

The birth of random evolutions

Reuben Hersh

"Random evolutions" are stochastic linear dynamical systems. "Random" means, not just random inputs or initial conditions, but random media—a random process in the equation of state.

The theory was born in Albuquerque in the late 60's, flourished and matured in the 70's, sprouted a robust daughter in Kiev in the 80's, and is today a tool or method, applicable in a variety of "real-world" ventures.

A year or two after I came to the University of New Mexico, we hired a young probabilist, Richard Griego. Griego took his Ph. D. in Urbana and was well versed in stochastic processes a la Doob. He showed me something I, a p.d.e. specialist, had never heard of at N.Y.U. or Stanford--Brownian motion can solve Laplace's equation or the heat equation! We wrote this up in a popular article for the Scientific American.

Probabilistic methods worked for p.d.e.'s of the parabolic or elliptic types. But I was a student of Peter Lax. I had learned to concentrate on hyperbolic equations, like the wave equation.

"Can you do it for the hyperbolic case?" I asked.

So far as Richard had heard, it seemed you couldn't. There was a vague impression that Doob had even proved it couldn't be done.

We organized a little seminar, with Bert Koopmans, a statistician, and Nathaniel Friedman, a young ergodicist. (Nat is now a sculptor, and organizer of mathematical art, in Albany, N.Y.) We also had the pleasure of interest on the part of Einar Hille, who had come to New Mexico after retiring from Yale. By good luck, Richard discovered in Nat's bookcase a copy of Mark Kac's Magnolia Petroleum Company Lectures in Pure and Applied Science. These Lectures were not in the University of New Mexico's library, nor in many other libraries. Most of their contents had been published elsewhere, but one section had never appeared in a journal. Kac considered a particle moving on a line at speed c , taking discrete steps of equal size, and undergoing "collisions" (reversals of direction) at random times, according to a Poisson process of intensity a . He showed that the expected position of the particle satisfies either of two difference equations, according to its initial direction. With correct scaling followed by a passage to the limit, the difference equations become a pair of first-order p. d. e.'s. Differentiating these and adding them yields the "telegraph equation":

$$d/dt(du/dt) + a du/dt = c d/dx(c du/dx)$$

This is an equation of hyperbolic type. (If you drop the lower-order term, it's just the one-dimensional wave equation.)

A probabilistic solution of a hyperbolic equation!

I was fascinated by Kac's little-known feat. Surely the telegraph equation couldn't be the only hyperbolic equation with a

probabilistic meaning! Equally intriguing: if we can construct a probabilistic solution, we can exploit it. The central limit theorem was beckoning! If we could prove a central limit theorem for Kac's model, we'd have a limit theorem about p.d.e.'s.

As I contemplated the steps by which Kac constructed his probabilistic solution of the telegraph equation, I realized that one could replace the group of translations at speed c by any group of operators!

Suppose A is the generator of a group of linear operators, acting on a linear space B . Instead of translations moving randomly to the left and right at speed c , substitute time evolutions according to generators A and $-A$. In place of a particle whose position at time t is the sum of random translations, we get a random element of B , the result of successive evolutions "forward" (generator A) and "backward" (generator $-A$.) What is the expected value of this B -valued random process? Direct differentiation showed that in place of the classical telegraph equation, this expectation satisfies an operator differential equation. This can be obtained by simply substituting, in the classical telegraph equation, the abstract generator A for $c \frac{d}{dx}$, the generator of translation at speed c :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{du}{dt} \right) + a \frac{du}{dt} = A^2 u$$

We called this the "abstract telegraph equation."

For two speeds c and $-c$, switching at random times according to a Poisson process is equivalent to defining the process as a two-state Markov chain. But clearly one could let the particle

move at any n speeds, with the switch between speeds governed by an n -state Markov chain. In the abstract case, instead of switching among random speeds, you could switch among n semi-group generators A_j , operating on a given linear space B . The result would be a random process on B , which would in fact be a random product of random solution operators, each generated by its randomly chosen generator. Each solution operator

$$\exp((t \text{ sub } k)(A \text{ sub } k))$$

has a time coefficient $t \text{ sub } k$ equal to the occupation time in the k 'th state assumed by the n -state Markov chain. At first we called this random product of solution operators a "random semigroup." Peter Lax pointed out that it was not really a semigroup; he suggested the name, "random evolution."

If the generators $A \text{ sub } k$ don't commute, Richard found that this random product should be written "backwards", last operator first; if we define the random evolution this way, $u(t)$, the expected value of the random evolution, satisfies a simple ordinary differential equation:

$$du/dt = Z u + Q u$$

$u(t)$ is an n -tuple of elements from the linear space B , indexed according to each of n possible initial modes of evolution. Z is a diagonal matrix of the operators A_j . Q is a real matrix, the transition matrix of the Markov chain which controls the switching among the A_j .

In the classical case, the n semigroups are translations in R^3 . Their generators, the diagonal elements of Z , are first-order

differential operators in the spatial variables. The equations are a hyperbolic system of first-order differential equations, coupled through Qu . So we called the general case, with abstract generators A_j , an "abstract hyperbolic system."

In the special case of only two semigroups, each the negative of the other, with a symmetric mechanism of reversing direction, the abstract system of two equations is equivalent to the abstract telegraph equation written above.

For this special case we proved a limit theorem. In probability language it's called a "central limit theorem", and in differential equations language it's a "singular perturbation theorem." (When a lower-order term in a differential equation has a small coefficient, it's called a regular perturbation. When a leading-order term has a small coefficient, it's called a singular perturbation.)

In the telegraph equation, the two leading terms have second order in x and in t . We can't throw away u_{xx} , for we'd be left with an ill-posed problem. But if we throw away u_{tt} , we get the heat equation: a well-behaved equation which has a probabilistic solution--Brownian motion. If we can make our random linear process approach Brownian motion, surely its expected value--which satisfies the telegraph equation--will go to Brownian motions' expected value--which satisfies the heat equation. We'll have a probabilistic proof of a singular perturbation theorem for the heat and telegraph equations.

How to put a small coefficient in front of the second time-

derivative, to make our process look like Brownian motion? It's not hard to guess that we must speed up both the linear motion (translation) and the switching, to make the collisions frequent.

The expected time between collisions is the reciprocal of \underline{a} , so to make the time between collisions small, multiply \underline{a} by a large number \underline{R} . To speed up the linear motion, multiply the speed \underline{c} by another large number \underline{S} . Then if you divide the equation by S^2 and choose

$$\underline{R} = (\underline{cS})^2/\underline{a}$$

you get the telegraph equation (classical or abstract!), with u_{tt} divided by S^2 . The mean free path is the speed \underline{Sc} times the expected time between collisions, $1/\underline{Ra}$. This reduces to $1/\underline{Sc}$, going to zero as \underline{R} and \underline{S} go to infinity. Easy to guess now that as \underline{R} and \underline{S} go to infinity, the expected value $u(t)$ goes to a solution of the heat equation. Since the fundamental solution of the heat equation is the distribution function of the normal random variable, it's no longer a surprise that this singular perturbation theorem for a p.d.e. can be proved by means of the central limit theorem of probability.

What happens in the abstract telegraph equation, where $\underline{c} \frac{d}{dx}$ is replaced by \underline{A} ? Then, of course, the solution converges to the solution of an "abstract heat equation,"

$$du/dt = \underline{A}^2 u.$$

Prof. Hille published an announcement of our results in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Science. Then we submitted the complete paper to the Journal of Functional Analysis. We were

surprised and disappointed when it was rejected. However, the letter of rejection was twelve pages long, and contained sensible suggestions for improvement. The referee's references to "the book" left no doubt that he was William Feller. We took advantage of his advice, and the improved paper appeared in the A.M.S Transactions. I still regret that I never met Feller in person.

By another piece of luck I stumbled across the M.I.T. thesis of Mark Pinsky. Pinsky had extended Kac's work on the telegraph equation. Instead of Kac's two velocities \underline{c} and $-\underline{c}$, Pinsky allowed \underline{n} arbitrary velocities, switching according to an ergodic \underline{n} -state Markov chain. More important, Pinsky proved a central limit theorem for this \underline{n} -state process. The identity of the limiting equation in this generality is much less obvious than in the telegraph equation that Griego and I had treated.

Mark spent two weeks in Albuquerque the following summer. My daughter baby-sat so that he and Joanna could sight-see. Mark and I undertook to extend his central limit theorem to abstract semigroups--or, what is the same thing, to extend the central limit theorem Griego and I had proved for the abstract telegraph equation to an arbitrary abstract hyperbolic system.

We assumed that the generators were mutually commutative. This was an undesirable restriction, but it was a significant step forward. It included the Kac and Griego-Hersh papers, with switching between \underline{cA} and $-\underline{cA}$, and Pinsky's thesis, with switching between first-order spatial differential operators with constant coefficients.

We showed that in the limit of an appropriately scaled small parameter, all components of the solutions of the commutative abstract hyperbolic system converge to solutions of an abstract heat equation:

$$du/dt = Hu.$$

\underline{H} is a certain quadratic expression in the \underline{n} generators of the constituent semi-groups. In the classical case (Pinsky's thesis), these generators are constant-coefficient first-order spatial differential operators, and H is a second-order elliptic operator in \mathbb{R}^3 with constant coefficients.

Pinsky found an abstract characterization of random evolutions in terms of multiplicative functionals, which he presented in a book (104, 107).

After the first Griego-Hersh paper was published, and again after my paper with Pinsky was published, I received letters from Tom Kurtz. Kurtz had his own abstract-space version of singular perturbation theory. He used it to re-prove both the abstract telegraph equation limit theorem (Griego-Hersh) and the commutative abstract hyperbolic systems limit theorem (Hersh-Pinsky). (He did the same thing to the non-commutative limit theorem I obtained later with George Papanicolaou.) It seemed that he was determined to find non-probabilistic proofs for all our probabilistic theorems (76). But as his career developed he became committed to probabilistic problems and methods. He obtained first-order limit theorems (75) (laws of large numbers) for random evolutions, in addition to second-order theorems

(central limit theorems) of the type which Griego and I had concentrated on. Particularly interesting were his limit theorems for sequences of semigroups of nonlinear operators.

The following year I had a sabbatical at my alma mater, the Courant Institute. I attended a probability seminar led by Monroe Donsker. There George Papanicolaou was reporting on recent work (72) of Khas'minski. George suggested we work together. Before I returned to New Mexico we succeeded in proving limit theorems for random evolutions made of non-commuting semi-groups. These proofs were more probabilistic than my earlier ones. Now we assumed that the process by which the semigroups switch has a state whose recurrence time has finite mean and variance. (This is automatically satisfied in case of an ergodic finite-state Markov chain.) Under this hypothesis, the evolution is a random product of random factors, each of which starts and ends at the distinguished ergodic state. These random factors are independent and identically distributed. We were able to calculate their common expected value, estimate its dependence on the small parameter, and find what happens when the parameter vanishes. At one point in our work, we were stuck. A few words from S.R.S. Varadhan were sufficient to point toward the solution. As in the commutative case, all components of the "abstract hyperbolic system" which is satisfied by the expected values of the random process converge to solutions of a single "abstract heat equation," with a single "abstract second-order elliptic" operator on the right-hand side. This operator is again given by a

quadratic expression in the generators of the constituent semigroups. But these do not commute, so the quadratic expression is defined by non-commutative algebra. It is given explicitly in our paper. George went on to write several more papers applying random evolutions to physical problems.

A specific, concrete example of non-commuting group generators is a collection of first-order differential operators in R^3 with position-dependent coefficients. Each of these generates its group of translations along its family of curved trajectories in R^3 . The limiting equation is again second-order parabolic, but now with variable (position-dependent) coefficients.

In translation semi-groups, whether with constant or variable coefficients, the small parameter has a very simple physical interpretation. Just as in the case of the telegraph equation discussed above, it is proportional to the mean free path--the average distance traveled between collisions. (A simple derivation of this fact is given in Hersh-Pinsky (55).) Vanishing of the small parameter--the mean free path--means a drastic transformation of the physical process, from discrete particles in collision to smooth motion in a continuum. Our convergence theorem says that in the limit of small mean free path, the expected motion of a Newtonian particle goes over to diffusion.

It may seem surprising that the limit depends only on shrinking the mean free path, not on increasing the density of particles. But physically the vanishing of the mean free path comes about by increasing the particle density. Increasing the

density of particles results in a passage to the diffusion limit by making the mean free path go to zero.

While in New York I spoke at Mark Kac's seminar at Rockefeller University. This was the first time I had met Kac. Fortunately, I was able to see him several more times before he died. During the seminar he listened closely, and challenged me twice. "Is the square root of the Laplacian operator really the generator of a group"? Was I right to call my formula for the limiting operator "explicit"? Both times I explained, and he yielded.

In the telegraph equation the term $a \frac{du}{dt}$ is what makes energy dissipate, so I called this term the "parabolic part" of the equation. This term carries the stochastic part of Kac's solution of the telegraph equation--switching according to a Poisson process with intensity a . If a is 0, there is no switching, the "parabolic term" drops out, and the equation reduces to the one-dimensional wave equation. The presence of the "parabolic term", $a \frac{du}{dt}$, is what makes possible a probabilistic solution of this hyperbolic equation. Kac leaned forward and exclaimed, "That's right!" A treasured and memorable moment for me.

Later Richard Griego reminded me that in (61) Kac wrote of Norbert Wiener: "What is really surprising is that he was apparently unaware of the intimate connections between his measure and his own work on potential theory. It is now almost universally known that the generalized Wiener-Perron capacity

potential of a closed set F (in Euclidean space of dimension 3 or higher) at a point p is the Wiener measure of the set of paths which originate from p and which at some time hit F . Moreover, Wiener's famous criterion for regularity of boundary points has a most appealing interpretation in terms of his measure. The surprise is heightened if one recalls that Wiener's work on potential theory was almost simultaneous with that on Brownian motion."

Griego reminded me of this quotation because of the instructive fact that what Kac said of Wiener with regard to potential theory, he could have said of himself with regard to random evolutions. Kac was a great advocate of function space integrals as a tool for solving p.d.e's--the Feynman-Kac formula (61). And Kac found a probabilistic solution of the telegraph equation

(62). But he didn't connect his solution of the telegraph equation with his function-space integrals. Had he done so, his use of an approximation by a finite difference equation would have become unnecessary, and he could have done the whole random evolution thing by himself--if he had cared for abstract spaces and operators!

When I returned to New Mexico after my sabbatical leave, I was fortunate again. My colleague Bob Cogburn (one of Michel Loeve's three Ph. D. students) became interested in random evolutions. With his mastery of probabilistic estimation, it was possible to carry all earlier asymptotic results on random

evolutions to their natural generalization (15). The random process need not be finite or even discrete. The family of semigroups need not be finite or countable. The random process controlling the choice of semigroups need not be Markovian; it's sufficient if it satisfies a "mixing condition" (be almost independent when separated by long time intervals.) Shortly afterward, a comparable result was published by Papanicolaou and Varadhan (101). Under some extra hypotheses they also got a rate of convergence. Also around the same time Richard Ellis and Walter Rosenkrantz published a paper in which Kac's particle is restricted to a bounded interval, with appropriate boundary conditions (29-30). They obtained a central limit theorem for this case.

In 1972 I was asked by the Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium to organize a summer meeting on stochastic differential equations. This was part of a series of meetings which had been supported by a grant of \$25,000 a year from the National Science Foundation. The year I became responsible, the N. S. F. changed its policy, and gave no money at all. We had planned to meet in Santa Fe, N. M. Fortunately, the Consortium included a Canadian school--the University of Alberta, in Edmonton. Jack Macki, Alberta's representative to the Consortium, convinced his university to contribute \$10,000. There was also a small additional donation from the Provincial Government of Alberta. Because of this Canadian support, we had our meeting in Edmonton.

Richard Griego took a lot of responsibility on this project.

The participants seemed glad to pay their own way to Edmonton. Mark Kac and Wendell Fleming were invited speakers. The proceedings became a special issue of the Rocky Mountain Mathematics Journal.

It's time to talk about some of our students. Mark Pinsky's student Bob Kertz in his thesis (74, 75) obtained a central limit theorem for random evolutions with discontinuities. Richard Griego's student Manuel Kepler wrote about duality and time reversals of random evolutions (70, 71). Kepler has been one of the more prolific of his generation. Donald Quiring, Bert Koopmans's student, wrote a thesis (107) on random evolutions controlled by a diffusion process. Griego's student John Hagood wrote (50) about the operator-valued Feynman-Kac formula.

Together with the Mexican probabilist Alberto Moncayo, Richard Griego wrote about constructing random evolutions by a "piecing-out" process (47). David Heath, in an (unfortunately} unpublished thesis (51), found a probabilistic model for the telegraph equation with space-dependent coefficients. Tom Kurtz's student Joe Watkins published several impressive papers (128--131) carrying the limit theorems of random evolutions farther than before.

In (46) Richard Griego and I used the random evolution model to get new results on the spectral theory of degenerate elliptic operators. Mark Pinsky developed a theory of stochastic processes on manifolds, some of which is expounded in his book (107). Bob Cogburn with his students systematically developed a theory of

random processes in random environments (10--16). George Papanicolaou has published a great deal on wave propagation in random media and on homogenization (87--101). Fascinating applications of random evolutions to biology and engineering were contributed by Dunbar (26), Becus (2--5), and Iordache (64).

I felt that the joint paper with Cogburn had completed my romance with random evolutions, getting full generality in both the operators and the random switching. (Unlike some papers by later authors, the work reported here includes unbounded semigroups as well as bounded ones.) Unknown to me and my American collaborators, our work was noticed in Kiev. Vladimir Korolyuk, a distinguished probabilist and Academician, and his pupil Anatolyi Swishchuk, undertook a massive research into random evolutions controlled by semi-Markov processes. For this question they answered all the questions we had asked, and many others we had neglected.

Semi-Markov processes, like Markov processes, "have no memory." The mode of evolution you jump into after a "collision" depends only on what mode you are in at the time of the collision. The difference is that for Markov processes, the waiting time between collisions is exponentially distributed. In the semi-Markov theory, this restriction is relaxed. The waiting time can be prescribed according to the particular application in view. Swishchuk's two recent books (125, 126) give scores of references in physics, biology, and especially in modern finance. (Swishchuk is now at York University, in Toronto.)

I only learned about this work in 1992, years after it had been going on. "Out of the blue" I received an invitation to the Third (!!) conference on random evolutions, to be held on the shore of the Black Sea, in Katsively, where the Ukrainian Academy of Science has a beautiful resort.

I was able to take advantage of this invitation to visit Butznivets, the tiny Ukrainian village that my mother left in 1919. Of course I found no trace of her family, or of any of the Jewish families who used to live there.

References

I recommend the survey articles (120--122) by Swishchuk, and my own surveys (59) and (58). Chapter 12 of (32) by Ethier and Kurtz is a fine exposition of the subject, as is Mark Pinsky's outstanding book (109).

1. L. Baggett and D. Stroock, An ergodic theorem for Poisson processes on a compact group with applications to random evolutions, J. Func. Anal., 16 (1974) 404-414.
2. G. A. Becus, Wave propagation in imperfectly periodic structures: a random evolution approach, J. Appl. Math. & Phys., (ZAMP), 29, (1978), 252-260.
3. G. A. Becus, Stochastic prey-predator relationships: a random evolution approach, Bull. Math. Biol. 41 (1979), No 1, 91-100.
4. G. A. Becus, Random evolutions and stochastic compartments, Math. Bioscience 44 (1979) No. 3-4, 241-254
5. G.A. Becus, Homogenization and random evolutions.; applications to the mechanics of composite materials, Quart. Appl. Math, 39 (1979 -1980), No. 3, 209-217.
6. A.T. Bharucha-Reid, Random integral equations, Academic Press, (1972).
7. G. Birkhoff and R.E. Lynch, Numerical solutions of the telegraph and related equations, in Numerical Solutions of Partial Differential Equations, Proc. Symp. U. Md., Academic Press, (1966).
8. R. Burridge and G. Papanicolaou, The geometry of coupled mode propagation in one-dimensional random media, Comm. Pure & Appl. Math. XXV, (1972), 715-757.

9. J. Chabrowski, Les solutions non negatives d'un systeme parabolique d'equations, Ann. Polon. Math. 19, (1967), 193-197.
10. R. Cogburn, A uniform theory for sums of Markov chain transition probabilities, Ann. Prob. 3, 3, (1975), 191-214.
11. R. Cogburn, Markov chains in random environments, the case of Markovian environments, Ann. Prob., 8, 5, (1980), 989-916.
12. R. Cogburn, Recurrence vs. transience for spatially inhomogeneous birth and death in a random environment, Z. Wahrsch. Gebiete, 16;1, (1982), 153-160.
13. R. Cogburn, The ergodic theory of Markov chains in random environments, Z. Wahrsch. 66, 109-128, (1984).
14. R. Cogburn and R. D. Bourgin, On determining absorption probabilities for Markov chains in random environments, Adv. Appl. Prob, 13, (1981), 369-387.
15. R. Cogburn and W. Torrez, Birth and death processes with random environments in continuous time, J. Appl. Prob. 18, (1981), 19-30.
16. R. Cogburn and R. Hersh, Two limit theorems for random differential equations, Indiana U. Math. J. 22 (1973), 1067-1089.
17. J. E. Cohen, Random evolutions and the spectral radius of a non-negative matrix, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 86, (1979), 345-350.
18. J. E. Cohen, Random evolutions in discrete and continuous time, Stoch. Proc. Appl., 9, (1979), 245-251.
19. J. E. Cohen, Eigenvalue inequalities for products of matrix exponentials, Linear Alg. and Appl., 45, (1982), 55-95.
20. J. E. Cohen, Eigenvalue inequalities for random evolutions: origins and open problems, Ineq. in Stat. and Prob., IMS Lecture Notes Monograph Series, 5, (1984), 41-53.
21. J. Corona-Burgueno, A model of branching processes with random environments, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. 2 (1976), no.1, 15-27.
22. C. DeWitt Morette and Sang-Ir-Gwo, Two pin groups
23. C. DeWitt Morette and S. K. Foong, Path integral solutions of wave equations with dissipation, Dept. Phys. & Center for Relativity, U. of Texas, Austin.
24. C. DeWitt-Morette and S. K. Foong, Phys. Rev. Let, 62, (1989), 2201-2204.
25. C. DeWitt-Morette and S. K. Foong, Kac's solution of the telegrapher equation, revisited, Part I, preprint.
26. S. R. Dunbar, A branching random evolution and a nonlinear hyperbolic equation, SIAM J. Appl. Math, 48 (1988) No. 6, 1510-1526.
27. R.S. Ellis, Limit theorems for random evolutions with explicit error estimates, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Geb. 28, (1974), 249-256.
28. R.S. Ellis and M. A. Pinsky, Limit theorems for model Boltzmann equations with several conserved quantities, preprint.
29. R. S. Ellis and M. A. Pinsky, The first and second fluid approximations to the linearized Boltzmann equation, J. Math Pure & Appl. 54, 125-156.

30. R. S. Ellis and W. A. Rosenkrantz, Diffusion approximation for transport processes with boundary conditions, Indiana U. Math. J., 26, 16, (1977), 1075-1096.
31. R. S. Ellis and W. A. Rosenkrantz, A class of transport processes with boundary conditions.
32. S. N. Ethier and T. G. Kurtz, "Random evolutions", ch. 12 in Markov Processes Characterization and Convergence, Wiley, N. Y., (1986).
33. W. H. Fleming, A problem of random accelerations, MRC Technical Summary Report 403, (June 1963).
34. S. K. Foong, "Kac's solution of the telegrapher equation, revisited, Part II", in Developments in General Relativity, Astrophysics and Quantum Theory, eds. F. I. Cooperstock et al., I.O.P.Publishing, Bristol, (1990), 351-366.
35. S. K. Foong, Path integral solution for telegrapher equation, (1992), preprint.
36. S. Goldstein, On diffusion by discontinuous movements and on the telegraph equation, Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math. 4, (1951) 129-156.
37. L. G. Gorostiza, An invariance principle for a class of d-dimensional polygonal random functions, Trans. A.M.S. 177, (1973).
38. L. G. Gorostiza, The central limit theorem for random motions of d-dimensional euclidean space, Ann. Prob. 1, (1973), 603.
39. L. G. Gorostiza and R. J. Griego, Convergence of d-dimensional transport processes with radially symmetric direction changes, preprint.
40. L. G. Gorostiza and R. J. Griego, Strong approximation of diffusion processes by transport processes, J. Math. Kyoto U., 19, No. 1, (1979), 91-103.
41. R. J. Griego, Dual random evolutions, U.N.M. Tech. Rept. No.301, (September 1974).
42. R. J. Griego, "Dual multiplicative operator functionals", Prob. Meth. in Diff. Eqns. (Proc. Conf. U. of Victoria, 1974) pp. 156-162, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 45, Springer, Berlin, (1971).
43. R.J. Griego, Limit theorems for a class of multiplicative operator functionals of Brownian motion, Rocky Mtn J. Math.4, no. 3, (Summer 1974), 435-441.
44. R.J. Griego, D. Heath and A. Ruiz-Moncayo, Almost sure convergence of uniform transport processes to Brownian motion, Ann. Math. Stat. 42 (1971), 1129-1131.
45. R.J. Griego and R. Hersh, Random evolutions, Markov chains, and systems of partial differential equations, Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. 62 (1969), 305-308.
46. R.J. Griego and R. Hersh, Theory of random evolutions with applications to partial differential equations, Trans. A.M.S. 156 (1971), 405-318.
47. R. J. Griego and R. Hersh, Weyl's theorem for certain operator-valued potentials, Indiana U. Math.J. 27, No. 2 (1978), 195-209.
48. R. J. Griego and A. Moncayo, Random evolutions and piecing

- out of Markov processes, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. 15 (1970), 22-29.
49. R. J. Griego and A. Korzeniowski, On principal eigenvalues for random evolutions, Stoch. Anal. Appl. 7, (1989), No. 1, 35-45.
50. R. J. Griego and A. Korzeniowski, Asymptotic for certain Wiener integrals associated with higher-order differential operators, Pac. J. Math. 142, no. 1, (1990), 41-48.
51. J. W. Hagood, The operator-valued Feynman-Kac formula with non-commutative operators, J. Func. Anal 38 (1980) No. 1, 99-117.
52. D.C. Heath, Probabilistic analysis of hyperbolic systems of partial differential equations, Dissertation, Univ. of Illinois., (1969).
53. R. Hersh, Mixed problems in several variables, J. Math. Mech., 12, No. 3, (1963).
54. R. Hersh, Boundary conditions for equations of evolution, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 21, No. 5, (1966).
55. R. Hersh, A class of central limit theorems for convolution products of generalized functions, Trans. A.M.S., (June 1969).
56. R. Hersh, Maxwell's coefficients are conditional probabilities, Proc. A.M.S., (June 1974), p. 449-453.
57. R. Hersh, Introduction to a special issue on stochastic differential equations, Rocky Mt. J. Math. (Summer, 1974).
58. R. Hersh, Random evolutions: a survey of results and problems, Rocky Mt. J. Math., (4), (Summer 1974), 443-477.
59. R. Hersh, Stochastic solutions of hyperbolic equations, in Part. Diff. Eq. & Related Topics, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Math. No. 446 (1975), 283-300
60. R. Hersh and G. Papanicolaou, Non-commuting random evolutions, and an operator-valued Feynman-Kac formula, Comm. Pure & Appl. Math. XXX (1972), 337-367.
61. R. Hersh and M. Pinsky, Random evolutions are asymptotically Gaussian, Comm. Pure & Appl. Math. XXV (1972), 33-44.
62. M. Hitsuda and A. Shimizu, A central limit theorem for additive functionals of Markov processes and the weak convergence to Wiener measure, J. Math. Soc. Japan 22 (4), (1970).
63. Hosoda, T., Ph.D. dissertation, U. of New Mexico
64. Iordache, O. (1987) Polystochastic models in chemical engineering, VNU Science Press, Utrecht, the Netherlands.
65. B. Jefferies, Semigroups and diffusion process I, Centre for Mathematical Analysis Research Report, Canberra.
66. B. Jefferies, Evolution processes and the Feynman-Kac formula, Centre for Mathematical Analysis research report, Canberra.
67. M. Kac, Some stochastic problems in physics and mathematics, Magnolia Petroleum Co., Lectures in Pure and Applied Science, No. 2, (1956).
68. M. Kac, Wiener and Integration in Function Spaces, Bull. A.M.S., 72 (I, II) (1966).
69. M. Kac, A stochastic model related to the telegrapher's equation, Rocky Mt. J. Math., Vol. 4, No. 3, (Summer 1974), 497-509.

70. M. Kac, Probabilistic Methods in Some Problems of Scattering Theory, Rocky Mt. J. Math., Vol. 4, no. 3 (Summer 1974).
71. S. Kaplan, Differential equations in which the Poisson process plays a role, Bull. A.M.S. 70 (1964), 264-268.
72. M. Keepler, Backward and forward equations for random evolutions, Indiana U. Math. J., 24, No. 10 (1975) 937-949.
73. M. Keepler, Perturbation theory for backward and forward random evolutions, J. Math. Kyoto U., 126, No. 2, (1976), 395-411.
74. M. Keepler. On random evolutions induced by countable state space Markov chains, Portugalia Math., 37 (1978) 3-4 pp.203-207,
75. M. Keepler, Random evolutions are semigroup Markov chains,
76. M. Keepler, Limit theorems for commuting and non-commuting forward and backward random evolutions on ergodic Markov chains.
77. R. Kertz, Limit theorems for discontinuous random evolutions, with applications to initial-value problems, and to Markov chains on N lines, Ann. Prob., 2, (1974) 1045-1064.
78. R. Kertz, (1974) Perturbed semi-group limit theorems with applications to discontinuous random evolutions, Trans. A.M.S., 199, 25-53.
79. R. Kertz, Random evolutions with underlying semi-Markov processes, Publ. Research Inst. Math. Sci., 14, (1978), 3, 589-614. April 1976.
80. R. Kertz, Limit theorems for semi-groups with perturbed generators, with applications to multi-scaled random evolutions, J. Func. Anal., 27, (1978) 215-233.
81. R.Z. Khas'minskii, On stochastic processes defined by differential equations with a small parameter, Th. Prob. & Appl. XI (1966), 211-228.
82. R.Z. Khas'minskii, A limit theorem for the solutions of differential equations with random right-hand sides, Th. Prob. & Appl. XI (1966), 390-406.
83. R. Kubo, Stochastic Liouville equation, J. Math. Phys. 4 (1963), 174-183.
84. T.G. Kurtz, A random Trotter product formula, Proc. A.M.S. 35 (1972), 147-154.
85. T.G. Kurtz, A limit theorem for perturbed operator semigroups with applications to random evolutions, J. Func. Anal. 12 (1973).
86. T. G. Kurtz, Convergence of sequences of semigroups of nonlinear operators with an application to gas kinetics, Trans. A.M.S., 186 (1974) 259-272.
87. M. Lax, Classical noise IV; Langevin methods, Rev. Mod. Phys. 38 (1966), 561-566.
88. J.A. Morrison, G.C. Papanicolaou, and J.B. Keller, Analysis of some stochastic ordinary differential equations, SIAM-AMS Proc. VI, (1973), 97-161.
89. J.A. Morrison, G.C. Papanicolaou, and J.B. Keller, Mean power transmission through a slab of random medium, Comm. Pure & Appl. Math. XXIV (1971) 473-489.
90. G.C. Papanicolaou, Motion of a particle in a random field, J. Math. Phys., 12 (1971), 1491-1496.

91. G.C. Papanicolaou, Wave propagation in a one-dimensional random medium, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 21 (1971), 13-18.
92. G.C. Papanicolaou, A kinetic theory for power transfer in stochastic systems, J. Math. Phys. 13 (1972), 1912-1918.
93. G.C. Papanicolaou, Asymptotic analysis of transport processes, Bull. A.M.S., 81, No. 2, (1975), 330-392.
94. G.C. Papanicolaou, Stochastic equations and their applications, Amer. Math. Monthly, 80 (1973), 526-544.
95. G. C. Papanicolaou, Some probabilistic problems and methods in singular perturbations, Rocky Mt. J. Math., 4, (1976), 653-674.
96. G.C. Papanicolaou and R. Hersh, Some limit theorems for stochastic equations and applications, Indiana U. Math. J. 21 (1972), 815-840.
97. G.C. Papanicolaou and J.B. Keller, Stochastic differential equations with applications to random harmonic oscillators and wave propagation in random media, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 21 (1971), 287-305.
98. G. C. Papanicolaou and W. Kohler, Asymptotic analysis of deterministic and stochastic equations with rapidly varying components, Comm. Math. Phys. 45, 217-232 (1975).
99. G. C. Papanicolaou and W. Kohler. Asymptotic theory of mixing stochastic ordinary differential equations, Comm. Pure & Appl. Math. 112, 7, 641-668, (1974).
100. G.C. Papanicolaou, D. McLaughlin, and R. Burridge, A stochastic Gaussian beam, J. Math. Phys. 14 (1973), 84-89.
101. G. C. Papanicolaou, D. W. Stroock and S.R.S. Varadhan, Martingale approach to some limit theorems, Conf. on Statistical Mechanics, Dynamical Systems & Turbulence, M. Reed editor. Duke U. Math. Series, 3, Durham, N.C. (1977)
102. G.C. Papanicolaou and S.R.S. Varadhan, A limit theorem with strong mixing in Banach space and two applications to stochastic differential equations, Comm. Pure & Appl. Math. 26 (1973), 497.
103. A. A. Pichardo-Maya, Brownian motion in a random environment, Dissertation, U. of New Mexico, 1985.
104. M. A. Pinsky, Random evolutions in Prob. Meth. in Diff. Eq., Lecture Notes in Math. 451, Springer Verlag, New York, 1975.
105. M. Pinsky, Differential equations with a small parameter and the central limit theorem for functions defined on a finite Markov chain, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Geb. 9 (1968), 101-111.
106. M. Pinsky, Multiplicative operator functionals of a Markov process, Bull. A. M.S. 77 (1971), 377-380.
107. M. Pinsky, Stochastic integral representation of multiplicative operator functionals of a Wiener process, Trans. A.M.S., 167 (1972), 89-104.
108. M. Pinsky, Multiplicative operator functionals and their asymptotic properties, Adv. in Prob., 3.
109. M. Pinsky, Lectures on random evolutions, World Scientific, Singapore, 1991.
110. D. Quiring, Random evolutions on diffusion processes, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Geb. 23 (1972), 230-244.
111. R. Rishel, Dynamic programming and minimum principles for

- systems with jump Markov disturbances SIAM J Control, 13 (1975) 338-371
112. S.I. Rosencrans, Diffusion transforms, J. Diff. Eq. 13 (1973), 457-467.
113. G. Schay, Notices, A.M.S., No. 147 (1973), Abstract 70-60-5.
114. A. Schoene, Semi-groups and a class of singular perturbation problems, Indiana U. Math. J., 20 (1970), 247-263.
115. K. Siegrist, Random evolution processes with feedback, Trans. A.M.S., 265 (1981), No. 2 375-392.
116. K. Siegrist, Harmonic functions and the Dirichlet problem for renewed Markov processes, Ann. Prob., 11 (1983) No. 3, 624--634.
117. D. Stroock, Two limit theorems for random evolutions having non-ergodic driving processes. Proc. Conf. Stoch. D. E. and Appl., (Park City, Utah, 1976), 24-253, Academic Press, N.Y. 1977.
118. A. Swishchuk, Markov random evolutions, IV Soviet-Japan Symp. on Prob. Th. & Math. Stat., Abstracts. Tbilisi. 1982. p. 39-40, (with V.S. Korolyuk, A.F. Turbin).
119. A. Swishchuk, Limit Theorems for semi-Markov random evolutions in an asymptotic phase merging scheme. Dissertation, Kiev, Inst. Math., (1985), 116 p.
120. A. Swishchuk, Applied problems of theory of random evolutions, Znanie Publishing House, Ukrainian SSR, Kiev, RDENTP, 30 p., (with V. S. Korolyuk).
121. A. Swishchuk, Semi-markov random evolutions: a survey of the recent results, Cont. Trans. IIth Prague conf., (1991), 12 p. (to appear).
122. A. Swishchuk, Random evolutions: a survey of results and problems since 1969, Random Op. & Stoch. Eq., VSP. (1991), No 3 (to appear).
123. Semi-Markov random evolutions, Kluwer Publishers, with V. S. Korolyuk.
124. V. S. Korolyuk and A. Swishchuk, Evolution of Systems in Random Media. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.
125. A. Swishchuk, Random Evolutions and thie applications. Kllwer AP, Dordrecht, 1997.
126. A. Swishchuk, Random Evolutions and their applications. New Trends. Kluwer AP, Dordrecht, 2000.
127. A. SWISHCHUK AND JIAN HONG WU, EVOLUTION OF BIOLOGICAL SYSTEMS IN RANDOM MEDIA. LIMIT THEOREMS AND STABILITY. KLUWER AP. SUBMITTED.
128. J. C. Watkins, A central limit problem in random evolutions, Ann. Prob., (1984), 12, no. 2, 480-513.
129. J. C. Watkins, A stochastic integral representation for random evolutions, Ann. Prob., 1985, 13, no. 2, 531-557.
130. J. C. Watkins, Limit theorems for stationary random evolutions, Stoch. Proc. & Appl., 19 (1985) 189-224.
131. J. C. Watkins, Limit theorems for products of random matrices; A comparison of two points of view, preprint.