From Microscopic Klimontovich-Maxwell (KM) to Macroscopic Vlasov-Maxwell (VM)¹ Relativistic N-particle electron bunches in modern particle accelerator systems, N large.

Jim Ellison and Klaus Heinemann

In collaboration with Gabriele Bassi

Brookhaven National Laboratory

October 20, 2014 Applied Math Seminar Presentation

B b

1/20

¹Work supported by DOE:DE -FG02-99ER41104

Abstract-1

We consider an N-particle electron bunch moving, at nearly the speed of light, through a particle accelerator system inside a vacuum chamber. Typically, N is of an order greater than pprox 10⁹ and the bunch is small relative to the vacuum chamber cross-section. We model the evolution of the bunch by a random initial boundary value problem (IBVP) with random, independent identically distributed (IID) initial conditions with a given density* and where the electron evolution is given in terms of the Lorentz force and the associated microscopic Maxwell fields. The electron phase space density is Klimontovich, i.e., a sum of delta functions. Taking expected value of the associated Klimontovich evolution equation (with respect to the random initial conditions) and making reasonable assumptions, we obtain the Vlasov equation with a correction term, for the expected value of the Klimontovich density, coupled to the macroscopic Maxwell equations. With this framework we then pose the important mathematical issues: (1) How well does the Vlasov density approximate a coarse-grained Klimontovich density when N is large? We imagine that the vast literature on probabilistic limit theorems will be relevant here, e.g. the Strong-Law of-Large-Numbers (SLLN), (2) The Vlasov equation without correction terms is the starting point for many beam dynamics calculations so it is important to estimate the size of the correction term (surely related to the correction term in the BBGKY hierarchy). In addition the correction term may shed light on FEL dynamics. These mathematical issues are likely difficult analysis issues. We begin the talk with the much simpler non-collective case, assuming the electrons do not radiate and thus ignoring the Maxwell self-fields, in order to set the stage for the more complex KM \rightarrow VM case.

Abstract-2

*In a physical context the *N* initial conditions are impossible to know. One view is to think of them as a set of scattered data from which a density can be constructed using e.g., a density estimation procedure from Mathematical Statistics. The IID random ICs are then given in terms of such a density. In our work here we simply consider the initial density as given.

$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Outline} \\ \textbf{Micro Klimontovich-Maxwell (KM)} \rightarrow \textbf{Macro Vlasov-Maxwell (VM)} \end{array}$

- 6N-Dimensional KM as random IBVP (ICs from scattered data (SD)) and associated 6D VM "standard" IBVP
- Simple case: Assume electrons don't radiate, thus ignore Maxwell self-fields and thus electrons don't interact
 - Random Klimontovich density with 6N parameters \rightarrow 6D-Liouville density (coarse grained) approximation
- Sormulate KM to eliminate a singularity
- Oerive evolution law for Klimontovich density in KM system
- Oerive evolution law for expected value of random KM system. This leads to the VM system with a correction term (CT).
- Open mathematical issues
 - VM with CT as a coarse-grained approximation to KM. Relevance of probabilistic limit theorems?
 - Estimates of CT. The CT must be related to the CT in the BBGKY hierarchy and may be relevant to FEL dynamics

Dynamics for Relativistic Electron Bunches

Goal today: Relate microscopic Klimontovich-Maxwell (KM) to macroscopic

First: Microscopic N-particle Klimontovich-Maxwell (KM) The coupled KM system for i = 1, ..., N is:

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{i} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{i}), \quad \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{i} = q [\mathbf{E}_{T}(\mathbf{R}_{i}, t) + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{i}) \times \mathbf{B}_{T}(\mathbf{R}_{i}, t)],$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{i}(0), \mathbf{P}_{i}(0) \text{ given}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{T} = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}_{ext}, \quad \mathbf{B}_{T} = \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}_{ext}, \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{P}/m\gamma(\mathbf{P})$$

$$\partial_{t}\mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \quad \partial_{t}\mathbf{E} = c^{2}\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - cZ_{0}\mathbf{J}^{K}(\mathbf{R}, t),$$

$$\mathbf{J}^{K}(\mathbf{R}, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} q\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{n}(t))\delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_{n}(t))$$

$$\mathbf{v} \text{ interest: 6D Klimontovich phase space density}$$

Primary interest: 6D Klimontovich phase space density

$$f^{\kappa}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P},t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_{n}(t)) \delta(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_{n}(t))$$

ODEs do not make sense since fields are infinite at particles, we revise later

Dynamics for Relativistic Electron Bunches - II Goal today: Relate microscopic Klimontovich-Maxwell (KM) to macroscopic Vlasov-Maxwell (VM)

Second: Macroscopic Vlasov-Maxwell (VM) The coupled VM system for $f^{V}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t), \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{R}, t)), \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}, t)$ is

$$\{\partial_t + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} + q[\mathbf{E}_T(\mathbf{R}, t) + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) \times (\mathbf{B}_T(\mathbf{R}, t)] \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{P}}\} f^V = 0$$

$$f^V(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, 0) \text{ smooth}$$

$$\mathbf{E}_T = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}_{ext}, \quad \mathbf{B}_T = \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}_{ext}, \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{P}/m\gamma(\mathbf{P})$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \quad \partial_t \mathbf{E} = c^2 \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - cZ_0 \mathbf{J}^V(\mathbf{R}, t)$$

$$\mathbf{J}^V(\mathbf{R}, t) = Nq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{P} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) f^V(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t),$$

Goal: Relate the Klimontovich and Vlasov phase space densities

Note:
$$\int_A f^{K,V} =$$
 fraction of electron bunch in A

Non-collective case- I Newton \rightarrow Einstein

Remark on Newton vs. Einstein

$$\mathbf{F} = m\mathbf{a} = rac{d}{dt}m\mathbf{v}
ightarrow \mathbf{F} = rac{d}{dt}m\gamma\mathbf{v}, \ \gamma = (1 - rac{v^2}{c^2})^{-1/2}, ext{thus } |\mathbf{v}| < c$$

Consider single particle dynamics where self fields E, B are zero Uncoupled EOM for the *N*-electrons are

$$\begin{split} \dot{\mathbf{R}}_{i} &= \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{i}), \\ \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{i} &= q \big[\mathbf{E}_{ext}(\mathbf{R}_{i}, t) + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{i}) \times \mathbf{B}_{ext}(\mathbf{R}_{i}, t) \big] =: \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{R}_{i}, \mathbf{P}_{i}, t), \\ \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) &= \mathbf{P}/m\gamma(\mathbf{P}), \ \gamma^{2} = 1 + \frac{\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P}}{m^{2}c^{2}} \end{split}$$

 $\mathbf{F} = q(\mathbf{E}_{ext} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}_{ext})$ is called the Lorentz force More compactly we write

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}}_i = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{u}_i, t), \ \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{u}, t) = 0, \ \mathbf{u}_i = (\mathbf{R}_i, \mathbf{P}_i)^T, \ \mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{F})^T$$

Non-collective case II General Solution

Consider the IVP with general solution φ ,

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{u}, t), \ \mathbf{u}(t_0) = \mathbf{u}_0,$$

 $\mathbf{u}(t) = \varphi(t, t_0, \mathbf{u}_0), \ \varphi(t_0, t_0, \mathbf{u}_0) = \mathbf{u}_0$

- $I Divergence free \implies measure preserving flow.$
- ² The system can be transformed into a Hamiltonian system.
- **③** General Solution satisfies (No-Name in standard ODE books)

$$\varphi(t_2, t_0, \mathbf{u}_0) = \varphi(t_2, t_1, \varphi(t_1, t_0, \mathbf{u}_0))$$

It is a Markov Property: Future depends on the past only through the present. Some call it a co-cycle condition.

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─ 臣

Non-collective case III Klimontovich Density

Main quantity: N-particle phase space (Klimontovich) density

$$\psi_N(\mathbf{u},t) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{u} - \varphi(t,t_0,\mathbf{u}_{0n})),$$

Differentiating gives

$$\partial_t \psi_N(\mathbf{u}, t) := -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N D\delta(\mathbf{u} - \varphi(t, t_0, \mathbf{u}_{0n})) \mathbf{G}(\varphi(t, t_0, \mathbf{u}_{0n}), t),$$
$$= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N D\delta(\mathbf{u} - \varphi(t, t_0, \mathbf{u}_{0n})) \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{u}, t)$$

Thus ψ_N is the unique solution of the IVP

$$\partial_t \psi_N + \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{u}, t) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \psi_N = 0,$$

$$\psi_N(\mathbf{u}, t_0) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_{0n}),$$

Non-collective case IIIa Klimontovich Density

Physical issue on ICs: How to view the ICs? My current view: $\{\mathbf{u}_{0n}\}_{1}^{N}$ is a given set of "scattered data" from which a density can be constructed via density estimation from Statistics. I then consider the Random IVP where the $\{\mathbf{u}_{0n}\}_{1}^{N}$ are replaced by IID RVs $\{\mathbf{U}_{n}\}_{1}^{N}$ from the constructed density. But, is this the right physics?

Let $\{\mathbf{U}_n\}_1^N$ be a sequence of IID random vectors with density $\psi_0(\mathbf{u})$, i.e., $Prob(\mathbf{U}_n \in A) = \int_A \psi_0(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u}$ then

$$E\psi_N(\mathbf{u},t;\mathbf{U}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{U}_N)=\psi_0(\varphi(t_0,t,\mathbf{u})).$$

SLLN Conjecture: $\psi_N(\mathbf{u}, t) \rightarrow \psi_0(\varphi(t_0, t, \mathbf{u}))$ a.s. in coarse grain sense, i.e. $\int_A d\mathbf{u}\psi_N(\mathbf{u}, t) \rightarrow \int_A d\mathbf{u}\psi_0(\varphi(t_0, t, \mathbf{u}))$ for rich class of sets A

Fact: $\psi(\mathbf{u}, t) = \psi_0(\varphi(t_0, t, \mathbf{u}))$ is the unique solution of the IVP for the 6*D* Liouville equation:

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\psi &= \partial_t\psi + \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{u},t)\cdot
abla_\mathbf{u}\psi = 0, \ \psi(\mathbf{u},t_0) &= \psi_0(\mathbf{u}). \end{aligned}$$

The microscopic evolution of the *N*-particle electron bunch is governed by the Klimontovich IVP

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\psi &= \partial_t \psi_N + \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{u},t) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \psi_N = \mathbf{0}, \\ \psi_N(\mathbf{u},t_0) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{U}_n), \end{aligned}$$

The macroscopic evolution of the (smooth) electron bunch is governed by Liouville IVP

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}\psi^L &= \partial_t \psi^L + \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{u},t) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \psi^L = \mathbf{0}, \\ \psi(\mathbf{u},t_0) &= \psi_0(\mathbf{u}). \end{split}$$

Remark: This is a **Huge** simplification (going from 6N to 6 dimensions) assuming the coarse graining and Strong-Law-of-Large-Numbers (SLLN) can be justified.

Fermi@Elettra First Bunch Compressor System

In a typical situation $\mathbf{E}_{ext} = 0$ and $\mathbf{B}_{ext} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R})$ is determined by dipole and quadrupole magnets.



Proposed layout of FERMI@Elettra first bunch compressor system. Accelerating rf cavities in red, quadrupole magnets in blue, drift sections in black and dipoles in green.

< 4 ₽ > < 3 >

13/20

Relativistic Electron Bunches with Self Fields Back to beginning

The coupled macro VM system for $f^{V}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t), \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{R}, t), \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}, t)$ is $\{\partial_t + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} + q[\mathbf{E}_T(\mathbf{R}, t) + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) \times (\mathbf{B}_T(\mathbf{R}, t)] \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{P}}\}f^V = 0$ $\mathbf{E}_{\tau} = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}_{\text{evt.}} \quad \mathbf{B}_{\tau} = \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}_{\text{evt.}}$ $\partial_t \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \ \partial_t \mathbf{E} = c^2 \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - c Z_0 \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{R}, t)$ $\mathbf{J}^{V}(\mathbf{R},t) = Q \int_{\mathbb{T}^{3}} d\mathbf{P} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) f^{V}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P},t), \quad f^{V}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P},0) \text{ smooth}$

The coupled micro KM system for $f^{K}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t), \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{R}, t)), \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}, t)$ is

$$f^{K}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_{n}(t)) \delta(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_{n}(t))$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{i} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{i}), \quad \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{i} = q \left[\mathbf{E}_{T}(\mathbf{R}_{i}, t) + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{i}) \times \mathbf{B}_{T}(\mathbf{R}_{i}, t) \right],$$

$$\partial_{t} \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \quad \partial_{t} \mathbf{E} = c^{2} \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - cZ_{0} \mathbf{J}^{K}(\mathbf{R}, t),$$

$$\mathbf{J}^{K}(\mathbf{R}, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} q \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{n}(t)) \delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_{n}(t)), \quad \mathbf{R}_{i}(0), \mathbf{P}_{i}(0) \text{ Random IID}_{i} \mathbf{R}_{i}(0), \mathbf{P}_{i}(0), \mathbf{R}_{i} \mathbf{R}_{i}(0), \mathbf{P}_{i}(0), \mathbf{R}_{i}(0), \mathbf{R}_{i} \mathbf{R}_{i}(0), \mathbf{R}_{i}(0),$$

Modified Approach Removing infinities due to Lienard-Wiechart fields

But the KM system has singularities. To remove the infinities we rewrite as follows for i = 1, ..., N:

$$f^{K}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_{n}(t)) \delta(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_{n}(t))$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{i} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{i}) \ \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{i} = q[\mathbf{E}_{Ti}(\mathbf{R}_{i}, t) + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{i}) \times \mathbf{B}_{Ti}(\mathbf{R}_{i}, t)] =: \mathbf{F}_{i}(\mathbf{R}_{i}, \mathbf{P}_{i}, t)$$

$$\partial_{t}\mathbf{B}_{i} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{i}, \ \partial_{t}\mathbf{E}_{i} = c^{2}\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_{i} - cZ_{0}\mathbf{J}_{i}^{K}(\mathbf{R}, t),$$

$$\mathbf{J}_{i}^{K}(\mathbf{R}, t) = \sum_{n=1 \atop n \neq i}^{N} q\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{n}(t))\delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_{n}(t)), \ (\mathbf{R}_{i}(0), \mathbf{P}_{i}(0)) \text{ Random ICs}$$

Note: $\mathbf{E}_i(\mathbf{R}_i, t)$ is not singular even though $\mathbf{E}_i(\mathbf{R}_n, t)$ is for $n \neq i$ (same for \mathbf{B}_i). Thus the ODEs are well defined, assuming no two particles occupy the same position.

Random Klimontovich Density and its Time Derivative

$$f^{\kappa}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P},t) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}_{n}(t))\delta(\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{P}_{n}(t))$$

We emphasize that f^{K} is random through the electron ICs, i.e.,

$$f^{K}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t) = f^{K}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t; \Theta) \text{ where}$$

$$\Theta = (\mathbf{R}_{1}(0), \mathbf{P}_{1}(0), \dots, \mathbf{R}_{N}(0), \mathbf{P}_{N}(0))$$

Taking Expected Value with Respect to ICs - 1

Taking expected value we obtain

$$\partial_t \bar{f}^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t) = -D_{\mathbf{R}} \bar{f}^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P})$$
$$-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \overline{\delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_n(t))} D\delta(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_n(t))} \quad \overline{\mathbf{F}_n(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t)}$$
+Correction Term.

Here Correction Term =
$$-\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\overline{a_nb_n} - \overline{a_nb_n}$$

Thus we need to analyze

$$\overline{\mathbf{F}_n(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P},t)} = q[\overline{\mathbf{E}_{Tn}(\mathbf{R},t)} + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) \times \overline{\mathbf{B}_{Tn}(\mathbf{R},t)}].$$

Note: Bar and overline denote expected value with respect to the ICs. The traditional use of E is cumbersome and conflicts with electric field.

17/20

Taking Expected Value with Respect to ICs - 2 Analysis of $\overline{F_n(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t)}$

Expected value of the microscopic Maxwell equations yields

$$\partial_t \bar{\mathbf{B}}_i = -\nabla imes \bar{\mathbf{E}}_i, \ \partial_t \bar{\mathbf{E}}_i = c^2 \nabla imes \bar{\mathbf{B}}_i - c Z_0 \overline{\mathbf{J}_i^K(\mathbf{R},t)},$$

Now

$$\mathbf{J}^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{R},t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} q \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{n}(t)\delta(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}_{n}(t))) = Nq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} d\mathbf{P} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P})f^{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P},t)$$

and it follows that

$$\overline{\mathbf{J}_{i}^{K}(\mathbf{R},t)} = \overline{\mathbf{J}^{K}(\mathbf{R},t)} - q\overline{\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{i}(t))\delta(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}_{i}(t))} \approx \overline{\mathbf{J}^{K}(\mathbf{R},t)}$$

for large *N*, and we obtain $\mathbf{\bar{B}}_i \approx \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{\bar{E}}_i \approx \mathbf{E}$ where

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \quad \partial_t \mathbf{E} = c^2 \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - cZ_0 \overline{\mathbf{J}^K(\mathbf{R}, t)}$$
$$\overline{\mathbf{J}^K(\mathbf{R}, t)} = qN \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{P} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) \overline{f}^K(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t)$$

Taking Expected Value with Respect to ICs - 3 Analysis of $\overline{F_n(R, P, t)}$ continued

Thus

Recalling

$$\overline{\mathbf{F}_{n}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P},t)} = q[\overline{\mathbf{E}_{Tn}(\mathbf{R},t)} + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) \times \overline{\mathbf{B}_{Tn}(\mathbf{R},t)}]$$

$$\approx q[\overline{\mathbf{E}_{T}(\mathbf{R},t)} + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) \times \overline{\mathbf{B}_{T}(\mathbf{R},t)}] =: \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P},t)$$

$$\partial_{t}\bar{f}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P},t) = -D_{\mathbf{R}}\bar{f}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P},t)\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P})$$

$$-\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \overline{\delta(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}_{n}(t))D\delta(\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{P}_{n}(t))} \quad \overline{\mathbf{F}_{n}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P},t)}$$

$$+\text{Correction Term} \equiv \text{CT}.$$

we obtain the approximation

 $\partial_t \bar{f}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t) = -D_{\mathbf{R}} \bar{f}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) - D_{\mathbf{P}} \bar{f}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t) \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t)) + CT$ which can be rewritten

$$[\partial_t + \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{P}} \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P},t)]\overline{f}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P},t) = \mathsf{CT}$$

For CT= 0 We Have The Vlasov Equation

Summary and Mathematical issues Approximation via probabilistic limit theorems? When is CT small?

- We have formulated the N-particle electron dynamics with self-fields in term of the N-particle KM random IBVP. The randomness enters via density estimation from scattered data representing the initial electron positions.
- We have taken expected value of the microscopic KM IBVP and shown that it leads, in reasonable approximation, to the macroscopic VM +CT. Is CT related to FEL dynamics?
- Open mathematical issues
 - How well does VM with CT approximate the coarse-grained KM? Relevance of vast literature on probabilistic limit theorems? A generalized SLLN? Recall the simple non-collective case where this is likely straight forward.
 - Good estimates of CT are likely difficult. E.g., the CT must be related to the CT in the BBGKY hierarchy. Note there is no CT in the non-collective case going from Klimontovich to Liouville.