Chapter 21 - Comparing Two Means

- 21.1 This is a matched-pairs design. Each plot is a matched pair.
- 21.2 This involves two independent samples.
- 21.3 This involves a single sample.
- 21.4 This involves two independent samples (because the results for the new battery are independent of the results for the prototype battery).
- **21.6** STATE: Does the average time lying down differ between obese people and lean people? PLAN: We test $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$ versus $H_a: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$, where μ_1 is the mean time spent lying down for the lean group, and μ_2 is the mean time for the obese group. SOLVE: We assume that the data come from SRSs of the two populations. See Example 21.2 for a discussion of conditions for inference applied to this problem. The stemplots do not indicate non-Normal data. We proceed with the t test for two samples. With $\overline{\chi}_1 = 501.6461$, $\overline{\chi}_2 = 491.7426$, $s_1 =$

Lean		Obese
9	3	
	4	1
	4	
5	4	44
5 6	4	6
8	4	
10	5	011
33	5	23
5 6	5 5 5 5	
6	5	6

52.0449,
$$s_2 = 46.5932$$
, $n_1 = 10$, and $n_2 = 10$: SE = $\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}} = 22.0898$ and $t = \frac{\overline{x_1} - \overline{x_2}}{\text{SE}} = \frac{10}{100}$

0.448. Using df as the smaller of 10–1 and 10–1, we have df = 9, and P > 0.50. Using software, df = 17.8 and P = 0.6593. CONCLUDE: There is no evidence to support a conclusion that lean people spend a different amount of time lying down (on average) than obese people.

21.13 Here are the details of the calculations:

$$SE_{Alone} = \frac{0.68}{\sqrt{37}} = 0.1118$$

$$SE_{Friends} = \frac{0.83}{\sqrt{21}} = 0.1811$$

$$SE = \sqrt{SE_{Alone}^2 + SE_{Friends}^2} = 0.21283$$

$$df = \frac{SE^4}{\frac{1}{36} \left(\frac{0.68^2}{37}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{20} \left(\frac{0.83^2}{21}\right)^2} = \frac{0.00205}{0.00005815} = 35.284$$

$$t = \frac{0.29 - (-0.19)}{0.21283} = 2.255$$

21.14 Let μ_1 denote the mean for men and μ_2 denote the mean for women. According to the output, $\overline{x}_1 = -19.50$, $\overline{x}_2 = -12.71$, $s_1 = 5.612$, and $s_2 = 5.589$. With $n_1 = 6$ and $n_2 = 7$:

$$t = \frac{\overline{x_1} - \overline{x_2}}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}} = \frac{-19.50 - (-12.71)}{\sqrt{\frac{5.612^2}{6} + \frac{5.589^2}{7}}} = -2.179.$$

Also,

$$df = \frac{\left(\frac{5.612^2}{6} + \frac{5.589^2}{7}\right)^2}{\frac{1}{6-1}\left(\frac{5.612^2}{6}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{7-1}\left(\frac{5.589^2}{7}\right)^2} = 10.68, \text{ rounded to two places.}$$

21.15 Reading from the software output shown in the statement of Exercise 21.13, we find that there is a significant difference in mean perceived formidability for men alone and with friends (t = 2.255, df = 35.3, P < 0.02). Because larger scores indicate greater perceived formidability, it appears that foes appear more formidable when alone as opposed to when with friends.

21.18 (c) a one-sample *t* interval. There is one sample, and only one score comes from each member of the sample.

21.19 (a) a two-sample *t* test. We have two independent populations: females and males.

21.20 (b) a matched-pairs t test. Two measurements (one for each device) are being taken on each person.

21.21 (b) Confidence levels and *P*-values from the *t* procedures are quite accurate even if the population distributions are not exactly Normal.

21.22 (c) 20. Here, df is the lesser of (21 - 1) and (21 - 1).

21.23 (b)
$$\frac{15.84 - 9.64}{\sqrt{\frac{8.65^2}{21} + \frac{3.43^2}{21}}} = 3.05$$

21.27 (a) To test the belief that women talk more than men, we use a one-sided alternative. $H_0: \mu_F = \mu_M$ versus $H_a: \mu_F > \mu_M$. **(b)-(d)** The small table below provides a summary of t statistics, degrees of freedom, and P-values for both studies. The two sample t statistic is computed as $t = \frac{\overline{x_F} - \overline{x_M}}{\sqrt{\frac{s_F^2}{n_F} + \frac{s_M^2}{n_M}}}$, and we take the

conservative approach for computing df as the smaller sample size, minus 1.

Study	t	df	Table C values	P-value
1	-0.248	55	t < 0.679	P > 0.25
2	1.507	19	1.328 < t < 1.729	0.05 < P < 0.10

Note that for Study 1 we reference df = 50 in Table C. **(e)** The first study gives no support to the belief that women talk more than men; the second study gives weak support, significant only at a relatively high significance level (say α = 0.10).

21.29 (a) Call group 1 the Stress group, and group 2 the No stress group. Then, because SEM = s/\sqrt{n} , we have $s = \text{SEM}\sqrt{n}$. $s_1 = 3\sqrt{20} = 13.416$ and $s_2 = 2\sqrt{51} = 14.283$. **(b)** Using conservative Option 2, df = 19 (the lesser of 20 – 1 and 51 – 1). **(c)**

We test
$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$$
 versus $H_a: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$. With $n_1 = 20$ and $n_2 = 51$, SE = $\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}} = \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}$

3.605, and
$$t = \frac{\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2}{SE} = \frac{26 - 32}{3.605} = -1.664$$
. With df = 19, using Table C, 0.10 < P < 0.20.

There is little evidence in support of a conclusion that mean weights of rats in stressful environments differ from those of rats without stress.