# Microscopic Klimontovich-Maxwell (KM) to Macroscopic Vlasov-Maxwell (VM)<sup>1</sup>

Kinetic theory based on the random initial value problem and coarse graining

Jim Ellison Department of Math&Stat, UNM In collaboration with Gabriele Bassi BNL and Klaus Heinemann UNM

> December 5, 2016 Applied Math Seminar Presentation

 $$^1{\rm Partial}$  support from DOE:DE -FG02-99ER41104 AMa Talk 12/5/2016 IPAM beam dynamics workshop draft



• How well does the coarse grained mean of KD approximate the

• Kinetic theory: Find a good approximate evolution law for the

AMa Talk 12/5/2016 IPAM beam dynamics workshop draft

Main two questions

the coarse grained KD?

mean of the KD



# 6D microscopic Klimontovich-Maxwell (KM) System Goal today: Framework for $KM \rightarrow VM$

First: Microscopic *N*-particle Klimontovich-Maxwell (KM) The coupled KM system for i = 1, ..., N is <sup>2</sup>

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}}_{i} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{i}), \quad \dot{\mathbf{P}}_{i} = q \big[ \mathbf{E}_{T}(\mathbf{R}_{i}, t) + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{i}) \times \mathbf{B}_{T}(\mathbf{R}_{i}, t) \big], \\ W_{0i} = (\mathbf{R}_{i}(0), \mathbf{P}_{i}(0)) \text{ as IID random vectors} \\ \mathbf{E}_{T} = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}_{ext}, \quad \mathbf{B}_{T} = \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}_{ext}, \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{P}/m\gamma(\mathbf{P}) \\ \partial_{t}\mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \quad \partial_{t}\mathbf{E} = c^{2}\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - cZ_{0}\mathbf{J}^{K}(\mathbf{R}, t; W_{0}), \\ \mathbf{J}^{K}(\mathbf{R}, t; W_{0}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} q\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}_{n}(t; W_{0}))\delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_{n}(t; W_{0}))$$

Primary interest: Random 6D Klimontovich phase space density

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P},t;W_0) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}_n(t;W_0))\delta(\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{P}_n(t;W_0))$$

## 6D macroscopic Vlasov-Maxwell system Goal today: Framework for KM $\rightarrow$ VM

Second: Macroscopic Vlasov-Maxwell (VM) The coupled VM system for  $f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t), \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{R}, t)), \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}, t)$  is

$$\{\partial_t + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} + q[\mathbf{E}_T(\mathbf{R}, t) + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) \times (\mathbf{B}_T(\mathbf{R}, t)] \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{P}}\} f = 0$$
  
  $f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, 0) = f_0(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}) \text{ smooth}$   
  $\mathbf{E}_T = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}_{ext}, \ \mathbf{B}_T = \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}_{ext}, \ \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{P}/m\gamma(\mathbf{P})$   
  $\partial_t \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \ \partial_t \mathbf{E} = c^2 \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - cZ_0 \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{R}, t)$   
  $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{R}, t) = Nq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{P} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{P}) f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{P}, t),$ 

Goal: Relate Klimontovich and Vlasov phase space densities, K, f

Let  $\overline{K}$  be expected value of K, and  $K_A(t; W_0) = \int_A dv K(v, t; W_0)$ Issue 1: How close are  $K_A$  and  $\overline{K}_A$  for large N? Issue 2: How close are  $\overline{K}_A$  and f for large N?

AMa Talk 12/5/2016 IPAM beam dynamics workshop draft

4/18

# General Framework- I Particle motion and probability distribution

#### **1** N electron evolution in *d*-dimensional phase space

 $w_{i}(t; W_{0}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}; i = 1, N; t \geq 0; w_{i}(0; W_{0}) = W_{0i},$  $W_{0} = (W_{01}, \cdots, W_{0iN})^{T}, \{W_{0i}\} \text{ IID RVs with PDF } \psi_{0}$  $W(t; W_{0}) = [w_{1}(t; W_{0}), \cdots, w_{N}(t; W_{0})]^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{Nd}$ 

Ψ(w, t) denotes joint PDF of the w<sub>i</sub>(t, W<sub>0</sub>). It is assumed to have permutation symmetry (PS), i.e.,
 Ψ(···, w<sub>i</sub>, ···, w<sub>j</sub>, ···, t) = Ψ(···, w<sub>j</sub>, ···, w<sub>i</sub>, ···, t) ⇒ marginal PDFs, Ψ<sub>s</sub>(w<sub>i1</sub>, ···, w<sub>is</sub>, t), of any s-particles are equal, thus Ψ<sub>s</sub> given by integrating out any N - s variables from Ψ.

## General Framework- II The random Klimontovich density (KD)

Random Klimontovich density (KD)

$$K(v, t; W_0) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1}^{N} \delta(v - w_i(t; W_0)),$$
$$K_A(t, W_0) = \int_A dv K(v, t; W_0) = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \# \text{ particles in } A$$

2 Moments of KRD in terms of PDF  $\Psi$ 

$$ar{K}(v,t) := \overline{K(v,t;W_0)} = \Psi_1(v,t)$$
  
 $ar{K}_A(t) := \overline{K_A(t;W_0)} = \int_A dv \Psi_1(v,t)$ 

Thus the expected value of K is the probability density of  $w_i(t; W_0)$  and the expected value of  $K_A$  is the probability that  $w_i(t; W_0) \in A$ 



applies in the IID case.

# General Framework- III

Basic Issues for KRD: coarse grained K and evolution law for K

- Issue 1 How close are spiky K and smooth  $\overline{K}$ ? <sup>3</sup> We compare  $K_A$  and  $\overline{K}_A$  and call  $K_A$  a coarse grained version of K. <sup>4</sup>
- Issue 2 Given an evolution law for K, what is the evolution law for  $\overline{K}$ ? Once an evolution law for the  $w_i$  is defined, an evolution law for K follows. We explore three examples with increasing complexity below. The last example raises the main issues of importance for the 6D KM system mentioned above which is our main interest.

 $<sup>{}^{3}</sup>K$  is an empirical density and  $\overline{K} = \Psi_{1}$  is a probability density, so we are comparing densities.



 $\frac{1}{5}$  Expected value is a probability in analogy with  $\bar{K}_A(t) = \int_A dv \Psi_1(v,t) = 0$ AMa Talk 12/5/2016 IPAM beam dynamics workshop draft 9/18



 $\operatorname{terms of } \Psi_1 \text{ and } \Psi_2$ AMa Talk 12/5/2016 IPAM beam dynamics workshop draft  $\operatorname{terms of } \Psi_1 \text{ and } \Psi_2$   $\operatorname{terms of } \Psi_1 \text{ and } \Psi_2$ 

## Example 1 (Non-interacting particles) - 1 Particle evolution law for the *w*<sub>i</sub>

The non-interacting particle motions  $w_i$  are defined by

 $\dot{w}_i = G(w_i, t), \quad w_i(0; W_0) = W_{0i}$  IID random ICs

The ODEs and ICs are uncoupled hence the  $w_i(t; W_0)$  are IID random vectors.

• Without self fields the 6D Klimontovich-Maxwell system reduces to these uncoupled EOM for the *N*-electrons.

#### Example 1 (Non-interacting particles) - 2 Probabilistic Limit Theorems and Issue 1

- The  $X_i(t) = 1_A(w_i(t; W_0))$  are IID Bernoulli RVs with  $p(t) = \int_A dv \Psi_1(v, t)$ , thus:
- LLNs:  $K_A(t; W_0) \rightarrow p(t)$  in probability, in  $L^2$  and a.s., as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .
- CLT:  $K_A(t, W_0) \approx p(t) + (\frac{p(t)-p(t)^2}{N})^{1/2}\chi$  in the sense of convergence in distribution.  $\chi$  is a normal (0, 1) random variable.
  - LD: Large Deviation bounds for  $\delta \in [0,1]^7$ :  $\Pr\{K_A(t; W_0) \ge (1+\delta)p(t)\} \le \exp(-N\delta^2 p(t)/3)$  and  $\Pr\{K_A(t; W_0) \le (1-\delta)p(t)\} \le \exp(-N\delta^2 p(t)/2)$ The bounds are small if  $N\delta^2$  is large.
- GC: Glivenko-Cantelli applies here

Thus we have a complete answer to Issue 1

# Example 1 (Non-interacting particles) - 3

Klimontovich density evolution law and Issue 2

The Klimontovich random density satisfies

$$\partial_t K + \nabla_v \cdot G(v, t) K = 0,$$
  
$$K(v, 0) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(v - W_{0i}),$$

and taking expected value we obtain

$$\partial_t \bar{K} + 
abla_v \cdot G(v, t) \bar{K} = 0,$$
  
 $\bar{K}(v, 0) = \frac{1}{N} \overline{\sum_{i=1}^N \delta(v - W_{0i})} = \psi_0(v)$ 

Thus the equations for K and  $\overline{K} \equiv \Psi_1$  are the same, the evolutions differ only because of the initial data. The equation for  $\overline{K}$  is called the Kinetic Equation.

Thus we have a complete answer to Issue 2.

We have not seen these results for single particle dynamics in accelerators.

#### Example 2 (Two particle interaction force) - 1 Particle evolution law and KD

The particle motions and associated KD are given by  $\dot{\theta}_i = \omega(\epsilon_i), \ \dot{\epsilon}_i = \sum_1^N F(\theta_i - \theta_j)$  $K(\theta, \epsilon, t; W_0) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_1^N \delta(\theta - \theta_i(t; W_0)) \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_i(t; W_0))$ 

As in the general case  $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Var} K_A(t; W_0) = \frac{1}{N} p(t) (1 - p(t)) + \frac{N(N-1)}{N^2} \operatorname{Cov} (X_1, X_2) \\ & \operatorname{Cov} (X_i X_j) = \int_{A \times A} d\theta d\epsilon d\theta' d\epsilon' \mathcal{C}(\theta, \epsilon, \theta', \epsilon', t) \text{ where} \\ & \mathcal{C}(\theta, \epsilon, \theta', \epsilon', t) = \Psi_2(v, v', t) - \Psi_1(v, t) \Psi_1(v', t)), v = (\theta, \epsilon) \end{aligned}$ 

Issue 1: If  $w_i(t; W_0)$  and  $w_j(t; W_0)$  are independent then C = 0and  $\overline{K}$  is a good approximation to K. Next step : study the N and t behavior of the covariance.

#### Example 2 (Two particle interaction force) - 2 Klimontovich evolution law and the associated mean

The Klimontovich density and its expected value satisfy

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{K}_t + \omega(\epsilon)\mathcal{K}_{\theta} + \mathcal{NL}(\mathcal{K})\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon} = 0 \\ & \bar{\mathcal{K}}_t + \omega(\epsilon)\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{\theta} + \mathcal{NL}(\bar{\mathcal{K}})\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{\epsilon} = -\mathcal{N}\overline{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon} - \mathcal{L}(\bar{\mathcal{K}})\bar{\mathcal{K}}_{\epsilon}} \text{ where} \\ & \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})(\theta) = \int d\theta' d\epsilon' \mathcal{K}(\theta', \epsilon'; W_0) \mathcal{F}(\theta - \theta') \end{split}$$

The equation for  $\overline{K}$  in terms of  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  is

$$egin{aligned} \Psi_{1t} + \omega(\epsilon)\Psi_{1 heta} + \mathcal{NL}(\Psi_1)\Psi_{1\epsilon} &= -\mathcal{N}\int d heta' d\epsilon' \mathcal{C}( heta,\epsilon, heta',\epsilon',t) + O(1) \ \mathcal{C}( heta,\epsilon, heta',\epsilon',t) &= \Psi_2( heta,\epsilon, heta',\epsilon',t) - \Psi_1( heta,\epsilon,t)\Psi_1( heta',\epsilon',t) \end{aligned}$$

Issue 2: Recall  $\Psi_2(v, v', t)$  is the joint probability density of  $w_i$  and  $w_i$ , so independence  $\Rightarrow \bar{K} = \Psi_1$  satisfies the so-called Vlasov equation. Note independence also  $\Rightarrow \bar{K} \approx K$ . However, this is not the case and so a study of  $\Psi_2(v, v', t)$  is the next step =  $\Im_{\mathbb{Q}}$ 

AMa Talk 12/5/2016 IPAM beam dynamics workshop draft

15/18

#### Example 3 (Simple relativistic KM system) - 1 Particle Field evolution law and integral over history

We consider particle motion coupled to a Maxwell field  $E^{8}$ :

$$q'_i = p_i, \ p'_i = -aE(q_i, z; W_0); \ q_i(0; W_0) = Q_{i0}, \ p_i(0; W_0) = P_{i0},$$
  
 $E_z + E_q = -b\sum_{1}^{N} \delta(q - q_i(z; W_0)); \ E(q, 0; W_0) = 0$ 

Using the method of characteristics the *E* field can be written  $E(q, t; W_0) = -b \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_0^z ds \delta(q + (s - z) - q_i(s; W_0)).$ 

The self-contained particle motion can be written  $q'_i(z) = p_i(z), \ p'_i(z) = ab \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^z ds \delta(q + (s - z) - q_i(s; W_0))$ This is a functional ODE system <sup>9</sup> containing an integral over history. This makes the study of  $\Psi_2$  problematic.

<sup>8</sup>Based on EOM in Kim, Lindberg paper in FEL2011 proceedings, Shanghai <sup>9</sup>See e.g., J. Hale's Theory of Functional Differential Equations: A B A C A AMa Talk 12/5/2016 IPAM beam dynamics workshop draft 16/18

# Example 3 (Simple relativistic KM system) - 2 Mean field evolution

The equivalent Klimontovich-Maxwell system becomes

$$K_{z} + pK_{q} - aNEK_{p} = 0; \quad K(q, p, 0; W_{0}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1}^{N} \delta(q - Q_{i0}) \delta(p - P_{i0})$$
$$E_{z} + E_{q} = -bN \int dpK(q, p, z; W_{0}); \quad E(q, 0; W_{0}) = 0$$

The mean field equations are

$$ar{K}_z + par{K}_q - aNar{E}ar{K}_p = a\partial_p Cov(E,K)$$
  
 $ar{E}_z + ar{E}_q = -bN\int dpar{K}(q,p,z)$ 

- Integral over history also a problem here.
- If Cov(E, F) is small then we have the macroscopic

# Example 3 (Simple relativistic KM system) - 3 Issues 1 and 2

- Issue 1 The situation is as in general case i.e.,  $\operatorname{Var} K_A(t; W_0) = \frac{1}{N} p(t)(1 - p(t)) + \frac{N(N-1)}{N^2} \operatorname{Cov} (X_1, X_2)$  and so  $\overline{K}$  is a good approximation to K for large N if  $\operatorname{Cov} (X_1, X_2)$ is small. A major complication over the previous example is that we do not have a clear picture of  $\Psi_2$ .
- Issue 2 The work here is to estimate Cov(E, K). This also appears to be major complication over the previous example in that we do not have a clear picture of  $\Psi_2$ . The BBGKY hierarchy works in Example 1 and 2, but is problematic here, as evidenced by the integral over history issue.

General Summary: This example contains the major issues for the full 6D Microscopic Klimontovich-Maxwell (KM) to Macroscopic Vlasov-Maxwell (VM) problem. So our next step is continued study of Example 3.