

Ex 14.3

a) $[1, 3)$

Find open cover that has no finite subcover

$$A_n = (0, 3 - \frac{1}{n}) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

We have that $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an open cover of $[1, 3)$ and it has no finite subcover. In fact:

$$[1, 3) \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

Now let $\mathcal{G} = \{A_{n_1}, \dots, A_{n_k}\}$ be a finite subfamily of \mathcal{J} ($\mathcal{J} = \{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$) and if $m = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ then

$$A_{n_1} \cup \dots \cup A_{n_k} = A_m = (0, 3 - \frac{1}{m})$$

But $[1, 3) \not\subset A_m$ therefore \mathcal{G} is not an open cover of $[1, 3)$ therefore we conclude that A_n has no finite subcover.

$$c) \left\{ \frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

An open cover that has no finite subcover is

$$A_m = \left(\frac{1}{m}, 2 \right) \quad m \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

As before let's assume $\mathcal{G} = \{A_{m_1}, \dots, A_{m_k}\}$ be a finite subcover of \mathcal{J} ($\mathcal{J} = \{A_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$) and if $m = \max\{m_1, \dots, m_k\}$ then

$$A_{m_1} \cup \dots \cup A_{m_k} = A_m = \left(\frac{1}{m}, 2 \right)$$

By the Archimedean theorem there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{m}$ $p > m$

$\therefore \left\{ \frac{1}{m} : m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \not\subset A_m$ therefore we conclude that A_m has no finite subcover

Ex 14.4

The intersection of any collection of compact sets is compact.

PF

From Heine-Borel theorem: a subset S of \mathbb{R} is compact iff S is closed & bounded

By corollary 13.1 (a) the intersection of any collection of closed sets is closed

Therefore the intersection of any collection of compact sets is closed since to be compact means to be closed and bounded

Let's S_i denote the compact sets

$$S_i \text{ compact} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} S_i \text{ closed} \\ S_i \text{ bounded} \end{cases}$$

S_i bounded means that we can always find a ball centered at 0 with radius $r_i > 0$ that completely contains S_i . Therefore it is guaranteed that the ball covers the intersection because any x in the intersection of S_i is also in S_i and it's also in the ball.

Ex 14.5 a)

If S_1 & S_2 are compact subsets of \mathbb{R} then $S_1 \cup S_2$ is compact.

Pf

From Heine-Borel theorem a subset S of \mathbb{R} is compact iff S is closed & bounded

By corollary 13.11 (b) the union of any collection of closed sets is closed

Therefore since S_1 & S_2 are closed because they are compact, also $S_1 \cup S_2$ is closed.

Now S_1 & S_2 are bounded because compact

$$\text{Let } m = \min \{ \inf S_1, \inf S_2 \}$$

$$M = \max \{ \sup S_1, \sup S_2 \}$$

This means that

$\sup (S_1 \cup S_2) = M$
$\inf (S_1 \cup S_2) = m$

this takes a little more work, but certainly M is an upper bound & m a lower bound which is all you need.

$\therefore S_1 \cup S_2$ is bounded by M and m .

\therefore we have proved that $S_1 \cup S_2$ is closed and bounded that implies $S_1 \cup S_2$ is compact.

Ex 14.0

a) Proof by def. of compactness

suppose S is compact & T is a closed subset of S

Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an open cover of T

T^c is open since T is closed

S is compact so we can find a finite subcover

$\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of S

Now

$\{U_i\}_{i=1}^n \setminus T^c$ is a finite subcover (of $\{U_\alpha\}$) of T

$\therefore T$ is compact

b) Proof by Heine-Borel theorem

Suppose S is a compact subset of \mathbb{R} and T is a closed subset of S ; $T \subset S$

Since S is compact, S is closed and bounded so T is also bounded

T is also closed from the assumption \therefore by Heine-Borel theorem T is compact.

Ex 14.11

$$\text{Cantor set } C = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$$

$$A_1 = \left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right] \cup \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right]$$

$$A_2 = \left[0, \frac{1}{9}\right] \cup \left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{1}{3}\right] \cup \left[\frac{2}{3}, \frac{7}{9}\right] \cup \left[\frac{8}{9}, 1\right]$$

a) C is compact

C is the complement of a union of open intervals, therefore closed

C is a subset of $[0, 1]$ therefore bounded.

By Heine-Borel theorem we conclude that C is compact

b) $x \in C$ iff $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n 3^{-n}$ $a_n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ $n \in \mathbb{N}$

suppose $x \in [0, 1]$, then the ternary expansion of x is denoted by the sequence (x_1, x_2, \dots) if $x = x_1 3^{-1} + x_2 3^{-2} + x_3 3^{-3} + \dots$ $x_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$

If the ternary expansion of x is of the form $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ then we can find a

second ternary expansion of x of the form
($x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, 2222\dots$) \oplus

Now suppose $x \in C = \bigcap A_k$, since $x \in A_1 \rightarrow$

$0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{3}$ or $\frac{2}{3} \leq x \leq 1$, so x_1 is either 0 or 2

(\ominus used \oplus) \checkmark

In the same way since $x \in A_2$, $x_2 = 0$ or $x_2 = 2$

and so on for all A_k

\therefore every digit in the ternary expansion is 0 or 2.

Conversely suppose $x \notin C$ then $x \notin A_k$ for some k

so x is in one of the excluded $\frac{1}{3}$ intervals so

$x_k = 1$,

$\therefore x \notin C$ iff $x_k \in \{0, 2\} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

c) C is uncountable

$f: C \rightarrow [0, 1]$

where $f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \cdot 3^{-k}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_k}{2} \cdot 2^{-k}$ that

means that for $x \in C$, $x_k \in \{0, 2\} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

All the 2's in the ternary expansion are replaced with 1's so we get a binary expansion of a number in $[0, 1]$

f is surjective because every possible binary expansion of a number in $[0, 1]$ is represented in the image of f since every sequence of 0 and 2 is the ternary expansion of some $x \in C$

∴ C is uncountable because $[0,1]$ is uncountable.

d) C contains no intervals

Let $(a,b) \subseteq [0,1]$ and assume $a < b$.

Let $M = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : -\log_3(b-a) < n\}$

We know that $b-a < 1$ since $(a,b) \subseteq [0,1]$ that

implies that $-\log_3(b-a) > 0$ and $\in \mathbb{R}$

By the Archimedean property, there is some $m \in M$ s.t. $m \leq k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So:

$$-\log_3(b-a) < m$$

$$\hookrightarrow 3^{-(-\log_3(b-a))} > 3^{-m}$$

$$\hookrightarrow 3^{\log_3(b-a)} > 3^{-m} \leftrightarrow b-a > 3^{-m} = |b-a| < 3^{-m}$$

But A_m is the union of subsets of $[0,1]$ of length 3^{-m} that implies $(b,a) \not\subseteq A_m$

∴ $(b,a) \not\subseteq C$

e) $\frac{1}{4} \in C$ and not an endpoint

The base 3 expansion of $\frac{1}{4}$ is 0.02020202

∴ by part b) $\frac{1}{4} \in C$

$x \in A_k$ is an endpoint if $x=0$, $x=1$, $x=3^{-k}$ $k \in \mathbb{N}$

∴ $\frac{1}{4} \neq 0, 1, 3^{-k}$ and we conclude that $\frac{1}{4}$ is not an endpoint.

Ex 14.9

Uncountable open cover \mathcal{J} of \mathbb{R} s.t. \mathcal{J} has no finite subcover

$$\mathcal{J} = \{ (-n, n), n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

it is uncountable and $\mathcal{J} \supset \mathbb{R}$. Therefore \mathcal{J} has no finite subcover

\mathcal{J} does not contain a countable subcover

3-0235 — 50 SHEETS — 5 SQUARES
3-0236 — 100 SHEETS — 5 SQUARES
3-0237 — 200 SHEETS — 5 SQUARES
3-0137 — 200 SHEETS — FILLER

COMET

Ex 14.12

$S \subset \mathbb{R}$

S compact iff every infinite subset of S has an accumulation point in S

- Assume S compact and consider $A \subset S, |A| = \infty$

Now construct an infinite sequence x_n so that

$$x_{n+1} \in A - \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$$

Since S is compact and $x_n \in S$, x_n has a convergent subsequence $x_{k_n} \rightarrow x \in S$

So x is an accumulation point of A

Conversely:

let's assume all infinite subsets of S have an accumulation point and consider any sequence $x_n \in S$

Let $A = \text{range}(x_n)$. If A is finite, x_n takes some values infinitely often \therefore it has a convergent subsequence

If A is ∞ it has an accumulation point by the hypothesis. Then every $N^*(x, 1/n)$ has infinitely many points of A so we can define a sequence k_n s.t. $x_{k_n} \in N^*(x, 1/n) - \{x_1, \dots, x_{k_{n-1}}\}$ and this subsequence converges to x

\hookrightarrow Some of the material used for this proof comes from the web!