

The totally ramified direction (as a geometric direction)

Here I am using that  $p$  does not ramify in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p^n)$

Let  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$

Let  $K_n = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^{n+1}})$ ,  $U_n = \text{units in } \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_{p^{n+1}}] = \mathcal{O}_{K_n}$ ,  $U_n^1 = \{u \in U_n \mid u \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi_n}\}$

$\pi_n = \zeta_{p^{n+1}} - 1$ ,  $\zeta_{p^{n+1}}^p = \zeta_{p^n}$ ,  $N_{n,n-1} = K_n \rightarrow K_{n-1}$  the norm. Then  $(\pi_n) = \max_{K_n} \mathcal{O}_{K_n}$

$G(K_n/K_0) = \{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z})^\times \mid a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}$ ,  $G(K_n/K_{n-1}) = \{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z})^\times \mid a \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}\}$

$\{\text{conjugates of } \zeta_{p^{n+1}} \text{ in } K_n/K_{n-1}\} = \{\zeta_{p^{n+1}}^a \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\} = \{\zeta_{p^{n+1}} \mid \zeta_{p^{n+1}}^p = 1\}$

For  $f \in \Lambda$ ,  $N_{n,n-1} f(\pi_n) = \prod_{\zeta^p=1} f(\zeta \zeta_{p^{n+1}}^{-1}) = \prod_{\zeta^p=1} f(\zeta(\pi_n+1)-1)$ ; so  $N_{n,n-1} \zeta_{p^{n+1}} = \zeta_{p^n}$

①  $U = \lim_{\leftarrow} (U_0^1 \xleftarrow{N_{10}} U_1^1 \xleftarrow{N_{21}} U_2^1 \xleftarrow{N_{32}} U_3^1 \xleftarrow{\dots}) \ni u = (u_n)$

②  $\tilde{\Lambda} = \{f \in \Lambda^\times \mid f(0) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, f((1+T)^{p-1}) = \prod_{\zeta^p=1} f(\zeta(1+T)-1)\} < \Lambda^\times$

③ (Kummer-coates-Wiles-Coleman)  $\exists!$  group iso  $U \cong \tilde{\Lambda}$ ,  $u \mapsto f_u$  s.t.  $f_u(\pi_n) = u_n, n \geq 0$ .

Pf. Define homo  $\tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow U, f \mapsto (f(\pi_n))$

1) Well defined b/c  $1 \equiv f(0) \equiv f(\pi_0)$  so  $f(\pi_0) \in U_0^1$  &

$N_{n,n-1} f(\pi_n) = \prod_{\zeta^p=1} f(\zeta(\pi_n+1)-1) = f(\underbrace{(1+\pi_n)^{p-1}}_{\zeta_{p^{n+1}}}) = f(\zeta_{p^{n+1}}^{-1}) = f(\pi_{n-1})$ .

2) Inj b/c of ② Any  $f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] \setminus 0$  has only fin many roots in  $\{x \in \mathbb{C}_p \mid |x| < 1\}$ .

(This is a corollary of "pwei prep th": any  $f \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$  is  $f = p^v \cdot \text{pol} \cdot \text{unit}$ )

3) Surj (This is tricky & skip)

Then let  $u \mapsto f_u$  be the inverse of  $\tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow U$  □

④ (Kummer-coates-Wiles) For  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\delta_k: U \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, \delta_k(u) := \left( D \log f_u(T) \right) \Big|_{T=0}$ .  
(where  $D = (1+T) \frac{d}{dT}$ )

⑤  $U$  is a  $\Lambda$ -module as follows: Let  $\Gamma_n = G(K_n/K_0), \Gamma = \lim_{\leftarrow} \Gamma_n, \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]] = \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_n]]$   
Then ③ (see my notes on measth)  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] = \Lambda$  via  $\gamma^{-1} \leftarrow T$  where  $\gamma = (\gamma_n)$   
 $\langle \gamma_n \rangle = \Gamma_n$ . But  $U$  is clearly a  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$ -module. [so mod str depon  $\gamma!$ ]

⑥ (see Washington p 312) Let  $\gamma$  be as in ⑤ viewed as an elt of  $1+p\mathbb{Z}_p$  (ie as a Gal elt does  $\zeta_{p^n} \mapsto \zeta_{p^n}^\gamma$ ). Let  $h(T) \in \Lambda, u \in U$ . Then  $\delta_k(h(T)u) = h(\gamma^k - 1) \delta_k(u)$