to the merged database, of the 268





Figure 2. (a) All one- to three-ring scaffold topologies and all four-ring topologies possessing only 3-nodes or only 4-nodes. See Table 2 for further identification. (b) Examples^{21} from the databases examined of molecules that exhibit each one- to three-ring topology and each four-ring topology possessing only 3-nodes or 4-nodes, corresponding to the topologies in part a. Note that none of the databases examined possessed an example of topology number 17. See Table 2 for further identification.

two largest chemical databases, PubChem produced 5% fewer distinct scaffolds but nearly 6 times more topologies

than ChemNavigator. DNP made a small (1.5%) relative 271 contribution of scaffolds, but a good-sized (13.5%) contribu-272

F	J.	Chem.	Inf.	Model.,	Vol.	ххх,	No.	хх,	XXXX
---	----	-------	------	---------	------	------	-----	-----	------

Table 2. Descriptors for the Scaffold Topologies in Figure 2a

r	1 2				3	4			
$\overline{N_4}$	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	3	
N_3	0	2	0	4	2	0	6	0	
topologies	1	2 - 3	4	5-9	10 - 14	15-16	17-33	86-89	

Table 3. Databases Examined, Including a Merged One Constructed from All the Others, Their Sizes, the Number of Distinct Scaffolds Produced, and the Number of Distinct Topologies Discovered⁴

database	version	unique SMILES	distinct scaffolds	distinct topologies ^b
ChemNavigator	October 2006	14041970	1313911	3880
DNP	April 2006	132434	31819	3199
Drugs	2006	2742	1312	155
PubChem ^c	November 7, 2006	11595690	1210092	22612
PC actives	November 7, 2006	38881	17200	1052
DSSTox	November 7, 2006	3915	1067	115
WOMBAT	December 2006	149451	44038	1333
merged		25029900	2056025	23737
GDB	2005	26434571	1076051	76

^a GDB, a generated database, was analyzed separately. ^b Since the ordered return index is not guaranteed to completely distinguish scaffold topologies for r > 8, the numbers presented in this table generally are lower bounds; however, we do believe them to be good estimates, as we employed additional strategies for >eight-ring structures to help provide further resolution, such as computing multiple ordered return indices using different values in the adjacency matrix to represent loops. In addition, the total numbers of topologies for each database with r > 8 were small: < 0.62%, except for DNP (3.68%) and PC actives (1.33%), both small databases. ^c PubChem substances were used, as at the time the analyses were performed, substances but not compounds could be identified as active.

Table 4. For Each Database Examined, the Percentage that the Number of Distinct Scaffolds (Topologies) Makes with Respect to the Total Number of Distinct Scaffolds (Topologies) in the Merged Database and the Percentage Ratio of Scaffolds and Topologies to Unique SMILES (Molecules) Present in the Database

database	% scaf./	% top./	% scaf./	% top./
	merged scaf.	merged top.	SMILES	SMILES
ChemNavigator	63.905	16.346	9.357	0.0276
DNP	1.548	13.477	24.026	2.4155
Drugs	0.134	0.653	47.848	5.6528
PubChem	58.856	95.261	10.436	0.1950
PC actives	1.891	4.432	44.238	2.7057
DSSTox	0.190	0.484	27.254	2.9374
WOMBAT	7.269	5.616	29.467	0.8919
merged	100.000	100.000	8.214	0.0948
GDB		0.320	4.071	0.0003

tion of topologies. Nearly 99% of GDB's scaffolds did not overlap with the merged database; however, all of its topologies did.

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

The last two columns of Table 4 provide an indication of the databases' scaffold and scaffold topological diversities. The smaller, biologically oriented databases (especially Drugs) have the greatest diversities, while GDB, with only 76 unique topologies but over 26 000 000 SMILES, has a very low topology-to-SMILES ratio, although its scaffoldto-SMILES ratio is much more in line with the other, especially the two large, databases. Thus, collections of very small molecules (<160 Da) may have many scaffolds, but their underlying scaffold topologies remain quite limited. We note that the topology-to-SMILES ratio appears to be inversely correlated with the size of the databases (the larger the database, the smaller the ratio), and the scaffold-to-

Wester et al.

Table 5. For Each Database, the Percentage of Molecules That Do Not Contain Rings, the Maximum Number of Rings Found in a Single Compound, and the Population of Molecules That Possess at Least One 5- Or 6-Node

database	% no rings	Maximum rings	>4-nodes population
ChemNavigator	0.245	62	95
DNP	8.633	32	61
Drugs	6.492	18	0
PubChem	2.466	165	6488
PC actives	3.837	23	198
DSSTox	25.057	11	0
WOMBAT	1.641	34	0
merged	1.225	165	6593
GDB	15.414	6	0

SMILES ratios are partially so, which suggests that a larger 289 database typically contains more examples of a topology or 290 a scaffold. 291

Xue and Bajorath³³ found that the scaffold-to-compound 292 percentage was 44.53% for the Optiverse screening library 293 based on diversity design (117 976 chemicals) and 26.94% 294 for the Maybridge collection of compounds and intermediates 295 used in medicinal chemistry (58 239 chemicals). For the 296 biologically oriented databases here, the numbers (and 297 database sizes) are comparable, ranging between 47.85% for 298 Drugs to 24.03% for DNP. 299

As can be seen in Table 5, nearly all of the molecules 300 contain rings and can be stripped down into scaffolds (these 301 findings are similar to those of Lewell et al.³⁴ and Koch et 302 al.).³⁵ Note, however, that 8.6% of the DNP structures, 6.5% 303 of the Drugs, and 3.9% of the PC actives, all biologically 304 oriented, do not contain rings, as does 25.1% of DSSTox, 305 by far the largest database percentage. A total of 15.4% of 306 the generated structures in GDB also lack rings. Note also 307 that the larger databases of known chemicals contain, in 308 general, larger structures. The most rings found in a single 309 scaffold topology is a PubChem copper tetracarboranylphe-310 nylporphyrin with r = 165 ($N_6 = 8, N_5 = 88, N_3 = 32$). 311 The next largest, a protein HIV inhibitor also from PubChem, 312 has 107 rings ($N_3 = 212$). In general, the largest examples 313 in each database possess no 4-nodes, only 3-nodes and 314 possibly 5- or 6-nodes. 315

Scaffold topologies containing a 5- or 6-node are rare; only 316 0.5% of the entries in the PC actives database (the most 317 extreme case) contain nodes of such high degree. PubChem, 318 with 0.06%, had the next greatest percentage of molecules 319 possessing a scaffold with a 5- or 6-node, while Drugs, 320 DSSTox, WOMBAT, and GDB contain no such structures 321 at all. We found no scaffolds that had nodes with degrees > 322 6. Therefore, we ignored such higher-degree nodes and 323 concentrated on topologies that contained nodes of at most 324 degree 4. A major reason why there are so few nodes of 325 degree > 4 is that those atoms with high valence (e.g., P 326 and S) are typically not ring members, so they are commonly 327 stripped off when scaffolds are created. 328

A variety of chemical, geometrical, and topological criteria 329 have been used to describe molecules and to map out 330 chemical space. Here, we concentrate on measures based on 331 topological properties to characterize the databases of interest, 332 as illustrated in Table 6. One such measure is the average 333 fraction of atoms per molecule that makes up the scaffold 334 (see the first data column). In the biologically oriented 335 databases (DNP, Drugs, PC actives, DSSTox, and WOMBAT), 336

Table 6. Basic Database Measures: Average Fraction of Atoms Per Molecule That Make up the Scaffold, Average Fraction of Branch Points (\geq 3-Nodes) Per Scaffold, Average Scaffold Connectivity (Node Degree), Average Number of Independent Rings Per Scaffold^{*a*}

database	fraction scaffold	fraction \geq 3-nodes	node degree	number of rings
ChemNavigator	0.745	0.211	2.208	3.278
DNP	0.610	0.283	2.269	3.778
Drugs	0.636	0.236	2.202	2.854
PubChem	0.717	0.223	2.211	3.148
PC actives	0.714	0.249	2.232	3.311
DSSTox	0.649	0.239	2.133	2.225
WOMBAT	0.671	0.226	2.218	3.481
merged	0.733	0.217	2.210	3.235
GDB	0.605	0.307	2.049	1.653
^a See Methods f	for computat	ional details		

337 this fraction averages 0.61-0.71, while in the other known chemical databases, that average is higher, ranging 0.72-0.74. 338 Thus, biologically oriented molecules tend to exhibit a higher 339 fraction of the molecule that is represented by chemical 340 substituents to the scaffold, rather than as part of it. This is 341 likely to increase chemical and pharmacophore diversity at 342 a scaffold, which is a traditional way of exploring biological 343 activity around a given scaffold. The lowest fraction of 344 scaffold atoms (0.60) is in GDB, which indicates that these 345 molecules contain a considerable fraction of nonscaffold 346 structure. This is not surprising, since the goal of GDB is to 347 exhaustively map chemical space and is, in a way, equivalent 348 349 to the manner in which patents enumerate substituents for chemical completeness, a situation that only occasionally 350 leads to synthesized compounds. 351

Others³⁴ have computed the scaffold molecular weight 352 fraction, a related measure. The atoms that are stripped to 353 produce the scaffold include all hydrogens; in general, the 354 scaffold tends to retain a majority of the molecular mass. In 355 a collection of approximately 10 000 preclinical and clinical-356 phase candidates, including some marketed drugs, 56% of 357 the molecular weight of the compounds was present in the 358 scaffolds³⁴ (as we define them here). 359

Another topological measure is the fraction of scaffold 360 atoms that are essential for defining the scaffold topology 361 of multiring systems. This is the fraction of branching (\geq 362 3)-nodes found within the scaffold. The second data column 363 in the table lists the average fractions of scaffold atoms that 364 define the scaffold topologies. These numbers tend to be 365 around 0.22 for known chemicals, with somewhat higher 366 values for the biologically oriented databases and GDB. GDB 367 368 and DNP have by far the greatest branching structure within their scaffolds. 369

Bone and Villar³⁶ looked at the average connectivity 370 (average node degree) of molecular structures as an indicator 371 of diversity. The average node degree taken over all scaffolds 372 is given in the third data column of Table 6. This measure 373 is quite similar among databases of known chemicals, 374 averaging around 2.21, with DNP having a marginally higher 375 value and DSSTox a somewhat lower value. GDB scaffolds, 376 averaging 2.05, are, on average, less connected. 377

Another such measure is the average number of independent rings per scaffold. Three-ring scaffolds are the most common in the version of DNP that Koch et al. examined, with the counts of two- and four-ringed systems lying within



J. Chem. Inf. Model., Vol. xxx, No. xx, XXXX G

Figure 3. The population percentages in the indicated databases with respect to the total database population for the number of rings per scaffold.

one standard deviation.³⁵ Natural products have the highest 382 average number of rings and marketed drugs the least, with 383 natural product derivatives and combinatorially synthesized 384 chemicals in between.³⁷ Our results show generally similar 385 trends, but much less pronounced, since we examine larger 386 collections (except for the Drugs). DSSTox is an exception, 387 with a lower average number of rings than any of the other 388 databases of known chemicals. GDB has a much lower 389 average ring count than the other databases, which is merely 390 indicative of the artificial limits imposed by enumeration (160 391 Da, 11 atoms). 392

Figure 3 shows how the database population percentages 393 correspond to the number of rings in more detail. All 394 databases of known chemicals except DSSTox show fairly 395 similar trends, peaking at three rings (except for Drugs, which 396 has 1.4% more two-ring than three-ring structures), with the 397 majority of each database consisting of 2-4 ring molecules. 398 DNP has the broadest peak, indicating that the number of 399 rings in natural products are more evenly spread out than in 400 other classes of chemicals. GDB has a different character 401 than the above databases, peaking at one ring and then 402 dropping sharply, nearly reaching zero at five rings. This is, 403 of course, consistent with the limitations imposed on the 404 database by the upper bound of 11 heavy atoms. DSSTox 405 also peaks at one ring; however, its tail drops gradually, more 406 like the other known chemical databases. Nearly 3/4 of the 407 scaffolds of toxic substances have two or less rings. 408

In Figure 4, the populations of scaffolds in the Chem-409 Navigator database are displayed as a function of N_3 , N_4 , 410 and r. (All of the individual databases showed similar trends.) 411 The populations drop sharply as the number of rings 412 increases. In addition, in this three-dimensional representa-413 tion, we can see that the currently explored portion of 414 chemical space is strongly biased against scaffolds with 415 4-nodes and hence 4-node scaffold topologies. 416

The above trends are again evident when the numbers of 417 topologies in the various databases are compared with the 418 theoretical maxima that we have computed in Table 1. In 419 Table 7, the fractions of the topologies present versus the 420 theoretical possibilities are tabulated as a function of the 421 number of rings, while in Table 8, the fractions for r = 1-6, 422 categorized by N_3 and N_4 , are displayed. Note that a blank 423 entry means no topologies of the indicated class were present 424 in the specified database, while 0.000 means that there were 425 some examples present, but the number is zero to three 426 decimal places. The fractions for r = 1 and 2 were 1.0 for 427 H J. Chem. Inf. Model., Vol. xxx, No. xx, XXXX



Figure 4. Populations of scaffolds in the ChemNavigator database as a function of the number of 3- and 4-nodes, N_3 and N_4 , and ordered, using connected stems of the same color, by the number of independent rings r. Five outliers (scaffolds with $N_3 > 50$) have been excluded to make the main population trends of the graph easier to see.

Table 7. The Fractions of Scaffold Topologies in the Indicated Databases with Respect to the Theoretical Maxima Per Number of Rings r

r =	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
ChemNavigator	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.918	0.542	0.134	0.013	0.001
DNP	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.795	0.425	0.082	0.007	0.000
Drugs	1.000	1.000	0.750	0.411	0.078	0.005	0.000	0.000
PubChem	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.986	0.854	0.299	0.036	0.002
PC actives	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.712	0.280	0.039	0.002	0.000
DSSTox	1.000	0.667	0.667	0.315	0.061	0.002	0.000	0.000
WOMBAT	1.000	1.000	0.917	0.658	0.278	0.052	0.004	0.000
merged	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.986	0.859	0.310	0.039	0.002
GDB	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.425	0.041	0.001	0.000	0.000

all databases except DSSTox and were generally 1.0 for r = 3, the exceptions being Drugs, DSSTox, and WOMBAT, all smaller databases. For $r \ge 4$, the tendency toward structures with mostly 3-nodes starts to show up and becomes increasingly pronounced for higher values of r. This trend is especially notable in the Drugs and DSSTox collections.

428

429

430

431

432

433

Considering the four-ring scaffolds in detail, in most of 434 the databases examined, 16 out of the 17 possible topologies 435 are present for the scaffolds consisting only of 3-nodes. The 436 missing structure is the molecule labeled by 17 in Figure 437 2a, which resembles a Möbius strip and is the only topology 438 of the group that does not have a planar representation. 439 Molecules with nonplanar graphs are extremely rare; the first 440 known example of a molecule with this topology was 441 synthesized by Walba.³⁸ On the other extreme, most or all 442 of the four 4-node-only topologies are missing from the 443 databases, except for PubChem, which does have them all. 444 For the mixed 3-/4-node topologies, PubChem has examples 445 of all and ChemNavigator nearly all, while the other 446 databases contain some fraction of the possibilities. The 447 generated structures of GDB enumerate only 40-50% of 448 the various four-ring topologies. All of the minimal scaffolds 449 of the 4-node-only topologies and 13 out of 17 of the 3-node-450 only topologies can be represented with 11 carbons or less, 451 for example (see Figure 2a), so the filtering of chemically 452 unstable and synthetically infeasible compounds (including 453 nonplanar graphs and all three- and four-member rings)² has 454 removed a substantial fraction of topology types from this 455 database. 456

The fraction of topologies compared to what is possiblecategorized by number of rings, or rings and 3- or 4-nodes,

WESTER ET AL.

is an indicator of the diversity of a database. Another is the 459 population fraction of each distinct topology within the 460 database. Table 9 displays the population percentages (with 461 respect to the database's total population) of classes of 462 topologies categorized by N_3 and N_4 for r = 0-6. Here, the 463 bias against scaffolds containing 4-nodes is very strong. 464 Moreover, while the distributions peak for three-ring scaf-465 folds containing only 3-nodes, there are significant percent-466 ages of structures containing one to five rings, and zero rings 467 in some cases such as for DNP, Drugs, and DSSTox. 468

Figure 5 displays for each database the population percent-469 ages of the scaffold topologies 1-33, shown in Figure 2a, 470 along with the situation when there are no rings present. 471 Consider the seven databases of known chemicals first. 472 Several competing trends are evident. The fraction of 473 topologies possessing even one 4-node (numbers 10-16) is 474 very small. The 3-node only topologies that contain a 475 nonlinear cluster of three or more fused rings are also rare 476 (i.e., topology numbers 5, 17-19, 21, and 26, as opposed to 477 6, 20, 27, and 28, which are well-populated linear clusters). 478 Among the remaining topology types, those that consist of 479 three or more rings emanating from a central vertex or 480 vertices (i.e., 9 and 31-33) are the least common. In addition, 481 it can be seen that the ChemNavigator and PubChem values 482 show the same general qualitative trends compared to the 483 other databases. ChemNavigator does, however, have fewer 484 no-ring and single-ring structures than PubChem. Also, DNP 485 topologies show a distinctive trend, having a higher propor-486 tion of linear fused-ring assemblies than other databases (e.g., 487 6 and 20), but very few topologies involving multiple rings 488 emanating from a central vertex or vertices. DNP (and Drugs) 489 also has a considerable percentage of structures with no rings. 490 DSSTox, as noted earlier, has a preponderance of no-ring 491 and single-ring structures, and no examples at all of any 492 4-node-only topologies and very few with any 4-nodes at 493 all 494

GDB also has a considerable percentage of structures with 495 no rings. The other trends are also similar, except that, unlike 496 the other databases, topologies possessing a 4-node are not 497 quite as rare. In addition, GDB favors the maximally fused 498 two- and three-ring topologies, numbers 3 and 5, respectively, 499 more than the other databases. 500

Table 10 presents the population percentages of the 10501most frequent topologies in each of the databases. These502topologies are identified by their rank in the merged database;503they are displayed in Figure 6a, and examples of actual504molecules are provided in Figure 6b.505

Only 18 distinct topologies are found in the collection of 506 the 10 most common topologies from each of the seven 507 databases of known chemicals, making up from 62.8 to 508 91.3% of the total populations. None of these topologies 509 possess 4-nodes. There is some tendency for DNP to have 510 more and DSSTox to have fewer scaffolds with linear 511 assemblies of fused rings than the other databases (see Tables 512 10 and 11). In general, the biologically oriented databases, 513 except DSSTox, have greater percentages within their top 514 10 topologies exhibiting linear fused-ring assemblies than 515 the more general databases (i.e., ChemNavigator and Pub-516 Chem). For GDB, five additional topologies not included in 517 the above 18 define its second five most frequent topologies 518 (7.7% of the population; note that 90.6% of the population519 is included in the top five topologies). Three of these contain 520

J. Chem. Inf. Model., Vol. xxx, No. xx, XXXX I

Table 8. The Fractions of Scaffold Topologies in the Indicated Databases with Respect to the Theoretical Maxima Per Numbers of 3- And 4-Nodes, N_3 and N_4 , for Structures with r = 1-6 Rings^{*a*}

r	N_4	N_3	Chem Nav.	DNP	Drugs	Pub Chem	PC actives	DSSTox	WOM BAT	merged	GDB
1	0	0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
2	0	2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	1	0	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000
3	0	4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	1	2	1.000	1.000	0.800	1.000	1.000	0.600	1.000	1.000	1.000
	2	0	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000		0.500	1.000	1.000
4	0	6	0.941	0.941	0.882	0.941	0.941	0.824	0.941	0.941	0.412
	1	4	1.000	0.900	0.467	1.000	0.900	0.300	0.933	1.000	0.433
	2	2	0.909	0.636	0.045	1.000	0.364		0.182	1.000	0.409
	3	0	0.250	0.250		1.000	0.250			1.000	0.500
5	0	8	0.930	0.887	0.479	0.944	0.831	0.394	0.831	0.944	0.127
	1	6	0.845	0.554	0.057	0.974	0.399	0.036	0.482	0.974	0.052
	2	4	0.364	0.303	0.004	0.868	0.127	0.004	0.053	0.873	0.022
	3	2	0.057	0.136		0.534				0.557	
	4	0	0.300			0.400				0.400	
6	0	10	0.642	0.451	0.054	0.851	0.345	0.031	0.482	0.851	0.008
	1	8	0.303	0.122	0.006	0.596	0.057	0.003	0.084	0.611	0.001
	2	6	0.059	0.053	0.000	0.228	0.011		0.009	0.241	
	3	4	0.009	0.022		0.071	0.002		0.001	0.080	
	4	2	0.007	0.007		0.060				0.060	
	5	0				0.214				0.214	
a Di				•	C .1 . 1	C . 1	c 1.	.1 .0 1	1.1		

^a Blank entries indicate that no representatives of that class of topologies were found in the specified database.

Table 9. The Population Percentages in the Indicated Databases with Respect to the Total Database Population for Topologies with the Given Numbers of 3- And 4-Nodes, N_3 and N_4 , for Structures with r = 0-6 Rings^{*a*}

r	N_4	N_3	Chem Nav.	DNP	Drugs	Pub Chem	PC actives	DSSTox	WOMBAT	merged	GDB
0	0	0	0.245	8.633	6.492	2.466	3.837	25.057	1.641	1.225	15.414
1	0	0	2.979	11.831	16.630	8.212	10.771	29.808	6.588	5.248	41.721
2	0	2	20.808	15.390	25.492	24.094	18.384	19.515	16.680	21.981	29.521
	1	0	0.017	0.285	0.109	0.112	0.273		0.060	0.061	2.425
3	0	4	36.792	19.126	23.669	30.813	26.067	12.746	28.190	34.064	7.299
	1	2	0.287	1.172	0.547	0.523	0.664	0.179	0.659	0.399	2.090
	2	0	0.001	0.023		0.015	0.036		0.001	0.007	0.110
4	0	6	25.694	13.106	16.156	20.376	20.370	7.612	25.496	23.463	1.008
	1	4	0.729	2.829	1.349	0.931	2.132	0.664	1.184	0.838	0.300
	2	2	0.004	0.215	0.036	0.031	0.051		0.005	0.016	0.041
	3	0	0.000	0.006		0.002	0.013			0.001	0.001
5	0	8	9.178	8.721	4.413	7.382	8.652	2.095	11.800	8.492	0.057
	1	6	0.554	2.115	0.839	0.682	1.103	0.383	0.971	0.630	0.010
	2	4	0.028	1.097	0.036	0.064	0.180	0.026	0.073	0.047	0.002
	3	2	0.000	0.022		0.002				0.001	
	4	0	0.000			0.001				0.000	
6	0	10	2.004	3.517	1.714	2.044	3.001	0.741	3.524	2.071	0.001
	1	8	0.238	1.808	0.511	0.356	0.651	0.128	0.472	0.301	0.000
	2	6	0.028	0.657	0.036	0.063	0.219		0.106	0.046	
	3	4	0.000	0.137		0.006	0.013		0.010	0.003	
	4	2	0.000	0.005		0.001				0.000	
	5	0				0.000				0.000	

^{*a*} Blank entries indicate that no representatives of that class of topologies were found in the specified database. r = 0 values represent structures that contain no rings.

4-nodes, two of which are spiro. There is also a tendency toward linear assemblies of fused rings in this database (mostly due to the topology in Figure 7a ranked 10); however, note that two of GDB's most frequent scaffold topologies (ranked 46 and 122 in Figure 6a) are nonlinear clusters of fused rings, which are rare in the other databases.

521

522

523

524

525

526

527 If the 32 most frequent scaffolds and the acyclic com-528 pounds found in Bemis and Murcko's analysis of the 529 Comprehensive Medicinal Chemistry database⁴⁰ are con-530 verted to topologies, we find the following frequencies > 531 1%, where the boldfaced numbers indicate the rank in our 532 merged database: 1. 16.582, 4. 14.355, 14. 5.977, 10. 5.527, 26. 4.824, 3. 4.336,53318. 2.812 These values are remarkably similar to the results534for Drugs in Table 10. Note that a substantial fraction535(44.26%) of Bemis and Murcko's data (of less-frequent536scaffolds) was not published. Only topology 3 has a537significantly different placement in the two orderings.538

The total number of scaffold topologies containing eight 539 rings or less is 1 547 689 (see Table 1). Of these, 850 878 540 (54.98%) contain spiro nodes, and 164 375 (10.62%) are 541 nonplanar as determined by nauty.⁴¹ There are 9474 topologies in the merged database with eight or less rings, so 543 99.39% of the possible scaffold topologies are not found in 544 J J. Chem. Inf. Model., Vol. xxx, No. xx, XXXX

WESTER ET AL.



Figure 5. The percentage frequencies of the first 33 scaffold topologies of Figure 2 in the indicated databases. The entry labeled zero indicates the database percentages of structures that do not contain rings. The dashed lines in the top graph divide the results into sets of topologies possessing zero, one, two, or three rings, respectively. The bottom graph displays the frequencies for four-ring topologies containing only 3-nodes. Note that the vertical scales in the two graphs are different.

Table 10. The Percentages of the 10 Most Frequent Topologies Present in Each of the Databases Examined^a

Cher	n Navigator		DNP	1	Drugs	Pu	bChem	PC	actives	D	SSTox	WC	OMBAT	(GDB	
2	22.694	4	11.831	1	19.548	1	20.740	1	13.642	4	29.808	3	13.200	10	41.721	
1	19.646	10	9.249	4	16.630	2	15.457	3	11.101	14	25.057	1	12.901	14	24.765	
3	11.196	18	9.226	3	11.379	3	11.509	4	10.771	1	13.997	2	10.160	1	15.414	
5	6.474	14	8.633	14	6.492	4	8.212	2	7.652	10	5.517	4	6.588	4	4.755	
6	5.609	3	6.643	10	5.945	5	4.033	10	4.743	3	5.492	5	5.101	18	3.953	
7	3.590	1	6.140	26	5.872	10	3.354	18	4.681	18	3.372	10	3.779	46	2.765	
4	2.979	26	5.356	2	4.887	6	2.824	14	3.837	26	3.218	6	3.510	57	2.425	
8	2.505	48	2.872	18	3.939	7	2.573	11	3.130	2	1.865	11	2.741	58	0.977	
9	2.486	2	2.437	8	3.319	14	2.466	26	2.721	8	1.737	18	2.399	114	0.910	
13	2.094	37	1.625	23	2.553	8	2.204	7	2.220	23	1.252	7	2.375	122	0.610	
	79.273		64.012		80.564		73.372		64.498		91.315		62.754		98.295	

^{*a*} The numbers in boldface refer to the rank in the merged database; the corresponding scaffold topologies are displayed in Figure 6a. The numbers at the bottom are the sum of the 10 percentages above. At least half the population of each database lies above the horizontal line segment dividing the corresponding column.

any of the databases examined. Of those missing, 51.58% are planar and have spiro nodes, 3.60% are nonplanar with spiro nodes, and 7.09% are nonplanar and lack spiro nodes. Only 12 nonplanar and 2099 spiro node topologies (all of which are planar) are present in the merged database. Nine of the nonplanar topologies are found only in PubChem, and the total number of molecules represented by such topologies in the merged database is a mere 44, agreeing with Walba's assessment³⁸ concerning the rarity of chemicals with nonplanar graphs. Of the databases that have topologies unique to them for $r \leq 8$, the only biologically oriented ones are DNP and WOMBAT, with just a few examples (372 and 49 molecules, respectively, representing about half as many topologies), while 55.48% of PubChem's $r \leq 8$ topologies (4959/8939) are present only there.

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

We computed the scaffold-to-SMILES ratios of the various known chemical databases for the 17 scaffold topologies that are common to the corresponding 10 most frequent topology 562 collections in Table 10 (topologies ranked 1-11, 13, 18, 23, 563 26, 37, and 48 in Figure 6a), comprising at least 55% of the 564 population of each of the databases. The average numerical 565 rank (1-8) of the ratios taken from highest to lowest 566

Drugs	DSSTox	PC active	es DNP V	VOMBAT	Г		
1.412	1.765	2.882	4.412	4.706	_		567
			PubChem ChemN		Javigat	or merged	
			6.706	6.	824	7.294	568

follow exactly the order of the database sizes from smallest 569 to largest, reinforcing the observation for Table 4 that the 570 size of the database has a significant influence on the 571 observed ratio. 572

For the same set of databases and scaffold topologies, the 573 average number of atoms per scaffold that make up each 574 topology class is graphed in Figure 7. The two general 575



Figure 6. (a) The most frequent topologies present in the databases examined, numbered (in boldface) by their rank in the merged database. The second value for each entry is the topology number, 1-33 and 86-89 of which are shown in Figure 2a. (b) Examples³⁹ from the databases examined of the most frequent topologies present, numbered by their rank in the merged database (compare with Figure 6a).

Table 11. The Number of Rings (First Number in Each Column Pair) and the Size of the Largest Fused-Ring System (Second Number) in Each of the 10 Most Frequent Topologies in the Indicated Databases^a

Chem Navigator		D	NP	Dr	ugs	PubC	Chem	PC a	ctives	DSS	бТох	WON	IBAT	merged		GDB	
3	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	3	2	2	1	2	2
2	1	2	2	1	1	3	1	3	2	0	0	2	1	3	1	0	0
3	2	3	3	3	2	3	2	1	1	2	1	3	1	3	2	2	1
4	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
4	1	3	2	2	2	4	2	2	2	3	2	4	2	4	2	3	3
4	2	2	1	4	4	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	2	4	1	3	3*
1	1	4	4	3	1	4	1	0	0	4	4	4	1	4	2	2	1
3	1	5	5	3	3	4	2	4	2	3	1	4	2	3	1	3	2
4	1	3	1	3	1	0	0	4	4	3	1	3	3	4	1	3	3
5	2	5	4	4	3	3	1	4	2	4	3	4	2	2	2	4	4*
^a Nonli	near assemb	lies of	fused	rings a	re marl	ked by a	n asterisl	ζ.									

databases, ChemNavigator and PubChem, have been omitted 576 as they follow very similar trends to the merged database. 577 The black bars indicate the number of atoms necessary to 578 produce minimal scaffolds (a minimal loop is defined by 579 three atoms), and the ratio of the merged averages to these 580 is nearly constant, approximately 2.33, due in large part to 581 the wealth of six-membered rings throughout chemistry (note 582 topology 4). (We note that the minimal scaffold is achieved 583 in the merged database for eight of the topologies, typically 584 the smaller ones.) The anomalous jump at nine for Drugs is 585 derived from only 12 examples, one of which is the 128-586 atom scaffold of nesiritide. Omitting this outlier brings the 587 mean down to 31.82. Topologies ranked 6-9 and 23 exhibit 588 the most variability (three- and four-ring structures with one 589 to three dangling rings). Generally, DNP scaffolds have the 590 most and DSSTox scaffolds the fewest atoms per topology 591 class, although there are some exceptions. 592

4. CONCLUSIONS

We report the scaffold distribution and topological properties for seven databases of existing chemicals: ChemNavigator, DNP, Drugs, PubChem, PubChem "actives", DSSTox,

593

and WOMBAT, to which we include a comparison with 597 GDB, a collection of virtual small organic molecules. The 598 greatest topological diversity is observed in PubChem. This 599 is not surprising, since this is a public repository where 600 information providers routinely upload a large variety of 601 chemical structures. The databases analyzed in this paper 602 are already dated, but updating the values will not change 603 the qualitative aspect of our results. We will provide 604 semiannual updates for some of these tables on our UNM 605 Biocomputing Web site. For six-ring scaffolds, PubChem 606 molecules cover less than a third of the possible theoretical 607 topological space (limited to \leq 4-nodes), and this fraction 608 declines rapidly for greater numbers of rings. 609

The least topologically diverse set is GDB, which is not 610 surprising either. GDB has been developed using a "bottom-611 up" strategy for chemical space enumeration, where changes 612 occur incrementally, one atom or one bond at a time 613 algorithmically added to a list. By contrast, we regard this 614 work on exhaustive enumeration as a "top-down" strategy, 615 where the landscape of possibilities is mapped out to 616 completeness. Our earlier, unpublished work, modifying one 617 SMILES atom at a time, produced over 1.45 billion unique 618



WESTER ET AL.



Figure 7. The average number of atoms comprising the scaffolds in the indicated databases that are members of the given ranked topologies (see Figure 6a). Minimum refers to the number of nodes needed to produce a minimal representative of the topology (see Figure 1d). The values for the merged database are the total bar heights.

SMILES-all C.sp3-based, and all single bonds, up to eight 619 rings and 20 atoms.^{8–11} We abandoned that strategy because 620 this approach would quickly reach the asymptotic wall of 621 combinatorial explosion: consider that, corresponding to the 622 1.45 billion alkanes, there are probably 1 billion monoalk-623 enes, monoamines, and monoalcohols, to name a few 624 625 possibilities while approximating for symmetry-related redundancy. The GENSMI algorithm became increasingly 626 tedious to use at higher levels of complexity. Using the "top-627 628 down" strategy, one can drill down and achieve completeness using a divide-and-conquer approach. Completeness tests 629 would be limited to only one topological subset, without 630 having to compare all newly generated molecules to all others 631 having the same number of rings and nodes. Thus, the GDB 632 approach continues to be useful in exploring all possibilities 633 of the low-molecular-weight chemical space, but topological 634 landscaping brings a distinct perspective to the same problem. 635

Fine-grained enumerations of the CSSM do provide 636 potential organic molecules from which a variety of chemical, 637 geometrical, and topological properties can be extracted, as 638 well as possible drug leads and so forth. Coarse-grained 639 approaches like ours sacrifice details such as atom and bond 640 types in the interest of restraining the inevitable combinatorial 641 explosion, allowing for a much broader but shallower 642 perspective, which restricts itself to topological properties. 643 Even coarser-grained explorations can be performed, such 644 as the one by Lipkus,⁴² which classified the CSSM with a 645 trio of topological descriptors. This work was performed 646 before complete enumerations were available, so comparisons with the theoretical possibilities were limited. 648

The granularity of scaffold topological enumeration has
an important feature when applied to real chemical databases.
Lightly populated regions of structures rich in complexity,
where the combinatorics make it infeasible to perform finegrained enumeration, are well broken apart by our classifica-

tion. Alternatively, heavily populated regions of simple 654 topologies, where the combinatorics are much easier, are 655 well-suited for complete fine-grained subclassifications, and 656 so the two levels of granularity are actually complementary. 657 Scaffold topologies can be viewed as a low-resolution atlas 658 of the major topological classes of organic ring systems (r659 \leq 8), while fine-grained enumerations act as detailed 660 roadmaps of particular regions. 661

In our analyses, we found a strong bias in all collections 662 of existing chemical compounds (especially DSSTox, which 663 is nearly devoid of 4-nodes) toward 3-node topologies, that 664 is, vertices branching out in three different directions (see 665 Tables 8 and 9). Other topological classes, such as those 666 containing a nonlinear cluster of three or more fused rings 667 (topology numbers 5, 17–19, 21, and 26 in Figure 2a) or 668 three or more rings linked to a central vertex or vertices 669 (topology numbers 9, 31-33), are relatively uncommon (the 670 latter especially in the case of DNP), as was seen in Figure 671 5. Indeed, we see a modest tendency toward more linear 672 fused-ring assemblies in the biologically oriented databases 673 (especially DNP), except for DSSTox, which is under-674 represented by these structures. There is also a tendency 675 toward fewer overall rings in DNP, Drugs, and especially 676 DSSTox, all of which also have significant fractions of 677 molecules that do not contain any rings at all. Finally, we 678 note that compounds possessing nonplanar graphs are quite 679 rare. 680

The average fraction of atoms that make up the scaffold 681 tends to be lower for biologically active molecules, indicating 682 that they have on average a higher number of chemical 683 moieties substituted to the central scaffold, presumably to 684 enhance pharmacophore diversity, thus contributing to 685 biological activity. The scaffolds of natural products generally 686 have more atoms than average, however. 687

Looking at the 10 most frequent topologies for each 688 database, we find that a small number of topologies 689 characterize most of the molecules. Only eight topologies 690 (1-5, 10, 14, and 18 in Figure 7) are needed to characterize 691 half the population of the each of the eight databases. A total 692 of 62.8-91.3% of the database populations are characterized 693 by 18 topologies. On the other hand, most of the topologies 694 encountered are represented by a single or very small number 695 of examples. This is consistent with the findings of other 696 researchers in the context of scaffolds.33,40 Only 0.61% of the possible scaffold topologies containing eight rings or less 698 have actual chemical representatives. As has also been seen 699 by others,^{10,12,13} the CSSM is vast and almost completely 700 unexplored. The various databases examined, especially the 701 biologically oriented ones, occupy very restricted regions. 702

We have developed a Web site⁴³ interfaced to a MySQL 703 database, where one can enter a SMILES and get back a 704 page displaying data relevant to the molecule's scaffold 705 topology. The output includes 2D diagrams of the original 706 molecule and a minimal representative of the scaffold 707 topology, some numerical details related to the topology, the 708 number of matches of this topology in the public database 709 PubChem, and some examples of this topology from Pub-710 Chem. The SMILES of all molecules possessing this 711 topology can also be extracted from the database.⁴⁴ In 712 addition, the user can access theoretical results from our 713 enumeration of all possible scaffold topologies. Depictions 714 of all minimal representatives of scaffold topologies up 715 through four rings are available. We will continue to extend 716 the capabilities of this site and provide updates of scaffold 717 topology distributions for a number of databases. 718

To generate a scaffold topology, we effectively collapse 719 a molecular structure to its essential ring and connecting 720 linear structure. In the companion paper of Pollock et al., 721 scaffold topologies are systematically built up from the most 722 723 basic topologies of one and two rings, and then they are uniquely characterized. Once a topology is available, a 724 minimal or more complicated scaffold can be produced. The 725 two papers, therefore, look at the problem of CSSM 726 exploration from the opposing points of view of what is 727 possible and what actually occurs. 728

The unique characterization of scaffold topologies makes 729 it possible to create an efficient, searchable database that 730 allows for rapid coarse-grained classification of organic 731 732 molecules. For example, to analyze the scaffold topologies for the approximately 25 million unique SMILES in the 733 merged database required less than 4 CPU-hours on a 2.2 734 GHz Linux system with 32 GB of RAM. Such population-735 based topological analyses can easily be performed using 736 this categorization technique, so this methodology comple-737 738 ments existing techniques for CSSM mapping.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We wish to thank Cristian Bologa for his help and advice. 740 This research was funded in part by the New Mexico 741 Tobacco Settlement Fund and the University of New Mexico 742 Initiative for Cross Campus Collaboration in the Biological 743 and Life Sciences. 744

739

J. Chem. Inf. Model., Vol. xxx, No. xx, XXXX M

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- (1) Pollock, S.; Coutsias, E. A.; Wester, M. J.; Oprea, T. I. Scaffold 746 Topologies. 1. Exhaustive Enumeration up to Rings. J. Chem. Info. 747 Model., submitted (accompanying this paper). 749
- (2) Fink, T.; Bruggesser, H.; Reymond, J.-L. Virtual Exploration of the Small-Molecule Chemical Universe below 160 Daltons. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1504–1508.
- (3) de Laet, A.; Hehenkamp, J. J. J.; Wife, R. L. Finding Drug Candidates in Virtual and Lost/Emerging Chemistry. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2000, 37, 669–674.
- (4) Hehenkamp, J. J. J.; de Laet, R. C.; Parlevliet, F. J.; Verheij, H. J.; Wife, R. L. Navigating the real and virtual chemical worlds. In Proceedings of the 2000 Chemical Information Conference; Collier, H. Ed.; Infonortics: Annecy, France, 2000.
- (5) Oprea, T. I.; Gottfries, J. Chemography: The Art of Chemical Space Navigation. J. Comb. Chem. 2001, 3, 157-166.
- (6) Oprea, T. I. Chemical space navigation in lead discovery. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2002, 6, 384-389.
- (7) Molecular Libraries and Imaging. http://nihroadmap.nih.gov/molecularlibraries/ (accessed May 2008)
- (8) Kappler, M. A.; Allu, T. K.; Oprea, T. I. GENSMI: Generation of Genuine SMILES, Presented at MUG'04: 18th Daylight User Group Meeting, 2004. http://www.daylight.com/meetings/mug04/Kappler/ GenSmi.html (accessed Dec 7, 2007).
- (9) Kappler, M. A. GENSMI: Exhaustive Enumeration of Simple Graphs, Presented at EuroMUG 2004. http://www.daylight.com/meetings/ emug04/Kappler/GenSmi.html (accessed Dec 7, 2007).
- (10) Kappler, M. A. GENSMI: Exhaustive Enumeration of Simple Graphs, Presented at Biocomputing @ UNM 2005. http://biocomp.health-.unm.edu/events/Biocomputing@UNM2005/Presentations/Kappler/ GenSmi.html (accessed Dec 7, 2007).
- (11) Oprea, T. I.; Kappler, M. A.; Allu, T. K.; Mracec, M.; Olah, M. M.; Rad, R.; Ostopovici, L.; Hadaruga, N.; Baroni, M.; Zamora, I.; Berellini, G.; Aristei, Y.; Cruciani, G.; Bologa, C. G.; Edwards, B. S.; Sklar, L. A.; Balakin, K. V.; Savchuk, N.; Brown, D.; Larson, R. S. QSAR and Molecular Modelling in Rational Design of Bioactive Molecules; Computer Aided Drug Design & Development Society in Turkey: Istanbul, Turkey, 2006.
- (12) Kerber, A.; Laue, R.; Meringer, M.; Rücker, C. Molecules in silico: potential versus known organic compounds. MATCH 2005, 54, 301-312.
- (13) Fink, T.; Reymond, J.-L. Virtual Exploration of the Chemical Universe up to 11 Atoms of C, N, O, F: Assembly of 26.4 Million Structures (110.9 Million Stereoisomers) and Analysis for New Ring Systems, Stereochemistry, Physicochemical Properties, Compound Classes, and Drug Discovery. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2007, 47, 342-353
- (14) Wilkens, S. J.; Janes, J.; Su, A. I. HierS: Hierarchical Scaffold Clustering Using Topological Chemical Graphs. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48. 3182-3193
- (15) There is one exception to this statement for the situation when a scaffold consists of a single ring. Here, the topology will consist of a 2-node with a loop, as otherwise there would be no node at all.
- (16) Trinajstić, N.; Nikolić, S.; Knop, J. V.; Müller, W. R.; Szymanski, K. Computational Chemical Graph Theory: Characterization, Enumeration and Generation of Chemical Structures by Computer Methods; Ellis Horwood: New York, 1991.
- (17) Filip, P. A.; Balaban, T.-S.; Balaban, A. T. A new approach for devising local graph invariants: Derived topological indices with low degeneracy and good correlation ability. J. Math. Chem. 1987, 1, 61-83
- (18) Mekenyan, O.; Bonchev, D.; Balaban, A. Topological indices for molecular fragments and new graph invariants. J. Math. Chem. 1988, 2. 347-375.
- (19) Ivanciuc, O.; Balaban, T.-S.; Balaban, A. T. Design of topological indices. Part 4. Reciprocal distance matrix, related local vertex invariants and topological indices. J. Math. Chem. 1993, 12, 309-318
- (20) Berger, F.; Flamm, C.; Gleiss, P. M.; Leydold, J.; Stadler, P. F. 812 Counterexamples in Chemical Ring Perception. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 2004, 44, 323-331.
- (21) 1. Neurontin, 2. Imitrex, 3. Effexor XR, 4. Paraplatin, 5. flumequine, 6. Trileptal, 7. Celebrex, 8. Nexium, 9. Zyrtec, 10. Eloxatin, 11. 816 clovene, 12. fenspiride, 13. pilsicainide, 14. phencyclidine, 15. AIDS133821, 16. NSC263872, 18. Agrostophyllin, 19. setiptiline, 20. 818 Flonase, 21. apomorphine, 22. Kytril, 23. clemizole, 24. Lipitor, 25. 819 Trizivir, 26. Levaquin, 27. Zofran, 28. Zyprexa, 29. Cozaar, 30. Viagra, 820 31. Kaletra, 32. Allegra, 33. Zosyn, 86. NSC177445, 87. NSC160443, 88. CBDivE_010142, 89. tri-iron-dodecacarbonyl.
- (22) Moss, G. P. Extension and revision of the nomenclature for spiro compounds (IUPAC Recommendations 1999). Pure Appl. Chem. 1999, 71, 531-558.

745

748

750

751

752

753

754

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

> 813 814 815

817

821

822

823

824

N J. Chem. Inf. Model., Vol. xxx, No. xx, XXXX

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

Wester et al.

865

866

867

868

869

872

873

874

876

877

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

- (23) iResearch Library, ChemNavigator.com, Inc., 2006. http://www.chemnavigator.com/ (accessed Dec 7, 2007).
- (24) Dictionary of Natural Products, version 14.1; Chapman & Hall/CRC: London, 2006.
- (25) PubChem, National Center for Biotechnology Information, 2006. http:// pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ (accessed Dec 7, 2007).
- (26) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Distributed Structure-Ssearchable Toxicity (DSSTox), 2007. http://epa.gov/ncct/dsstox/ (accessed Dec 7, 2007)
- (27) Olah, M.; Rad, R.; Ostopovici, L.; Bora, A.; Hadaruga, N.; Hadaruga, D.; Moldovan, R.; Fulias, A.; Mracec, M.; Oprea, T. I. WOMBAT and WOMBAT-PK: Bioactivity Databases for Lead and Drug Discovery. In Chemical Biology: From Small Molecules to Systems Biology and Drug Design; Schreiber, S. L., Kapoor, T. M., Wess, G. Eds.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2007.
- (28) Weininger, D. SMILES, a Chemical Language and Information System. 1. Introduction to Methodology and Encoding Rules. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1988, 28, 31-36.
- (29) Daylight Theory Manual; Daylight Chemical Information Systems, Inc.: Aliso Viejo, California, 2007. http://www.daylight.com/dayhtml/ doc/theory/ (accessed Dec 7, 2007).
- (30) OEChem C++ Theory Manual, Version 1.4, OpenEye Scientific Software, Inc., 2006. http://www.eyesopen.com/docs/ (accessed Dec 7, 2007).
- (31) Note that the merged database can have duplicate entries, even when duplicate SMILES are removed, because there is no complete canonicalization algorithm for SMILES, but this will have no effect on the overall number of distinct topologies present.
- (32) Reymond, J.-L. Reymond Group Cheminformatics Site, 2007. http:// www.dcb.unibe.ch/groups/reymond/cheminf/index.html (accessed Dec 7, 2007).
- (33) Xue, L.; Bajorath, J. Distribution of Molecular Scaffolds and R-Groups Isolated from Large Compound Databases. J. Mol. Model. 1999, 5, 97-102.

- (34) Lewell, X. Q.; Jones, A. C.; Bruce, C. L.; Harper, G.; Jones, M. M.; 860 Mclay, I. M.; Bradshaw, J. Drug Rings Database with Web Interface. 861 A Tool for Identifying Alternative Chemical Rings in Lead Discovery 862 Programs. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 3257-3274. 863
- (35) Koch, M. A.; Schuffenhauer, A.; Scheck, M.; Wetzel, S.; Casaulta, 864 M.; Odermatt, A.; Ertl, P.; Waldmann, H. Charting biologically relevant chemical space: A structural classification of natural products (SCONP). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2005, 102, 17272-17277.
- (36) Bone, R. G. A.; Villar, H. O. Exhaustive Enumeration of Molecular Substructures. J. Comput. Chem. 1997, 18, 86-107.
- (37) Feher, M.; Schmidt, J. M. Property Distributions: Differences Between 870 Drugs, Natural Products, and Molecules from Combinatorial Chem-871 istry. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 2003, 43, 218-227.
- (38) Walba, D. M. Topological Stereochemistry. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 3161-3212
- (39) 1. Effexor XR, 2. Celebrex, 3. Nexium, 4. Neurontin, 5. Viagra, 6. 875 Cozaar, 7. clemizole, 8. Zyrtec, 9. Lipitor, 10. Imitrex, 11. Trizivir, 13. Evista, 14. Fosamax, 18. Trileptal, 23. Zyprexa, 26. Flonase, 37. Nasonex, 46. flumequine, 48. Nasacort AQ, 57. Paraplatin, 58. 878 Eloxatin, 114. clovene, 122. Agrostophyllin.
- (40) Bemis, G. W.; Murcko, M. A. The Properties of Known Drugs. 1. Molecular Frameworks. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 2887-2893.
- (41) McKay, B. D. nauty User's Guide, version 2.4; Australian National University: Canberra, Australia, 2007.
- (42) Lipkus, A. H. Exploring Chemical Rings in a Simple Topological-Descriptor Space. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 2001, 41, 430-438.
- (43) Molecular Scaffold Topology Server. http://topology.health.unm.edu/ (accessed May 2008).
- (44) These analyses were performed on unique parent compound entries 888 extracted from chemical databases, in which all salts were removed 889 and then nonunique entries eliminated. There will actually be more 890 entries in these databases for any given topology, generally, than the 891 numbers reported here, but if only unique SMILES are considered, 892 then these numbers should be identical. 893

CI700342H